

Finite Element Analysis of Steel Fibre Reinforced Concrete Composite Panels Subjected to Combined Effect of Fire and Blast

Shubham Gandhi¹, Sumant Shinde², Dr. Mrudula Kulkarni³

¹PG Student, School of Civil Engineering, MIT-WPU ²Assistant Professor, School of Civil Engineering, MIT-WPU ³HOS, School of Civil Engineering, MIT-WPU ***

Abstract - The accidental fire generally tends to explosion in the city environment surrounded with combustible materials, and vice versa. This leads to the fact that the structures are in danger of combined effect of fire and blast. This study mainly considers the combined effect of fire and blast on SFRC panels and compares the results with that of plain concrete panels. This is the analytical study done using ANSYS 16 Workbench as finite element analysis tool. The ISO834 standard fire curve is used for temperature effect and two loads (0.1 kg and 0.2 kg) with varying stand-off distances is considered. The results in form of total deformation, equivalent stress and equivalent strain are obtained.

Kev Words: ISO 834, Fire, Blast load, Steel Fibres, Finite element method.

1. INTRODUCTION

The accidental fire generally tends to explosion in the city environment surrounded with combustible materials, and vice versa. This leads to the fact that the structures are in danger of combined effect of fire and blast. After the terrorist attack of 9/11 in New York the concern regarding combined effect of fire and blast on reinforced structures have significantly increased. The effect of dynamic loading including impact and explosion are completely different from that of fire. The dynamic loading is short term while the fire is long term and slow.

In such situations studies on a single load are far from meeting the requirement of design and analysis. Currently many studies are focused on RC and steel structures. It has been investigated that introduction of steel fibres in concrete can increase its ductility, tensile strength and energy dissipation capacity, resulting in good performance against impact loading. Many of the studies regarding fibre reinforced concrete material separately consider the effects of high temperature and strain rate.

This study mainly considers the combined effect of fire and blast on SFRC panels and compares the results with that of plain concrete panels. This is the analytical study done using ANSYS 16 Workbench as finite element analysis tool. The SFRC panels subjected to both fire and blast were modelled

with sequentially coupled thermal-stress analysis method, in which the simulation results obtained from the first step were taken as the initial state for second step. The blast loading is applied on same face as that used for applying ISO 834 standard fire. The results in form of total deformation, equivalent stress and equivalent strain were obtained.

2. AIM

The aim of this research is to calculate the combined effect of fire and blast loading on SFRC panels using Finite Element Method.

3. OBJECTIVES

3.1 To study the effect of fire and blast loading on SFRC panel in ANSYS Workbench.

3.2 compare the results of SFRC panel with plain concrete panel for total deformation, equivalent stress and equivalent strain.

4. MATERIAL PROPERTIES

The panel size of 400×400×50 mm was considered for modelling in ANSYS.

Table -1: steel fibre properties used in SFRC panels in ANSYS modeling

Type of Fiber	Hooked End Steel Fiber
Aspect Ratio	80
Diameter (mm)	0.7
Length (mm)	56
Density (kg/m ³)	7850
Percentage (%)	1.5
Yield strength (Mpa)	1100
Orientation	random

Page 196

e-ISSN: 2395-0056 p-ISSN: 2395-0072

 Table -2: concrete properties used in PCC and SFRC panels

Property	Concrete	
Compressive	M ₄₀	
strength [N/mm ²]		
Young's modulus (E)		
[N/mm ²]	30000	
Poisson's ratio µ	0.16	
Density [kg/m ³]	2400	

5. MODELLING IN ANSYS

ANSYS 16 WORKBENCH

ANSYS WB 16 is used for simulation and study of the finite elements. ANSYS Workbench meshing aims to include reliable, easy-to-use meshing software that will improve the mesh generation phase. The models were simulated using thermal-stress method in ANSYS. It works in two steps, firstly the fire was applied using steady state thermal method and the final results were used as the initial data for Blast loading carried in static structural part of ANSYS.

SFRC 0.1kg, 15cm - Workbench		
File View Tools Units Extensions Help		
) 🚰 🛃 🔣 📑 Project		
3) Import	🗲 Lipdate Project	
	oject Schematic	
Random Vibration		
Response Spectrum		
Rigid Dynamics	• A	▼ 8
Static Structural	1 🚺 Steady-State Thermal	1 😇 Static Structural
Static Structural (ABAQUS)	2 🌒 Engineering Data 🗸 🧹	🛛 2 🌒 Engineering Data 🗸 🖌
Static Structural (Samcef)	3 🗑 Geometry 🗸 -	■ 3 (63) Geometry 🗸 .
Steady-State Thermal	A Madal	4 Carteda
Steady-State Thermal (ABAQUS)		T Proces V
Steady-State Thermal (Samcef)	5 💓 Setup 🗸	• 5 😻 Setup
1 Thermal-Electric	6 🕼 Solution 🗸 🖌	6 🕼 Solution 🗸
3 Throughflow	7 😠 Results 🗸 🧹	7 😠 Results 🗸
3 Throughflow (BladeGen)	Chandy Chata Thermal	Optic Deutural
Transient Structural	Sceauy-Scale merma	Static Structural
Transient Structural (ABAQUS)		
Transient Structural (Samcef)		
Transient Thermal		
Transient Thermal (ABAQUS)		
🚺 Transient Thermal (Samcef)		
Turbomachinery Fluid Flow		
Component Systems		
Custom Systems		
FSI: Fluid Flow (CFX) -> Static Structur		
FST: Fluid Flow (FLUENT) -> Static Store		

Fig -1: linking of final results from fire test to the initial data of blast test

Toobox 💌 🖬	X Outline	of Schematic A2, B2: Engineering Data						
Physical Properties		A	c		P			
Linear Elastic		Contents of Engineering Data	lara		Description			
Hyperelastic Experimental Data		Material	, loard		Desc poort			
Hyperelastic	3	Separate I	- 09					
E Chaboche Test Data				Fatique Data at zer	o mean stress o	omes	from	19
Plasticity	- 1	Structural steel		ASME BPV Code, Se	ection 8, Div 2,	Table 5	5-11	0.1
T Creep	•	Click here to add a new material						
E Life	_							
E Strength								
E Gasket								
Viscoelastic Test Data								
Viscoelastic								
Shape Memory Alloy								
Damage								
Cohesive Zone								
Cohesive Zone Fracture Criteria								
Cohesive Zone Fracture Criteria Thermal								
Cohesive Zone Fracture Criteria Thermal								
Cohesive Zone Fracture Criteria Thermal	Property	es of Outline Row 4: Structural Steel						
Cohesive Zone Fracture Criteria Thermal	Property	es of Outline Row 4: Structural Steel		8	c	_	D	Q E
B) Cohesive Zone ⊟ Fracture Criteria ⊞ Thermal	Property	es of Cutline Roy 4: Structural Steel A Property		B Value	C		D	E
B Cohesive Zone G Fracture Criteria B Thermal	Property 1	es of Cutine Row 4: Structural Steel A Property Set Density		B Value 7850	C Unit kg m^-3			q E Čp
69 Cohenive Zone E Frecture Criteria 89 Thermal	Property 1 2 3	es Cf Cudire Row 4: Structural Steel Roperty Density Density Density Density	ion	8 Value 7850	C Unit kg m^-3			q E C
68 Cohenive Zone Er Frecture Critera 69 Thermal	Properto	es of Coulous Barro 4: Structural Steel A Property Districts: Secret Central Steeran Districts: Secret Central Steeran Districts: Secret Central Steeran	ion	8 Value 7850 1.2E-05	C Unit kg m^-3 C^-1	-		E
8) Cohenive Zone Er Frecture Coteria 8) Thermal	Property 1 2 3 4 5	In Cl Culture Non 4: Shockard Steel Report y Density Culture Coefficient of Thermal Expansion Coefficient of Thermal Expansion Coefficient of Thermal Expansion Coefficient of Thermal Expansion	ion	8 Value 7850 1.2E-05 22	C Unit kg m^-3 C^-1 C			E
© Coheire Zone © Fracture Criteria © Thermal	Properts 1 2 3 4 5 6	as of Children Even 4: Structure Steel Property Dentity Experiments	ion	8 Value 7850 1.2E-05 22	C Unit kg m^-3 C^-1 C	1		e C
© Coheire Zone © Pradue Coffeia ® Thermal	Properts 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	In of Outline Non-4: Shoubard Sheel Reperty Density Coefficient of Thermal Expansion Coefficient of Thermal Expansion Coefficient of Thermal Expansion Coefficient of Thermal Expansion Coefficient of Thermal Expansion Density Entropy Classicity Density Entropy	ion	8 Value 7850 1.2E-05 22 Young's Mod	C Unit kg m^-3 C^-1 C	-		E
B Coheire Zone B Fraduc Cofera B Themal	Process 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8	es of Children Brave 41 Structured Steel A Property State Structure Control of Thermal Departs State Struc	ion	B Value 7850 1.2E-05 22 22 22 22 22+11	C Unit kg m^-3 C^-1 C Pa			q Cp.
© Coheire Zone © Fradue Criteria ® Thermal	Properts 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9	Colfine Rom 4: Structural Steel	ion	B Value 7850 1.2E-05 22 Young's Mod ▼ 2E+11 0.3	C Unit kg m^-3 C^-1 C Pa	*		
B Coheire Zone B Fracture Crean B Thermal	Procests 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10	es of CLideo Rue 4: Structural Steel Property CLIDEO CONTRACT CLIDEO CO	ion	B Value 7850 1.2E-05 22 Young's Mod ▼ 2E+11 0.3 1.6667E+11	C Unit kg m^-3 C^-1 C Pa Pa	× ×		

Fig-2: Adding of material properties for SFRC panel.

5.1 MODELLING OF PLAIN CEMENT CONCRETE PANEL



Fig-3: Sketching of plain cement concrete model



Fig-4: Assigning properties to plain cement concrete model



Fig-5: meshing of plain cement concrete model

5.2 MODELLING OF STEEL FIBRE REINFORCED CONCRETE PANEL



Fig-6: Sketching of steel fibre reinforced concrete panel



Fig-7: Hooked end shape steel fibre used in modelling



Fig-8: Meshing of SFRC panel model

5.3 MODELLING OF PANELS UNDER FIRE LOADING

Both panels with and without steel fibre were heated for 120 min, according to the standard temperature time history suggested by ISO834 which can be expressed by eq.

$$T = T_0 + 345 \lg(8t + 1)$$

Where T_0 (°c) is the initial temperature taken as 20°c, and T is the ambient temperature subjected to fire after t minutes. The panel was heated uniformly on one face only.



Fig-9: standard temperature-time curve of indoor fire by ISO834



International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET)

Volume: 07 Issue: 06 | June 2020

www.irjet.net

e-ISSN: 2395-0056 p-ISSN: 2395-0072



Fig-10: Applying temperature time history curve on one face of panel in ANSYS



Fig-11: temperature time history curve data input in ANSYS

5.4 MODELING IN ANSYS OF PANELS UNDER BLAST LOADING

DEFINITION OF BLAST LOAD

As per the IS 4991:1968, A blast is a rapidly moving shock wave which may release pressure many times greater than those experienced under the greatest of the hurricanes. However, in blast phenomenon, the peak intensity lasts for very small amount of time.

5.4.1 CALCULATION OF BLAST LOAD

Equivalent weight of TNT- W (Kg.)

Scaled Distance (Z) = $R/\sqrt[3]{W}$

Where, R = distance from the point of interest (m) to the detonation source

W = the weight (more absolutely: the mass) of the explosive (Kg).

 Table -3: Blast Pressure for different TNT charges

TNT	Stand -off	Scaled	Blast
charge	distances(m)	distance Z	pressure(N/mm ²)
(kg)		(m)	
0.1	0.15	0.32	85.616
	0.30	0.64	23.48

Impact Factor value: 7.529

 0.50
 1.07
 6.645

 0.2
 0.15
 0.25
 125.44

 0.30
 0.51
 37.6

 0.50
 0.85
 12.24

5.4.2 APPLYING PRESSURE & END TIME FACTOR ON PCC AND SFRC PANELS

Blast pressure was applied on same face on which temperature was applied.



Fig -12: Applying Pressure & End Time Factor PCC panel



Fig -13: Applying Pressure & End Time Factor on SFRC panel



Chart -1: Pressure vs. Time for 0.1Kg of TNT equivalent for 0.15m Standoff Distance

6. RESULTS

6.1 PLAIN CEMENT CONCRETE PANEL RESULTS

6.1.1 TOTAL DEFORMATION



Fig -14: Total Deformation in plain cement concrete panel

6.1.2 EQUIVALENT STRESS



Fig -15: Equivalent Stress in plain cement concrete panel

6.1.3 EQUIVALENT ELASTIC STRAIN



Fig -16: Equivalent Elastic Strain in plain cement concrete panel

6.2 STEEL FIBRE REINORCED CONCRETE PANEL RESULTS

6.2.1 TOTAL DEFORMATION



Fig -17: Total Deformation in SFRC panel

6.2.2 EQUIVALENT STRESS



Fig -18: Equivalent Stress in Corner SFRC panel

6.2.3 EQUIVALENT ELASTIC STRAIN



Fig -19: Equivalent Elastic Strain in SFRC panel

6.3 PLAIN CEMENT CONCRETE PANEL FINAL RESULTS

IRJET

Tuble If plain cement concrete panel	Table	-4:	plain	cement	concrete	panel
---	-------	-----	-------	--------	----------	-------

TNT char ge (kg)	Stand off distan ce (m)	Max total deformati on (mm)	Max equivale nt elastic strain	Max equivale nt stress (Mpa)
0.1	0.15	10.531	0.0494	1483.6
	0.30	2.88	0.0135	406.81
	0.50	0.81	0.0038	114.75
0.2	0.15	15.49	0.0724	2173.7
	0.30	4.63	0.0217	651.51
	0.50	0.816	0.0038	114.86

6.4 STEEL FIBRE REINORCED CONCRETE PANEL RESULTS

Table -5: steel fibre reinforced concrete panel

TNT charg e (kg)	Stand off distan ce (m)	Max total deformati on (mm)	Max equivale nt elastic strain	Max equivale nt stress (Mpa)
0.1	0.15	9.606	0.0458	1512.6
	0.30	2.634	0.0125	414.83
	0.50	0.745	0.0035	116.84
0.2	0.15	14.077	0.0671	2216.6
	0.30	4.21	0.0200	664.36
	0.50	1.373	0.0065	216.08

6.5 TOTAL DEFORMATION RESULT



Chart -2: total deformation for 0.1 kg charge of TNT



Chart -3: total deformation for 0.2 kg charge of TNT

6.6 TOTAL EQUIVALENT STRAIN RESULT



Chart -4: Total equivalent strain for 0.1 kg charge of TNT



Chart -5: Total equivalent strain for 0.2 kg charge of TNT

6.7 TOTAL EQUIVALENT STRESS RESULT



Chart -6: Total equivalent stress for 0.1 kg charge of TNT





7. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper the comparative study was conducted on PCC and SFRC panels under combined effect of fire and blast analytically in ANSYS 16.

1. It is observed that the total deformation for steel fibre reinforced concrete panels is 9% less than that of plain cement concrete panels.



International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) e-ISSN: 2395-0056

Volume: 07 Issue: 06 | June 2020

- Maximum equivalent strain developed in steel fire 2. reinforced panels is 7% less than that of plain cement concrete panels.
- Maximum equivalent stress developed in steel fibre 3. reinforced concrete panels is 2% more than that of plain cement concrete panels.
- From the above discussions and results it is 4. concluded that results of adding steel fibres in concrete shows good results than that without steel fibres.
- 5. Hence it is concluded that steel fibre reinforced concrete has better resistance to combined effect of fire and blast than that o plain cement concrete.

8. REFERENCES

- [1] Liu Jin, Renbo Zhang, Guoqin Dou, Xiuli Du," Fire Resistance of Steel Fibre Reinforced Concrete Beams after Low-velocity impact loading" ELSEVIER journal, volume 98, 7 April 2018
- [2] Chaochen Zhai, Li Chen, Hengbo Xiang, Qin Fang,"Experimental and Numerical investigation into RC beams subjected to blast after exposure to fire" ELSEVIER journal, volume 97, 2016
- [3] Omar Algassem, Yang Li, Hassan Aoude, "Ability of steel fibers to enhance the shear and flexural behavior of high strength concrete beams subjected to blast loads" ELSEVIER journal, Volume 199, 15 November 2019
- [4] Matteo Colombo, Marco di Prisco, Roberto Felicetti," Mechanical Properties of steel fibre reinforced concrete exposed at high temperature" Materials and Structures, Issue-43,2010
- [5] Bhavana B, Tejaswini K," Analytical Study of Reinforced Concrete Element Strengthened with Polypropylene Fibers Subjected to Elevated Temperature" IJERAT journal, Volume:03, Issue: Oct-2017
- [6] Seung-Jai Choi, Sang-Won Lee, Jang-Ho Jay Kim'" Impact or blast induced fire simulation of bidirectional PSC panel considering concrete confinement and spalling effect" ELSEVIER journal, Issue:2017
- [7] Liu Y, Yan J-b, Huang F-l, "Behavior of reinforced concrete beams and columns subjected to blast loading", Defence Technology (2018), Issue: 10.1016
- [8] C.P.Pantelides, T.T.Garfield, W.D.Richins, T.K.Larson, J.E.Blakeley, "Reinforced Concrete and Fibre Reinforced Concrete Panels Subjected to Blast

Detonations and Post-blast Static tests", Engineering Structures, Volume:6, Issue :2014

- [9] Alok B. Rathod, "Effect of Blast Loading on RC Structures", Report submitted in Sardar Patel College of Engineering, Mumbai, Issue – 2014
- [10] T.S.Lok, J.R.Xiao, "Steel Fibre Reinorced Concrete Panels Exposed to Air Blast Loading", ICE Journal
- [11] Raghunandan Kumar, G R Reddy, Sunny Dev," Analysis of Reinforced concrete Structures with Steel Fibres Subjected to blast loading" ASEM17,2017
- [12] "Unified Facilities Criteria (Ufc) Structures To Resist The Effects Of Accidental," UFC 3-340-02 5 December 2008
- [13] R. Sreenu, "Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Building under Blast Loading," International Journal of Latest Transactions in Engineering And Science (IJLTES), Vol 4, Issue 4, August 2018
- [14] S. N. Kazi and P. V Muley, "Analysis of blast resistant RCC structure," International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET), Volume: 04 Issue: 11 Nov -2017
- [15] International Standard ISO834, Fire-resistance tests - elements of building construction, Amendment 1, Amendment 2, 1980.
- [16] IS: 456: 2000; Plain and Reinforced Concrete Code of Practice.
- [17] IS: 4991 1968; Criteria for Blast Resistant Design of Structures for Explosions Above Ground.
- [18] IS: 800: 2007 Code of Practice for general construction in Steel