

A review on fast wireless charging methods for Electric Vehicles.

Yogish A.M¹, Dr.Radhakrishna K.R.²

¹Selection Grade lecturer, Dept. of EEE, Govt. polytechnic, Mosalehosalli, Hassan

²Professor and Head, Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, R.I.T, Hassan

Abstract—In this fast-evolving electrification process, Electric vehicle (EVs), are expected to replace Conventional Vehicles at a desirable rate as Electrified transportation will help to reduce green-house gas emissions and increasing petrol prices. However, to date EVs are not highly attractive to consumers in dense countries like India, due to their unsatisfactory battery charging characteristics and high cost. The currently practiced conductive charging method makes the usage of EVs inconvenience due to poor charging infrastructures, accidental electric hazards and poor battery capacities. The adoption of wireless power transfer (WPT) system can eliminate all of the charging troubles of EVs. However, the WPT systems in existing EVs have large air gaps between the transmitter coil and the receiver coil, posing a hurdle that prevents success. The large air gap cause issues such as a loose coupling, low efficiency, and troublesome electromagnetic compatibility (EMC). An in-wheel WPT system can serve as a solution to address the issues arising due to the large air gap. In this paper, we propose two methods to enhance the charging efficiency i.e. 1.Introducing In-wheel wireless charging and 2.Introduction of Graphene batteries to EVs.

Keywords - EVs–Electric Vehicles, WPT–Wireless Power Transfer, In-Wheel WPT, Graphene Batteries.

1. INTRODUCTION

Some Electric vehicles (EVs) are a clean and environmentally friendly alternative to conventional vehicles which utilize an internal combustion engine (ICE). They usually use electric batteries instead of fossil fuel onboard to store electric energy for vehicle propulsion. Large-capacity and high-power battery packs are typically required to make EVs operate over satisfactory distances. However, reliable and competitive batteries for EVs are not easy to realize due to the following requirements: (1) an affordable cost, (2) high safety levels, (3) high power density levels, (4) a long cycle life time, and (5) a low volume and weight, all of which should be satisfied simultaneously. Lithium-ion batteries are recognized as the most competitive solution, but the energy densities of commercialized lithium-ion battery in EVs are less than 100 Wh/kg at the finished battery pack level. On the other hand, Gasoline has an energy density

of approximately 12,000 Wh/kg, implying that EVs are not as attractive as an alternative to conventional vehicles thus far. Overall, considering maintenance and energy costs, it is expected that the cost will be an extra 1000 USD per year to own and operate an electric vehicle compared to a gasoline-based vehicle. In addition, the long charging times of the batteries in EVs also make them unattractive to many consumers.

Therefore, charging technology is important for the success of EVs, and it is also important to study and develop more efficient, effective, and convenient charging methods. The charging of EVs can be conducted by either conductive charging or wireless charging. Conductive charging is also called plug-in charging, in which the electric vehicle is connected to a power source through an electric power cable. For conductive charging, problems can arise, such as when the driver forgets to plug in the car and the battery becomes discharged. The power cable for charging on the ground may also introduce a hazard, especially when using a cracked, old cable in poor weather, which may expose the user to an electrical shock. To address these problems, wireless power transfer (WPT) charging systems have been adopted for charging the batteries of EVs either on the road or in parking spaces. By WPT, the charging of EVs becomes more convenient, and the battery capacities of EVs with the WPT system can be reduced to 20% or less compared to EVs which rely on the conductive charging system. The WPT charging system has many advantages, as follows: (1) plugs, cables, and outlets are unnecessary; (2) they use a more friendly charging process; (3) the transfer of energy can be done without worry in any environmental condition; and (4) they require less maintenance and are safer even in inclement weather. Moreover, vandalism is less likely compared to a conductive charging system. However, the WPT charging system has a severe drawback in that the system efficiency decreases significantly when the air gap distance changes or when the coils become laterally misaligned. The power transfer efficiency depends on the coil alignment and air-gap distance between the source and receiver. As another solution to this problem, an in-wheel WPT system is studied. Due to the shorter air gap distance and higher coupling coefficient between the

transmitter coil and receiver coil, the in-wheel WPT system can be attractive for EVs charging applications.

As an additive to the wireless charging, battery design in the battery management can also enhance the charging efficiency. Currently the technology is using Li-ion based batteries in almost every electronic fields. Besides having the advantages of Li-ion batteries, it also has some drawbacks: (1) It is sensitive to high temperature, (2) If the battery is completely discharged, it can no longer be recharged again,

(3) It is relatively expensive, (4) If the separator gets damaged, it can burst into flames. As an alternative to this battery, Graphene based batteries were recommended, which has the following advantages: (1) Graphene materials have high porosity and greater surface area, (2) It has extremely strong and light weight, (3) These materials possess high charging capability and flexibility and are good conductors of thermal and electrical energy. The high electrical conductivity of graphene increases the electrode density and accelerates the chemical reaction within the battery and enhances fast charging speed with less heat.

In this paper, the method of In-wheel charging concept is introduced along with the Graphene battery technology as an alternative to Li-ion for EVs.

1.1 WPT Systems for Charging EVs

A. Principles of WPT System.

The main difference between a conductive charging system and a WPT charging method is that the transformer in the former system is replaced by a set of loosely magnetically coupled coils in the latter. The conductive charging method relies on mechanical contact between the EV and charge inlet. The cable can be fed from a charging station. The conductive charging method can be categorized as an AC charging method and a DC charging method. AC conductive charging is carried out via AC charging. On the other hand, DC conductive charging is carried out using a DC charger. It is known as fast DC charging. It uses up to 50kW, and the battery can be charged in 20min from empty to 80% full. The WPT charging method uses an electromagnetic (EM) field to transfer energy between two coils. Energy is transmitted through an inductive coupling to the electrical device. This energy is used to charge the batteries. For EV charging purposes, the WPT consists of many stages, as shown in Figure 1. The charging station side consists of the following: (1) an AC/DC converter which converts AC utility power to DC power using a rectifier with a power factor correction, (2) a DC/high-frequency (HF) inverter

which converts DC power to high-frequency AC power to drive the transmitter coil through a compensation network, and (3) a transmitter coil which generates an alternating magnetic field. The EV side consists of (1) a receiver coil which is coupled with an alternating magnetic field generated by the transmitter coil, (2) an AC/DC converter which rectifies the AC utility power to DC power, and (3) a battery pack which is charged by the transmitted electricity energy. In this process, the transferred power and efficiency can be significantly improved by resonating with a compensation network.




Fig1. Structure of a typical inductive power transfersystem for electric vehicle (EV) charging.

B. WPT Methods

Since the introduction of wireless charging systems for EVs, four methods for the design of WEVCS have been utilized: traditional inductive power transfer (IPT), capacitive wireless power transfer (CWPT), magnetic gear wireless power transfer (MGWPT) and resonant inductive power transfer (RIPT).

Capacitive wireless power transfer: The low cost and simplicity of CWPT technology, using advanced geometric and mechanical structures of the coupling capacitors, is very useful for low- power applications, such as portable electronics devices, cellular phone chargers, and rotating machines based CPWT.

Magnetic gear wireless power transfer: Magnetic gear WPT (MGWPT) is relatively different to both the CWPT and IPT. In this method, two synchronized permanent magnets (PM) are positioned side-by-side in contrast to other coaxial cable based WEVCS. The main power as the current source is applied to the transmitter winding to produce a mechanical torque on the primary PM. With the utilization of the mechanical torque, the primary PM rotates and induces a torque on the secondary PM through mechanical interaction. In two synchronized PMs, the primary PM works as the generator mode and




Fig.3. Ferrite shapes (a) Circular (b) circular striated
(c) square (d) rectangular (e) T-core (f) **U**-core
(G) E-core (h) Double **U** (i) striated blocks.

2. Static and Dynamic Charging systems.

Static wireless electric vehicle charging system (S-WEVCS): WEVCS unlocks another door to provide a user-friendly environment for consumers (and to avoid any safety related issues with the plug-in chargers). Static WEVCS can easily replace the plug-in charger with minimal driver participation, and it solves associated safety issues such as trip hazards and electric shock. The primary coils installed underneath in the road or ground with additional power converters and circuitry. The receiver coil, or secondary coil, is normally installed underneath the EVs front, back, or center. The receiving energy is converted from AC to DC using the power converter and is transferred to the battery bank. In order to avoid any safety issues, power control and battery management systems are fitted with a wireless communication network to receive any feedback from the primary side. The charging time depends on the source power level, charging pad sizes, and air-gap distance between the two windings. The average distance between light-weight duty vehicles is approximately 150–300 mm. Static WEVCS can be installed in parking areas, car parks, homes, commercial buildings, shopping centers, and park ‘n’ ride facilities.

Dynamic wireless electric vehicle charging system (D-WEVCS): Plug-in or BEVs are suffering due to two major obstacles—cost and range. In order to increase range, EVs are required to charge either quite frequently or to install a larger battery pack (which results in additional problems such as cost and weight). In addition, it is not economical to charge a vehicle frequently. The dynamic wireless electric vehicle charging system (D-WEVCS) is a promising technology, which can reduce the problems associated with range and cost of EVs.

It is the only solution for future automation EV. It is also known as a “roadway powered”, “on-line” or “in-motion” WEVCS. As shown in Fig. 4, the primary coils are embedded into the road concrete at a certain




Fig4. Basic diagram of dynamic wireless electric vehicle charging system.

distance with high voltage, high frequency AC source and compensation circuits to the micro grid and/or RES. Like static-WEVCS, the secondary coil is mounted underneath the vehicles. When the EVs pass over the transmitter, it receives a magnetic field through a receiver coil and converts it to DC to charge the battery bank by utilizing the power converter and BMS. Frequent charging facilities of EVs reduce the overall battery requirement by approximately 20% in comparison to the current EVs. For dynamic-WEVCS, transmitter pads and power supply segments need to be installed on specific locations and pre-defined routes. The power supply segments are mostly divided into centralized and individual power frequency schemes as shown in Fig. 4 (a) and (b). In the centralized power supply scheme, a large coil (around 5–10m) is installed on the road surface, where multiple small charging pads are utilized. In comparison with the segmented scheme, the centralized scheme has higher losses, lower efficiency including high installation, and higher maintenance costs. Overall, the installation of initial infrastructure for this technology would be costly. With the help of a self-driving car in future, it will help to create the perfect alignment between the transmitter and receiver coils which can significantly improve the overall power transfer efficiency. Dynamic-WEVCS can be easily incorporated in many EV transportation applications, such as light duty vehicles, bus, rail, and rapid transport.

3. In-Wheel WPT System.

Stationary WEVCS already present some challenges, such as EMC issues, limited power transfer, bulky structures and higher efficiency. Furthermore, the power transfer efficiency depends on the coil alignment and air-gap distance between the source and receiver. The average air-gap distance varies from 150 to 300mm for small passenger vehicles while it may increase for larger vehicles. The alignment can be solved by utilizing sensing technology or parking assistance, which can guide the driver to find the center of the coil. Dynamic-WEVCS technology has to overcome two main hurdles, large air-gap and coil misalignment, before it is more widely accepted. Due to the large number of source coils, the misalignment problem can be solved to some extent. In order to rectify air-gap problems in the WEVCS, in-wheel WCS (IW-WCS) has been developed for stationary and dynamic applications. It is also less dependent on any standardization receiving coil shape and locations, which have been suggested in research articles. Static and dynamic IW-WCS are future technologies that can be used to charge EVs or PHEVs while they are stationary or in motion. Due to lower air-gaps and higher coupling efficiencies between the

transmitter and receiver, IWWCS has significant advantages over the exiting quasi-dynamic or dynamic-WCS. Like other WEVCS, the multiple primary or source coils are normally installed under the road surface. The basic schematic diagram of IW-WCS for stationary and dynamic applications is presented in Fig 5. The main grid source is converted to a high frequency (HF) AC source, which is connected to primary windings through a compensation circuit. Unlike other WEVCS, the secondary coils are installed into the tire structure in the IW-WCS. The air-gap between the source and receiver coils in IW-WCS is smaller in comparison to the current static-or dynamic-WEVCS. The three main structural components in IW-WCS are the wireless transformer coils, power source, and internal structure of the tyre, which need to be designed carefully in order to achieve an efficient static-and dynamic-IW-WCS. Detailed internal placement of the receiver coils is demonstrated in Fig 5. Multiple receiver coils are placed in a parallel combination inside the tyre. The advantages of such an arrangement are that only the particular receiver coil that is in contact with the transmitter is activated. In some cases, when horizontal misalignment occurs, multiple receiver coils can be activated. These transfer power to the battery bank or load. Each receiver coil contains a resonant capacitor, rectifier, and filtering circuitry. The recommended location for the receiver coils array is between the steel belt and body ply. Table 8 shows the specifications of transmitter and receiver coils utilized in the IW-WCS.

magnetic permeability of the tyre was selected (because the rubber tyre has the same permeability as air in the magnetic field). The inbuilt steel mesh attracts some magnetic fluxes towards them due to the conductive material. As a result, it slightly




Fig5: Basic schematic diagram of the in-wheel WPT system.

To understand magnetic field distribution and leakage fluxes in the static or dynamic IW-WCS, FEM simulation was employed for an axisymmetric model of a 10 mm thick in-built steel belt (IBSB) rubber tyre plus 7 mm air-gap and 17 mm air-gap with primary and secondary windings, as presented in Fig 6. At 100 kHz, the magnetic flux density and current density of the primary windings with the planar ferrite cores was generated where the

increases the leakage magnetic fluxes in the wireless transformer. The mutual inductance decreases between two windings and lowers the coupling coefficient (k) from 0.52 to 0.46 for the 10 mm thick tyre with 7 mm air-gap. In addition, a Simulation was run with aluminum rim material, placed approximately 40 mm from the secondary coil inside the tyre, in order to understand the effect of the rim. The short circuit inductance (L_s) is reduced from 45mH to 35mH, which means the aluminum rim can reduce the leakage fluxes and improve the coupling. Overall, it helps to reduce the risk of health and safety issues for the design of WEVCS.




Fig6. In-Wheel WCS (a) internal coil placement

(b) coil arrangement

4. Introduction to Graphene battery technology

Introduction to graphene batteries: The structure of graphene battery technology is similar to that of traditional batteries, where two electrodes and an electrolyte solution are used to facilitate ion transfer. The main difference between graphene-based batteries and solid-state batteries is in the composition of one or both electrodes. The change primarily lies in the cathode, but it is also possible to utilize carbon allotropes in the anode. The cathode in a conventional battery is purely composed of solid-state materials, but a composite-a hybrid material containing a solid-state metallic material and graphene is used as the cathode in a graphene battery. Depending on the intended application, the amount of graphene in the composite can differ. The amount of graphene incorporated into the electrode is usually based on the performance requirements and depends upon the existing efficiencies and/or weaknesses of the solid-state precursor material.



Fig7: Graphene battery.

Graphene Battery Break through: The real graphene battery break through are the graphene-lithium-ion hybrid chemistries incorporated into the cathodes of lithium-Sulphur cells as detailed in this guide. There are no pure graphene electrodes in a graphene battery, many graphene-based electrodes are fabricated and work in a similar way to traditional batteries. Their performance is enhanced via the addition of graphene to the electrode formulation. Generally, inorganic-based electrodes will have limitations which are typically surface area, density, capacity, cycle times, conductivity or capacitance to name a few. As graphene is a versatile molecule with many unique and desirable properties, it can be adopted in a variety of ways as there is no 'one size fits all' solution for using graphene. Graphene is used to enhance many of the benefits already present with traditional materials but it also helps to break through previous battery limitations, leading to increased battery performance or life. Graphene works in electrodes in two general ways, either as a support or a composite/hybrid. As a support material, graphene helps to keep metal ions in a regular order, which generally helps with electrode efficiency. As a composite material in an electrode, it plays a different role as they are generally more involved in the facilitation of the charge itself, where its high conductivity and well-ordered structure are critical to providing an improvement against its non-graphene predecessors.

Graphene super capacitors: In the electronics field, super capacitors are a useful device capable of storing up more than a hundred times more energy than standard capacitors. They can also work in low temperature conditions and are regularly used as a replacement for electro chemical batteries. The ability to produce double-electric layers is one of the key properties of a super capacitance material, and is important in electric double-layer capacitors (EDLC) super capacitors. Super capacitors store energy by building up charges at the electrode-electrolyte interface through polarization. Activated carbon has been traditionally used as the electrode material, but the inability to work at high voltages is its major disadvantage. Graphene, and its derivatives, are useful due to their open-pore structure, high conductivity, high specific surface area, production potential and low cost; all of which are desirable attributes for a super capacitor.

Graphene-Polymer Composite Electrodes Graphene-polymer composites do not have high conductivity compared to other graphene based composites, but they do possess a high doping-undoing capability, high charge/discharge rate, and flexibility. Graphene-polymer composites work by n and p doping redox reactions where electrons are lost or gained to convert and store energy. Graphene oxide and a nitrogen containing polymer are ideal to fabricate a graphene polymer electrode composite. Polymerization of the functional groups facilitates strong pi-pi interactions between the two components of the composite, resulting in a large surface area and a semi-flexible structure that can mechanically deform during the cycle charge-discharge processes. These graphene-polymer composites can exhibit up to 531 F g⁻¹ and retain up to 74% of its capacitance even after 2000 cycles.




Fig8: Graphene based super capacitor.

Major advantages of graphene

- Graphene-based materials have high porosity and greater surface area and are extremely strong and light weight.
- Additionally, these materials possess high-charging capability and flexibility and are good conductors of thermal and electrical energy, which make them a suitable material to store energy.
- The high electrical conductivity of graphene increases the electrode density and accelerates the chemical reaction within the battery, which enables greater power transfer Graphene Batteries in Electric Vehicles and faster charge speeds with less heat.
- Graphene also undergoes less degradation compared to lithium while delivering an improved performance, which prolongs the life span of EV batteries substantially. Moreover, graphene batteries are also cost-efficient and sustainable compared to other EV batteries.
- It is the thinnest material known and with that also the strongest.

- Improved performance. Adding grapheme to battery electrodes increases the electrical conductivity, which improves the batteries' performance.
- Sustainable and cost-efficient.
- High-performance grapheme composites.
- In the field of batteries, conventional battery electrode materials (and prospective ones) are significantly improved when enhanced with graphene. A grapheme battery can be light, durable and suitable for high- capacity energy storage, as well as shorten charging times.

5. CONCLUSION

This paper presents a basic overview of the WEVCS for stationary and dynamic applications with current researched technology. In addition, a variety of core and ferrite shapes have been demonstrated, which have been utilized in current wireless charging pad design. Also In- wheel Wireless charging method type is discussed that over comes the misalignment problems and air gap reduction. Also, the introduction of Graphene battery to EVs would increase the battery charging efficiency.

REFERENCES

- [1] Panchal, C.; Stegen, S.; Lu, J. Simulation of core shape considerations of wireless charging systems for electric vehicles. In Proceedings of the Power and Energy Engineering Conference (APPEEC) IEEE PES Asia-Pacific, Brisbane, QLD, Australia, 15–18 November 2015.
- [2] Panchal, C.; Stegen, S.; Lu, J. Review of static and dynamic wireless electric vehicle charging system. *Eng. Sci. Technol. Int. J.* 2018, 21, 923– 937.
- [3] Covic, G.A.; Kissin, M.L.G.; Kacprzak, D.; Clausen, N.; Hao, H. A bipolar primary pad topology for EV stationary charging and high way power by inductive coupling. In Proceedings of the 2011 IEEE Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition, Phoenix, AZ, USA, 17–22 September 2011; pp.1832–1838.
- [4] Maxwell, A. (2022, February 8). Graphene EV Batteries: How Far Away Are We?– Top Charger. Top Charger – EV Charger Reviews and Guides.
- [5] C, Iclodean.etal. Comparison of Different Battery Types for Electric Vehicles. IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering 2017