

ASSESSMENT OF HYDROCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF AQUIFER IN PUDUCHERRY URBAN REGION

Gughan P¹ and Rajakannan C², Sivabalan M³, Vijayakumar G⁴

^{1,3}Research scholar, M.Tech Environmental Engineering, Pondicherry Engineering College, Puducherry, India ²Research scholar, Pondicherry Engineering College, Puducherry, India ⁴Professor Department of Civil Engineering, Pondicherry Engineering College, Puducherry, India

Abstract- The study emphasis on the assessment of hydro-chemical characteristic from the groundwater sources of the Puducherry region. For the study, water samples were collected from twenty identified locations and tested for pre and post monsoon aquifer inferences the hydro chemical characteristics and quality assessment of drinking water in ground water from Puducherry region. The various physico-chemical parameters such as pH, electrical conductivity (EC), Total dissolved solids, Alkalinity, Hardness, chloride, nitrate and fluoride were analyzed. This result indicated that hydro-chemical characteristics and quality assessment parameters were within drinking water quality, by using hydro-chemical data to understand enhanced about evaluation of drinking water quality, by using hydro chemical data to understand the factors influencing contamination due to anthropogenic impacts.

Keywords: Ground water pollution, Contaminant, Puducherry region, physico-chemical parameters.

1. INTRODUCTION

Water plays an important role in human life and other living organisms. So the water must be clean and free from the micro-organisms. Water can be obtain by either rain or from ground water a resources feeder for the ground water recharge [1]. Certain developmental activities such as industrialization and rapid urbanization had drastically increased the population rate in the surface water resources by discarding the industrial effluents and urban waste directly on the lake and river[2&3]. These activities had initiated the demand for water from the ground resources. Due to increasing contamination and scarcity of surface water resources, a major stress has been shifted to groundwater resources. More over due to industrial effluent and pest control activates on agricultural land the contaminated effluent percolates through the ground and reaches the ground water and contaminates the water body which would possibly cause serious health related issues in human and animal life[4]. Numerous experimental studies had been carried out to identify the contamination of ground water.

From the guidance of the literature survey for the assessment of various physico-chemical parameters of ground water, the study had been conducted on the identify locations of the Puducherry region [5&6]. From the result analysis it was found that, the pollution level varies and responds to seasonal variation, from the observation made on the literature survey, there is no data s for ground water quality assessment on time series were available.

2. STUDY AREA

For the present study the Puducherry region, a union territory which lies along the southern east coast of Indian subcontinent with an latitude 11º45' and 12º03' N and longitudes of 79º37' and 79º53' E was considered. The average area of Puducherry region is about 293sq.km.The study area receives a mean rainfall of about 1272.7m from the northeast monsoon[15]. The Puducherry urban region mainly depends on ground water. Red, Black, Alluvial and colluvial are the major soil type present in the region. Confined and semiconfined aquifer are the two types of aquifer are present in the region[10], which are continuously exploited for the fulfilment of the demand sectors by means of bore wells, dug well and open well.

India maps with provinces

collected samples location



| Figure- 1: Ma | p showing the s | elected region i | n Puducherry |
|---------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------|
|---------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------|

| PUDUCHERRY URBAN REGION | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|--|--|
| LOCATION NO | LOCATION | SOURCE | L ATTITUDE | LONGITUDE | | |
| S1. | VEERAPATINAM | BORE WELL | 11°53"91 N | 79°47"47 E | | |
| S2. | THENGAITHITTU | BORE WELL | 11°53"59 N | 79°45"40 E | | |
| S3. | NONAKUPPAM | BORE WELL | 11°53"12 N | 79°47"42 E | | |
| S4. | MURNGAPAKKAM | BORE WELL | 11°54"51 N | 79°48.22 E | | |
| S5. | VELARAMPET | BORE WELL | 11°55"40 N | 79°48"47 E | | |
| S6. | OTHIAMPATTU | BORE WELL | 11°54"25 N | 79°46"36 E | | |
| S7. | VILLIANUR | BORE WELL | 11°90"98 N | 79°75"59 E | | |
| S8. | VILLANUR (MARKET) | BORE WELL | 11°90"98 N | 79°75"59 E | | |
| S9. | VILLANUR (HOUSING BOARD) | BORE WELL | 11°90" 98 N | 79°75"59 E | | |
| S10. | NAINAR MADABAM | BORE WELL | 11°54"43 N | 79°4817 E | | |
| S11. | OLGARATE | BORE WELL | 11°55"48 N | 79°42"48 E | | |
| S12. | MOHAN NAGAR | BORE WELL | 11°55"50 N | 79°47"12 E | | |
| S13. | THIRU NAGAR | BORE WELL | 11°54"45 N | 79°45"31 E | | |
| S14. | MUTHRIYAPALYAM | BORE WELL | 11°55"42 N | 79°47"18 E | | |

Table 1. Study region of Puducherry



International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET)e-ISSNVolume: 07 Issue: 06 | June 2020www.irjet.netp-ISSN

e-ISSN: 2395-0056 p-ISSN: 2395-0072

| S15. | MOOLAKULAM | BORE WELL | 11°55"25 N | 79°42"44 E |
|------|-----------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| S16. | VELRAPET | BORE WELL | 11°55"42 N | 79°48"47 E |
| S17. | LAWSPET | BORE WELL | 11°99"55 N | 79°81"88 E |
| S18. | LAWSPET (ECR) | BORE WELL | 11°99"55 N | 79°81"88 E |
| S19. | OSUDU LAKE AREA | BORE WELL | 11°96"14 N | 79°74"46 E |
| S20. | KALAPET | BORE WELL | 12°03"70 N | 79°85"52 E |

3. METHODOLOGY

To assess the impact of pollution level in the ground water, the samples were collected from the identified locations (20 Locations) of the Puducherry urban region. The samples were collected from the month of September to October 2019 (Pre-monsoon) and February to march (Post-monsoon).The ground water samples were collected from the bore well between a depth of 75-200 ft. The water samples were collected after running the well for about 10 mins which removes water in contact with the metal-well casing from the well-bore[7].The water samples were collected in hard plastic bottle of 11ts and then bottles are washed with 2% nitric acid and rinsed three times with distilled water[1]. The parameters like pH, electrical conductivity (EC), total dissolved solids (TDS) are measured in situ using the portable_pH.EC, TDS meter HI 98130(Hanna instrument). Alkalinity were measured by the standard titration method. Total hardness were measured by the EDTA method and chloride (cl⁻) by argentometric method. Nitrate and fluoride were measured by DR 5000 UV- Spectrometer having the wavelength of 190-1100 nm. To assess the drinking water quality, water quality indices have been calculated for every selected location of the study areas. The values tabulated and represented graphically.

The water quality index is a representation of large numbered data into a single numerical value and used to identify the significance of various parameters on the overall quality assessment of water. In this study, the water quality index has been calculated considering eight important parameters[4&6] using the standard quality of drinking water recommended by the WHO (World health organization)[7].The calculation was achieved by considering three major steps. First step, the acquired eight parameters been assigned with certain weight-age (wi) indicating its relative importance in assessment of water quality. In the second step, the relative weight (Wi) is calculated with the help of following equations.

Wi = wi/
$$\sum$$
wi
i=1

n

where, Wi is the relative weight, wi is the weight of each parameter and n is the number of parameter. In third step, a quality rating scale (qi) for each parameter is calculated by the following equations.

qi= (Ci/SI) X 100

Where, Ci is the concentration of each chemical parameters in each water sample in mg/l, and Si is the Indian drinking water standard for each chemical parameter in mg/l according to the guidelines of WHO.Using the relative weight and quality rating scale values SI for each parameter calculated. WQI is thus calculated using the equation mentioned below

SIi = Wi \cdot qi WQI = Σ SIi The weights assigned and relative weights for each parameter have been given in table (4), Water quality index values have been presented in table (4). The graphical representation of water quality are shown in figure 2.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The water samples collected from the various ground water sources (from 20 different location) and analyzed for quality assessment for pre and post monsoon are shown in the (table2) below and the result were compared with the drinking standard recommended by the WHO.

Table 2. Physico-Chemical Parameters of Ground Water for Pre monsoon in Puducherry region

| LOCATION NO | рН | EC | TDS | ALKALINITY | HARDNESS | Cl | NO3 | F |
|-------------|------|---------|--------|--------------------------------|----------|---------|--------|--------|
| | | (µs\cm) | (mg/l) | (HCO3 ⁻) (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) |
| S1 | 5.8 | 1408 | 543 | 653.11 | 514 | 301.35 | - | 0.15 |
| S2 | 5.7 | 1494 | 747 | 528.15 | 620.35 | 310.187 | - | 0.30 |
| S3 | 7.2 | 1942 | 946 | 732 | 728.57 | 430.7 | 0.49 | 0.38 |
| S4 | 5.6 | 684 | 342 | 607.56 | 285.7 | 262.48 | - | 0.31 |
| S5 | 5.8 | 4092 | 2046 | 611.2 | 860 | 262.35 | - | 0.55 |
| S6 | 7.5 | 1172 | 587 | 162.7 | 178.5 | 180.8 | - | 0.80 |
| S7 | 7.1 | 888 | 442 | 623.2 | 164.28 | 111.67 | - | 0.41 |
| S8 | 7.1 | 1008 | 504 | 656.3 | 157.14 | 145.35 | - | 0.73 |
| S9 | 7.3 | 1046 | 523 | 133.2 | 214.28 | 177.25 | - | 0.40 |
| S10 | 6.2 | 1045 | 1035 | 138.9 | 142.80 | 233.98 | - | 0.33 |
| S11 | 6.0 | 1526 | 776 | 280.06 | 692.30 | 272.96 | 3 | - |
| S12 | 6.07 | 2010 | 1005 | 567.3 | 853.84 | 868.52 | 1 | - |
| S13 | 6.4 | 634 | 300 | 152.5 | 307.69 | 83.30 | 21 | - |
| S14 | 6.2 | 750 | 374 | 381.25 | 430.76 | 102.80 | 47 | - |
| S15 | 5.9 | 312 | 160 | 381.25 | 230.71 | 60.26 | 43 | - |
| S16 | 6.2 | 1008 | 504 | 570.35 | 361.53 | 198.52 | 11 | - |
| S17 | 5.8 | 180 | 91 | 381.25 | 153.84 | 21.27 | 11 | - |
| S18 | 5.6 | 2054 | 1020 | 521.25 | 538.46 | 556.5 | 7 | - |
| S19 | 5.9 | 570 | 282 | 1525 | 276.92 | 72.67 | 7 | - |
| S20 | 7.2 | 638 | 319 | 762.5 | 353.84 | 62.03 | 28 | 0.01 |



| LOCATION | рН | EC | TDS | ALKALINITY | HARDNESS | Cl | NO3 | F |
|----------|-----|---------|--------|--------------------------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| NO | | (µs\cm) | (mg/l) | (HCO3 ⁻) (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) |
| S1 | 5.2 | 1399 | 650 | 525 | 525 | 267 | - | 0.09 |
| S2 | 5.3 | 1459 | 852 | 512.24 | 625 | 286 | - | 0.27 |
| S3 | 6.0 | 1967 | 752 | 850 | 712 | 362 | 0.69 | - |
| S4 | 5.8 | 752 | 265 | 582 | 298 | 258 | - | - |
| S5 | 5.2 | 4120 | 2015 | 620 | 875 | 253 | - | 0.27 |
| \$6 | 7.2 | 1185 | 458 | 125 | 198 | 165 | - | 0.50 |
| S7 | 6.8 | 896 | 456 | 618 | 180 | 102.5 | 0.25 | 0.26 |
| S8 | 6.8 | 1008 | 512 | 623 | 159 | 120 | - | 0.53 |
| S9 | 7.1 | 1023 | 650 | 128 | 217 | 136.2 | 0.95 | 0.25 |
| S10 | 7.2 | 1145 | 1026 | 150 | 159 | 245.8 | - | 0.29 |
| S11 | 6.5 | 1425 | 856 | 290 | 725 | 263.72 | 6.2 | - |
| S12 | 6.7 | 1985 | 950 | 502 | 890 | 596.4 | 2.5 | - |
| S13 | 6.2 | 735 | 850 | 148 | 320 | 95.25 | 37 | - |
| S14 | 5.5 | 820 | 350 | 372 | 478 | 112.5 | 68 | - |
| S15 | 5.4 | 216 | 175 | 378 | 264 | 45 | 48 | - |
| S16 | 6.1 | 1015 | 520 | 358 | 372 | 168 | 26 | - |
| S17 | 5.5 | 198 | 102 | 362 | 158 | 20 | 8 | - |
| S18 | 5.5 | 2102 | 1050 | 498 | 564 | 320 | 12.2 | - |
| S19 | 5.4 | 850 | 298 | 1539 | 280 | 68.2 | 9.2 | - |
| S20 | 7.1 | 785 | 329 | 756.2 | 394 | 55.2 | 36 | - |

Table 3.Physico-Chemical Parameters of Ground Water for Post monsoon in Puducherry region

The pH of the ground water samples in the urban region were not within the standard limit recommended by the WHO. In the collected samples eight sample out of twenty are within the limit in both pre and post monsoon. The pH Varies from 6.0-7.2 and 5.2-7.1 in the both seasons respectively. The lower pH of water in the region may be due to dissolved carbon dioxide, organic acids and agricultural wastes [8].Lower pH value of ground water may cause gastroientestial dioders especially hyeracidity, ulcers and burning sensation. The decrease in pH level in pre and post monsoons may be due to dissolutions of minerals or salts during rains[9].Electrical conductivity is the important parameters in water and will affect the taste.EC varied between 312-4092 μ mhos/cm and 216-4120 μ mhos/cm in pre-monsoon and post monsoon seasons respectively. The increasing ternd of EC from pre and post monsoon season may be due to the presences of high amount of dissolved inorganic substances in ionized form [4&13].TDS is also increased in only eight samples in the both pre monsoon

and post monsoon respectively. The total hardness having the range of 620.35-728.52 mg/l and 625-890 mg/l in the both seasons. The ground water is passed between the calcium and magnesium carbonate type of rock is very high soluble in water and it is enhanced by dissolved carbon dioxide in water [9&16]. This factor increases the total hardness in the region. The chloride(cl⁻) having the range of 310.35-868.52 mg/l in pre-monsoon and 236.72-596.4 mg/l in post monsoon. The level of chloride is decreases in post monsoon. Due to The natural spikes in chloride concentration can occur during the summer seasons "low flow" periods when evaporation exceeds precipitation[11&14]. However, the increases of chloride concentration due to sewage contamination and erosion in the region [4]. The concentration of nitrate (NO_3) in the range of 0.49-47 mg/l in pre monsoon and 0.25-68 mg/l and some region does not contain any nitrate. This nitrate(NO₃) is soluble and mobile is prone to leaching through soils with infifiltrating water. Decaying organic matter domestic wastes and fertilizers are the major sources nitrate in the groundwater that leads to methamoglobinemia (blue baby syndrome) in infants [12&17]. Flouride (F) are with in the limit in both pre and post monsoon prescribed standard limit by (WHO).

Table- 4 : Relative weight of measured parameters

| Parameter | WHO Standard | Weight(wi) | Relative Weight (Wi) |
|-----------|--------------|------------|----------------------|
| | | | |
| ph | 6.5 | 4 | 0.137931034 |
| EC | 2250 | 2 | 0.068965517 |
| tds | 1000 | 4 | 0.137931034 |
| alkanity | 732 | 3 | 0.103448276 |
| hardness | 600 | 3 | 0.103448276 |
| cloride | 1000 | 3 | 0.103448276 |
| flouride | 0.3 | 5 | 0.172413793 |
| nitrate | 100 | 5 | 0.172413793 |
| | | ∑wi=29 | $\sum Wi = 1$ |

Table 5. Water index quality for Pre monsoon and Post monsoon

| LOCATION NO | LOCATION | PRE MONSOON | POST MONSOON |
|-------------|-----------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| S1. | VEERAPATINAM | 53.94316081 | 48.69377545 |
| S2. | THENGAITHITTU | 65.58811195 | 64.08024686 |
| S3. | NONAKUPPAM | 83.56474055 | 57.16675405 |
| S4. | MURNGAPAKKAM | 52.74055344 | 34.29972794 |
| S5. | VELARAMPET | 110.859306 | 93.43864063 |
| S6. | OTHIAMPATTU | 80.82827232 | 60.89389983 |
| S7. | VILLIANUR | 60.24277853 | 51.30579319 |
| S8. | VILLANUR (MARKET) | 80.54966037 | 67.99216338 |
| S9. | VILLANUR (HOUSING BOARD) | 56.3096681 | 48.49455485 |
| S10. | NAINAR MADABAM | 56.44646816 | 58.07942899 |
| S11. | OLGARATE | 47.34799655 | 49.72534908 |
| S12. | MOHAN NAGAR | 64.79934918 | 68.39344273 |

ISO 9001:2008 Certified Journal



International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) e-ISSN: 23

Volume: 07 Issue: 06 | June 2020

www.irjet.net

e-ISSN: 2395-0056 p-ISSN: 2395-0072

| S13. | THIRU NAGAR | 31.60471406 | 47.45181138 |
|------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| S14. | MUTHRIYAPALYAM | 42.59569402 | 41.95032559 |
| S15. | MOOLAKULAM | 33.08597431 | 29.3767491 |
| S16. | VELRAMPET | 41.44170551 | 37.81821197 |
| S17. | LAWSPET | 24.27151985 | 23.83524804 |
| S18. | LAWSPET (ECR) | 55.86207618 | 54.2553221 |
| S19. | OSUDU LAKE AREA | 46.44153755 | 51.66417075 |
| S20. | KALAPET | 44.55461032 | 40.06134089 |

Table 6. Water quality index classification and results.

| WQI RANGE | WATED TVDE | | PERCENTA | GE OF SAMPLES |
|-----------|-----------------|---------|-------------|---------------|
| | WAIEKIIFE | | PRE-MONSOON | POST-MONSOON |
| <50 | EXCELLENT WATER | | 35 | 45 |
| 50-100 | GOOD WATER | | 50 | 50 |
| 100-200 | POOR WATER | | 5 | 0 |
| 200-300 | VERY POOR WATER | | 0 | 0 |
| >300 | UNSUITABLE FOR | DRIKING | 0 | 0 |
| | WATER | | | |



Figure -2: Graphical representation of water quality Index for pre monsoon and post monsoon

The calculated water quality index for pre monsoon reveals that 35% excellent water, 50% good quality water and only 5% of the water sample was found to be in poor quality. Whereas during post monsoon the quality assessment of water compared with the WHO reveals that 45% of water samples are excellent and 50% of water sample are found to be good and there is no poor quality water was identified during this season.

5. CONCLUSION

From the study on various parameters responsible for water quality using standard testing procedure it was found that, a slight variation in the quality of water was identified during post- monsoon and no significant

inferior quality of water was identified during the pre-monsoon and post monsoon period. The improvements in the quality of water during the post monsoon period are mainly due to the continuation in the supply of fresh water recharge. The water quality index gives an exact nature of water quality and acts as a decision support system for the suitability of the available ground water as potable. Hence this study on ground water quality has to be carried out on regular interval basis to monitor contamination. This study will gives useful information and promotes the further research in the ground water quality assessment.

REFRENCES

[1] Khwaja M. Anwar and Agarwal v.,2016, studies on seasonal variation in ground water quality: A statistical approach, Journal of Environment Research and Development, ISSN 0973-6921; E- ISSN 2319-5983, vol, 11 NO. 01.

[2] Priya kanwar and Pragya Khanna, 2015, Impact of industrial waste on Ground water In and around Bari Brahmna Industrial area, samba district, Jammu and Kashmir, India, International journal of Advanced Biological Research, ISSN 2250-3579, VOL 5(2): 143-149.

[3] Govindarajan M and Senthilnathan T, 2014, Ground water quality and its health impact analysis in an industrial area, International journal of current Microbiology & Applied sciences, Vol, 3 NO. 7, 1028-1034.

[4] M.k singh and bishu karmakar., 2017, Assessment of groundwater quality of Budhjungnar industrial estate and AD nagar & Badharghat industrial cluster of Tripura west District, Tripura state, India by water quality index method, Journal of science and technology. Vol 3 ISSN: 2395-6011.

[5] D. sivakumar, 2014, Groundwater quality assessment around Nagalkeni tannery industry belt, International journal of civil, Environment engineering vol, 8 NO3, publication 999-8069.

[6] D Satish Chandra.,2017, Estimation of water quality index by weighted arithmetic water quality index method: A model study, International journal of civil engineering and technology. Vol 8, 976-6308.

[7] WHO world Health Organization guidelines for drinking water quality, 2nd ed., 1993.

[8] kalyana Ramu Buridi and Rupa KUmari Gedala, 2014 Studyon determination of physico chemical parameters of ground water in Industrial Area of Pydibheemavaram, Vizana garam district, Andrapradesh, India, Austin Journal of public health and Epidemilogy, ISSN: 2381-9014, Vol 1 iss 2

[9] sana Akram and fazal-ur-Rehman., 2018, hardness in drinking water, its sources and its effects on humans and its household treatments, journal of chemistry and application vol 4 issue 1, 2380-5021.

[10] Thilagavathi R, chidambram S, Prasana MV, Thivya C, Singaraja C., 2012, A study on ground water quality in layered aquifers system of Puducherry Urban region. Journal of applied water sciences 2(4):253-269.

[11] Molly hunt, Elizabeth Herron and linda green., 2012, chlorides in fresh water, a journal of URI watershed watch, 02881-0804.

[12] Shah jehan, saradar khan., 2018, Hyrochemical properties of drinking water and their sources apportionment of pollution in Bajaur agency, Pakistan, a journal of science and technology. ISSN: 0263-2241.

[13] Dr. G.Sunpriya achary, 2014, studies on ground water pollution due to iron content in cuttack city, Odisha, India. International journal of multidisciplinary and current research, ISSN: 2321-3124, vol-2.

[14] S. Selva kumar , N. chandrasekar, G. kumar, hydrological characteristics and groundwater contamination in the rapid urban development areas of Coimbatore, India, water resources. Ind .17(2017) 26-33.

[15] M. sridharan and D. senthil Nathan., 2017, Ground water quality assessment for domestic and agriculture purposes in Puducherry region, Journal of applied water science. DOI 10.007/s 13201-017-0556-y.

[16] Chauhan N.B and thakor F.J., A study of water quality index of heranj lake, Dist. Kheda –Gujarat , Asian journal of Exp. Bio,sci.,3(3), 2012. 582-588.



[17] Yisa j. and jimoh T., Analytical studies on water quality index of river lnadzu", Journal of applied science., 7(4), 2012, 453-458.

[18] Anima Upadhay, chandrakala M.,2017, water quality index of ganga river water, Rishikesh, uttrakhand , India, a journal of applied science and technology, ISSN 2321-9653, vol-5.