FLY-ASH BASED GEO-POLYMER CONCRETE

SAHIL M. MAGARE[1], PROF.SACHIN SALVE[2]

[1] PG student, Dept. of civil engineering , deogiri Institute of Engineering & Management studies ,Aurangabad Maharastra , India
[2] Professor, Dept. of civil engineering , deogiri Institute of Engineering & Management studies ,Aurangabad Maharastra , India

---

Abstract - A study conducted by introduced the various properties of a fly debris-based geopolymer concrete and predicted that it has excellent compressive strength and can be used for construction. Its flexibility is similar to that of OPC concrete, and it has excellent resistance to sulfate and corrosive substances as well as minor killjoy and drying shrinkage. efforts to partially replace the use of Portland concrete in concrete with the use of fly detritus have accelerated. The use of Portland concrete as a cover is unnecessary for the "new" substance geopolymer concrete. If everything else is equal, the fastener required to construct the substantial is produced by actuating the source materials, such as fly debris that are rich in silicon (Si) and aluminum (Al), using high basic fluids. Concrete then without any concrete. Data on fly debris-based geopolymer concrete are presented in this research. The extents of the materials and their combinations, the assembly process, and the effects of various boundaries on the characteristics of freshly formed and solidified concrete are all covered in the study.

***Key Words*: Geopolymer, Excellent ,Assembly ,Minor Killjoy.**

1.INTRODUCTION

Due to the overall expansion of the economy, the Indian development sector is currently experiencing a boom. The modern movement, the land business, and interests in the framework area are the main determinants of the interest in the development business, which is a decided interest. Because so many locations have experienced outstanding development, the development industry is booming more than ever. Concrete cement has established a preeminent position for itself among construction materials, and typically the concrete industry, which thrives alongside construction activity, has developed into a significant sector in the building scene. Although Portland concrete has long been a very acceptable pressure driven cover for primary purposes, its steadily rising use has given rise to a number of new problems. Concrete production uses enormous amounts of virgin materials, is energy-intensive, and results in substantial CO2 emissions that harm the ozone layer (Satish Chandra, 2002). Once more, depending on the type of fuel used, sulfur dioxide discharge might also be very high. Capital is becoming increasingly concentrated in the establishment of new concrete plants. Last but not least, recent concrete big design projects have demonstrated early problems and issues, which negatively impacts the asset efficiency of the company.

1.1 ADVANTAGES

1. High Strength- It exhibited a higher compressive strength than typical cement thanks to its high compressive strength. Additionally, it gains strength quickly and heals quickly, giving it a fantastic option for quick forms. The stiffness of geopolymer concrete is high. Compared to Portland concrete, it is less brittle and can withstand more development. Although not completely seismic tremor proof, it still outperforms regular cement.

2. Very Low Creep and Shrinkage – The drying, heat, or even the dissipation of water from the substantial can all result in major and, shockingly, dangerous cracks in the substance. Geopolymer concrete won't experience critical shrinkage, doesn't hydrate, and is less permeable. Geopolymer concrete's wet layer is incredibly thin. When addressing creep in concrete terms, it signifies the tendency for the substance to become permanently distorted due to the constant forces being used against it.

2. MIX DESIGN

FIRST TRIAL

MATERIALS	WEIGHT(Kg)
Fly ash	6.35
Coarse aggregate	9
Fine aggregate	7.5
NaOH	0.250
SiO_2	0.80
Water	2 lit.
Plasticizer	75 gram

SECOND TRIAL

MATERIALS	WEIGHT(Kg)
Fly ash	6.35
Coarse aggregate	9
Fine aggregate	7.5
NaOH	0.250
SiO_2	0.4
Water	2 lit.
Plasticizer	75 grams

THIRD TRIAL

MATERIALS	WEIGHT(Kg)
Fly ash	6.35
Coarse aggregate	9.1
Fine aggregate	7.6
NaOH	0.250
SiO_2	0.4
Water	2 lit.
Plasticizer	75 grams

3. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

1) Average Compressive Strength Of 1st Trail=1.725 N/mm2.
2) Average Compressive Strength Of 2st Trail=1.630N/mm2
3) Average Compressive Strength Of 3rd Trail=2.266N/mm2

4. CONCLUSIONS

1) Higher sodium hydroxide concentration results in higher compressive strength of fly debris-based geopolymer concrete, measured in terms of molar concentration.
2) The compressive strength of fly debris-based geopolymer concrete increases with the mass ratio of sodium silicate to sodium hydroxide.
3) Fly debris-based geopolymer concrete gains compressive strength when the restoration temperature range of 30 °C to 900 °C increases.
4) In the range of 4 to 96 hours (4 days), a longer restoration time results in fly debris-based geopolymer concrete with a higher compressive strength.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

It is obvious that the development of project needs the support of many people. Getting idea of analyzing a project, finalizing it as best one for us and above all, developing it successfully has always been our priority. We have always been grateful for the support that we got from all our surroundings with respect to knowledge. We sincerely acknowledge to our project guide PROF.SACHIN SALVE whose continuous encouragement and support enabled the project to materialized and contributed to its success. Finally, we are thankful to all our Friends & Colleagues for their constant inspiration, support and encouragement.

REFERENCES

1. C.Shi, P.Kriven koand D .M. Roy , *Alkali- Activated Cement sand Concretes* ,Taylor and Francis , Abingdon , UK (2006).
2. P. Duxson , A .Fernández Jiménez ,J.L.Provis , G.C. Luke, A. Palomo, J.van Deventer, "Geopolymer Technology : The Current State of The Art". Journal of Materials Science,2007,42,p2917-2933.
3. L.TurnerandF.Collins"Geopolymers:Agreeneralternative to Portland cement ? " Concrete in Australia Vol 38 No1p49-56.
4. Xu,H.,Provis,JL.,vanDeventer,JSJ.,Krivenko,PV.,"Characterization of Slag Concretes", ACI Materials Journal,105,2,March-April2008,p131-139.
5. D. Hardjitoand B.V.Rangan. EVELOPMENT AND ROPERTIES of Low calcium fly ash based Geopolymer Concrete, Research Report (GC) Faculty of Engineering, Curtin University of Technology, Perth, Australia. (2006).
6. Pan Z. Sanjayan J G.Rangan B V.,"Fracture Properties of Geopolymer Paste and Concrete", Mag of Concrete Research October 2011.
7. W.Phair,"Green Chemistry for Sustainable Cement Production and Use".Green Chem,2006,8,p763-780.
8. Provis,JL.,vanDeventer,JSJ.(editors),Geopolymers: Structures , Processing, Properties , and Industrial Applications . Cambridge : Wood head Publishing Limited.