Seismic Analysis of Elevated Square Water Tanks with Composite Columns and it’s Comparison with Conventional RCC Elevated Square Water Tank
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Abstract – An Elevated Water Tank is one of the most important structure of Water Distribution System on which human life depends. Most of the Elevated Water Tank are situated in seismic/earthquake zones. To avoid the damage to life and property Elevated Water Tank should be strong enough to survive the high intensity/magnitude earthquake. To increase the structural strength and stability against earthquake researchers have done various experiments with varying parameters like aspect ratio (H/D ratio), container shape, and with different staging configuration. In the same direction of improvement of structural strength, stability of Elevated Water Tank against earthquake, the RCC column of elevated water tank are replaced with the composite columns. Composite columns have high performance in terms of strength, stiffness, slenderness, and buckling resistance. Seismic analysis of elevated rectangular water tank with composite columns has been done and its result/response is compared with conventional RCC elevated squarer water tank. The parameters compared are Maximum Story Displacements, Maximum Story Drifts, Story Shear, Story Overturning Moment, Story Stiffness. The Analysis is done by Etabs 2015 Software.
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INTRODUCTION—For efficient working of Water Distribution System the water tank should be placed at certain height and should be properly designed. Usually the life of elevated water tank is 60-70 years. Most of the elevated water tank are located in seismic zones. If the earthquake of high magnitude occurs it causes the damage to elevated water tank due to which there is loss of life and property. Even after the occurrence of earthquake people will face lots of problem due to no supply of water as water distribution system fails completely. To avoid this elevated water tank should be so designed that it can resist earthquake/seismic forces. To increase the strength and stability researchers have done comparative study by varying parameters like aspect ratio, shapes of containers and with different staging configuration. In this work the RCC columns of elevated water tank are replaced with composite columns. Composite columns are more efficient and effective as compared to RCC columns. A steel concrete composite column is a compressive member either a concrete encased hot rolled steel section or a concrete filled tubular section of hot rolled steel and is generally used as a load bearing member in a composite framed structure. In a composite column both the steel and concrete would resist the external loading by interacting together by bond and friction. The lighter weight and higher strength of steel permit the use of smaller and lighter foundations. The advantages of composite columns are high strength, high stiffness causing reduction in slenderness and increased buckling resistance, fire resistance and protection from corrosion, etc. In this work RCC columns of Elevated Water Tank are replaced by composite columns of Different Sections like Filled Steel Box/Tube Section Column, Filled Pipe Section Column, Rectangular Encased I Section, Circular Encased I Section And Seismic Analysis of all 5 Models is done And results are compared using Etabs 2015 Software. The parameters compared are Maximum Story Displacements, Maximum Story Drifts, Story Shear, Story Overturning Moment, Story Stiffness. The Material Of Steel Section Used Is A992F250 also known as ASTM A992 steel is a structural steel alloy often used in the US for steel wide flange and I beams. Like Other carbon steel the density of ASTM A992 steel is approximately 7850 kg/m3. Filler Material is M30 Grade Concrete.

METHODOLOGY—

In this Work 5 Models Of Same Tank Capacity, Beam Size And Heights are used with different composite columns like RCC Conventional Column, Filled Steel Box/Tube Section Column, Filled Pipe Section Column, Rectangular Encased I Section, Circular Encased I Section. And Seismic Analysis of all 5 Models is done and their results/responses are compared.

MODELS DESCRIPTION—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tank Dimensions</th>
<th>4mx4mx4.5m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tank Capacity</td>
<td>72m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height Of Tank</td>
<td>13.5m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of container</td>
<td>13.5m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thickness of base slab: 150mm
Thickness of wall slab: 100mm
Grade of concrete: M25
Grade of steel: Fe350
Density of concrete: 25 KN/m³
Beam/Bracing size: 300mm x 400mm
Steel Section material: A992Fy250
Filler Material: M30

---

Fig-1: Rectangular Elevated Water Tank

Fig-2: Rectangular RCC Column

Fig-3: Filled Box/Tube Section Column

Fig-4: Filled Pipe Section Column

Fig-5: Rectangular Encased I Section Column

Fig-6: Circular Encased I Section Column
SEISMIC ANALYSIS DATA:

Seismic Design Code: IS 1893:2002 Part (1)
Seismic Zone: Zone II
Seismic Zone Factor: 0.10
Site Type: III
Importance Factor: 1.5
Reduction Factor R: 2.5
Damping Ratio: 5%

Above data is used for seismic analysis of all 5 models. The earthquake loads considered are $E_x = 2.5 \text{kN/m}^2$ and $E_y = 2.5 \text{kN/m}^2$. Water Pressure Load on Base Slab = 10$kN/m^2$, and Self Weight of tank. Water Pressure on side wall of tank is neglected and load combinations are not considered.

Analysis of Tank with RCC Conventional Columns on Etabs Software:

Fig - 7: Screenshot Of Tank Model On Etab
Fig - 8: Maximum Story Displacement due to $E_x$
Fig - 9: Maximum Story Drift due to $E_x$
Fig - 10: Story Shear due to $E_x$
Fig - 11: Story Overturning Moment due to $E_x$
Similarly above parameters for $E_y$ are considered. And in the same way remaining 4 Tank Models are analyzed.

**RESULTS**

![Fig-11: Story Stiffness due to $E_x$](image)

Above table shows the value of Seismic Responses (Maximum Story Displacement, Maximum Story Drifts, Story Shears, Story Overturning Moment and Story Stiffness) of all 5 models subjected to Earthquake Load $E_x$ and $E_y$.

### Table 1: Results of all 5 models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column Sections</th>
<th>Maximum Story Displacement</th>
<th>Maximum Story Drifts</th>
<th>Story Shears</th>
<th>Story Overturning Moment</th>
<th>Story Stiffness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$E_x$</td>
<td>$E_y$</td>
<td>$E_x$</td>
<td>$E_y$</td>
<td>$E_x$</td>
<td>$E_y$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCC Column</td>
<td>25.33 mm</td>
<td>25.05 mm</td>
<td>0.0575</td>
<td>0.0656</td>
<td>276 kN-m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filled Steel Box管/Tube Section</td>
<td>10.28 mm</td>
<td>10.28 mm</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>214 kN-m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filled Pipe Section</td>
<td>14.02 mm</td>
<td>14.01 mm</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>248 kN-m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectangular Encased I Section</td>
<td>6.74 mm</td>
<td>7.24 mm</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>138 kN-m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circular Encased I Section</td>
<td>16.95 mm</td>
<td>16.91 mm</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td>284 kN-m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **CONCLUSIONS**

Following are the conclusions observed from the above analysis and their results.

1. Rectangular Encased Section I Section has minimum value of Maximum Story Displacement ($=6.74$ mm & $7.24$ mm) followed by Steel Box/Tube Section, Circular Encased I Section, Filled Pipe Section, And Conventional RCC Columns.
2. Rectangular Encased Section I Section has minimum value of Maximum Story Drifts ($=0.0008$ & $0.0009$) followed by Steel Box/Tube Section, Circular Encased I Section, Filled Pipe Section, And Conventional RCC Columns.
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