

TOTAL CO-INDEPENDENT DOMINATION NUMBER IN JUMP GRAPH

N.Pratap Bau Rao

Department of Mathematics S.G. College, Koopal(Karnataka) INDIA

Mathematics subject classification 05C69

Keywords: Dominating set, Total dominating set Total co-independent dominating set.

1. **Introduction;** All graphs in this paper will be finite and undirected without loops and multiple edges. As usual $p = |V|$ and $q = |E|$ denote the number of vertices and edges of a jump graph $J(G)$ respectively. In general, we use X to denote the sub graph induced by the set of vertices X . $N(v)$ and $N[v]$ denote the open and closed neighborhood of vertex v , respectively. A set D of vertices in $J(G)$ is a dominating set if every vertex in $V - D$ is adjacent to some vertex in D . The domination number $\gamma(J(G))$ is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set of $J(G)$. If $J(G)$ is a connected graph then a vertex cut of $J(G)$ is a subset R of V with the property that the sub graph of $J(G)$ induced by $V - R$ is disconnected. If $J(G)$ is not a complete graph then the vertex connectivity number $k(J(G))$ is the minimum cardinality of a vertex cut. In $J(G)$ is a complete graph $J(K_p)$ it is known that $k(J(G)) = p - 1$. For terminology and notations not specifically defined hence we refer reader to [4]. For more details a cut domination number and its related parameters, we refer to [5] [11] and [13]. A dominating set S of $J(G)$ is called a connected dominating set

if the induced sub graph S is connected. The minimum cardinality of a connected dominating set of $J(G)$ is denoted by $\gamma_c(J(G))$ [12]. A dominating set S of $J(G)$ is called non split dominating set if the induced sub graph $J(V) - S$ is connected. The minimum cardinality of a non-split dominating set S of $J(G)$ is denoted by $\gamma_{ns}(J(G))$ [8]. A dominating set S of $J(G)$

called total dominating set of $J(G)$ is called total domination number of $J(G)$ is denoted by $\gamma_t(J(G))$ [5]. Many applications of domination in graphs can be extended to the co-independent domination. For example the routing protocols in such networks are typically based on the concept of a virtual backbone in ad hoc wireless network. This motivates us to introduce the concept of total co-independent domination in a jump graph.

2. Total Co-independent Domination Number

Definition: A total dominating set S of a graph $J(G) = (V, E)$ is called total co-independent dominating set, if the induced sub graph $V - S$ has no edge and has at least one vertex. The minimum cardinality of a co-independent dominating set of $J(G)$ is called the total co-independent domination number of $J(G)$ is denoted by $\gamma_{tcoi}(J(G))$.

A Total co-independent dominating set S is said to be minimal if no proper subset of S is total co-independent dominating set.

Observation 2.1: A non empty graph $J(G)$ is without isolated vertices if and only if it admits a total co-independent dominating set.

Observation 2.2; A total co-independent dominating set D of a jump graph $J(G)$ is minimal if and only if for each vertex $v \in D$, one of the following conditions is satisfied.

(i) There exists a vertex $u \in V$ such that $N(u) \cap D = \{v\}$

(ii) $V - (D - \{v\})$ is an independent set. Therefore $D - \{v\}$ is a total co-independent dominating set of $J(G)$ a contradiction. Hence one of the given conditions is satisfied. The converse is straight forward

The following observations are immediate. **Observation 2.3:** For any cycle C_p , $\gamma_{tcoi}(C_p) = p - p_3$

Observation 2.4: For any path P_p , $\gamma_{tcoi}(P_p) = p - p_3$

Observation 2.5: For any wheel W_p with p vertices $\gamma_{tcoi}(W_p) = 1 + p - 1.2$

Observation 2.6: For any complete graph K_p , $\gamma_{tcoi}(K_p) = p - 1$.

Observation 2.7 For any complete bipartite graph $K_{r,s}$ where $r \leq s$, $\gamma_{tcoi}(K_{r,s}) = r + 1$.

Proof: Let $J(G)$ be a graph with $p \geq 3$ vertices and has isolated vertices, then

$2 \leq \gamma_{tcoi}(J(G)) \leq p - 1$. Further the equality of upper bound is attained if $J(G)$ is P_3 or $J(G)$ is two star, and the upper bound is attained if $J(G)$ is complete graph K_p or $G = P_2 \cup P_3$.

Proof: Let $J(G)$ be a graph with $p \geq 3$ vertices and has no isolated vertices and D a total co-independent dominating set of $J(G)$. Then obviously D is a total dominating set. Hence

$2 \leq \gamma_{t,coi}(J(G))$. For the upper bound, suppose that $D - V - \{u\}$ where u is a pendent vertex with respect o some spanning tree of G . Clearly D is total-co-independent dominating set of G . Therefore $\gamma_{t,coi}(J(G)) \leq p - 1$. Hence $2 \leq \gamma_{t,coi}(J(G)) \leq p - 1$.

Proposition 2.9 : For any jump graph $J(G)=(V,E)$ with no isolated vertices and $|V| \leq 3$,

(i) $\gamma(J(G)) \leq \gamma_s(J(G)) \leq \gamma_{t,coi}(J(G))$ (ii) $\gamma(J(G)) \leq \gamma_t(J(G)) \leq \gamma_{t,coi}(J(G))$

Proof: Let $J(G) = (V,E)$ be a jump graph with no isolated vertices. Suppose that $S \subseteq V$ is any minimum total co-independent dominating set of $J(G)$. Since for any graph $J(G)$ any total co-independent dominating set S is also split dominating set and every dominating set is also dominating set. Hence $\gamma(J(G)) \leq \gamma_s(J(G)) \leq \gamma_{t,coi}(J(G))$. Similarly we can prove (ii)

Proposition 2.10: If $J(G)=(V,E)$ is a jump geaph with no isolated vertices and $|V| \geq 3$ and H is spanning sub graph with no isolated vertices and has vertices greater than

$$\gamma_t(J(G)) \leq \gamma_{t,coi}(J(G)).$$

Proof: Let S be any minimum totao co-independent dominating set of H Then obviously from the definition of the total co-independent domination, S is also total dominating set of H .n Therefore S is total dominating set of $J(G)$, Hence $\gamma_t(J(G)) \leq \gamma_{t,coi}(J(G))$.

Proposition 2.11: Let $J(G)$ be a graph with D is minimal total co-independent dominating set then $V - D$ is independent set of $J(G)$.

Proof: Let D be a minimal total co-independent dominating set of $J(G)$. Suppose that $V - D$ is not independent dominating set of $J(G)$. Since D is total co-independent dominating set of $J(G)$, then there exist a vertex u such that u is not dominated by any vertex in $V - D$. Since $J(G)$ has total co-independent dominating set, then $J(G)$ has no isolated vertices, therefore u is dominated by at least one vertex in $D - \{u\}$. Thus $D - \{u\}$ is total co-independent dominating set of $J(G)$ which contradicts the minimality of D . Thus every vertex in D is adjacent with at least one vertex in $V - D$ and $V - D$ is independent dominating set of $J(G)$.

Proposition 2.12 If $J(G)=(V,E)$ is a jump graph with noib isolated vertices and $|V| \geq 3$ and H is spanning dsub graph with no isolated vertices and has vertices greter than two og $J(G)$ then $\gamma_{t,coi}(J(H)) \leq \gamma_{t,coi}(J(G))$.

Proof: As the number of independent vertices may increase in any connected spanning sub graph $J(H)$ of $J(G)$ we can still maximize the set $V - D$ which results in the decrease of the value $V \gamma_{t,coi}(J(G))$. Hence $\gamma_{t,coi}(J(H)) \leq \gamma_{t,coi}(J(G))$.

Observation 2.13: For any jump graph $J(G)$ any total co-independent dominating set of $J(G)$ contains all the support vertices..

Proof: Suppose the graph $J(G)$ has a total co-independent dominating set D and let v be support vertices does not belongs to D then clearly the pendent vertex which adjacent to v can not belong to D from the definition of total co-independent dominating set. Hence D is not a dominating set which is contradiction.

References::

- [1] J .Bondy and U .Murthy, Graph Theory with applications , North Holland , New York (1976).
- [2] K.D. Dharmalingam, studies in Graph Theory with applications snd bottleneck domination , Ph. D Thesis (2006)
- [3] C. Godsil and G. Royle, Algebraic graph Theory, vol.207 of Graduate Tests in Mathematics, springer -verlag New York 2001.
- [4]F. Harary, Graph theory,Addison Wesley, Reading mass (1969).
- [5] T.W.Heynes , S.T. Hedetniemi and P.J. Slater, Fundamentals of domination in graphs, Mascel Dekker, Inc., New York.(1998)

- [6] S.M. Hedetniemi, S.T. Hedetniemi, R.C.Laskar, L.Makus and P.J.Slater, Disjoint dominating sets in graphs. Proc.Int.Conf. on Disc.Math. IMI-IISBanlore(2008) 88-101.
- [7] S.R. Jayram, Line domination in graphs, Graph combin. 3 (1987) 357-363.
- [8] V.R. Kulli and B.Janakiram, The non-split domination number of a graph. Indian J.Pure.appl.Math.27(6) (1996) 537-542.
- [9] V.R Kulli and S.C. Sigarkanti, Further results on the neighborhood number of a graph. Indian.J.pure and appl.Nath.23(8) (1992) 575-577.
- [10] S.Mitchell and S.T. Hedetniemi, Edge domination in trees Congr.Numer 19 (1977) 489-509.
- [11] E.Samapthkumr and P.S.Neeralgi, The neighborhood number of a graph Indian,j.pur and appl.Math.16 (2) (1985) 126-132.
- [12] E.Samapthkumar and H.B.Walikal, the connected domination number of a graph, J.Math.Phys.Sci.,3 (1979) 607-613.
- [13] H.B.Walikal, B.D.Acharya and E.Samapthkumar, Recent developments in the theory of domination in graphs, Mehta Research Institute, Alahabad, MRI Lecture Notes in Math.1 (1979).
- [14] N. Pratap Babu Rao and Sweta.N, On non split domination number of jump graph, Communications on Applied Electronics (CAE) - ISSN : 2394-4714 Foundation of Computer Science FCS, New York, USA Volume 7 - No. 13, February 2018 - www.caeaccess.org