Analysis of Open Source Node.js Vulnerability Scanners
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Abstract - Today, web developers don’t write the entire code from scratch, rather they rely on many open source components. The widespread use means developers don’t have an in-depth knowledge of how the code exactly works. Often these components are not kept up to date with the latest release and patches. These unmaintained versions add to the security threats. One way of keeping a track of such dependencies is to continuously monitor them with scanning tools. Out of the few open source tools available, Retire.js and Snyk are among the top. Retire.js, is recommended by OWASP (Open Web Application Security Project) for scanning node and JavaScript vulnerabilities. Snyk on the other hand is more feature rich than most other tools and has an extensive vulnerability database. Both these tools come with CLI (command line interface) integration, which provides a benchmark standard for comparison. This paper reviews the tools for both feature-based comparisons (based on existing features) as well as result-based comparisons (based on scanning result). Feature-based comparisons will focus on parameters like user-friendliness, vulnerability database, extent of scanning and features of command line interface of two tools. Result-based comparison will directly compare the result of scans and the vulnerability database of two tools.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The OWASP Top Ten exposes typical software security flaws of software systems. In 2017, Using Components with Known Vulnerabilities is listed as number 9 in this list [1]. The security threats arise from the development teams being unaware of all the open source components and not maintaining the dependencies. Open source software usually refers to software whose source code is “open” and available to anyone to study, use and adapt [2]. Often the developer uses a dependency and then later doesn’t keep the dependency updated to its latest secure version (latest release or patch). Components often run with the same privileges as the application, so any security flaw in the component can lead to serious issues in the entire application. Number of security vulnerabilities in web application has grown with the tremendous growth of web application in last two decades [3]. One of the perceived values of open source software is the idea that many eyes can increase code quality and reduce the amount of bugs [4]. But a manual observation of components can be a sheer wastage of resource. OWASP acknowledges that to avoid any security issue the simplest way is to develop the dependencies in-house and avoid use of open source components.

But such approach will cost any organization a lot of resources, manpower, and timeframe. The best way to avoid such issue is to continuously monitor the vulnerabilities using National Vulnerability Database (NVD), security advisories and issue trackers.

According to WhiteSource’s research, 91% of software projects contain indirect open source dependencies. The average project relies on no less than 64 different libraries with 8 different licenses. In addition, in 65% of the cases, open source components bring with them additional dependencies that are subject to a different license. [5]

It is recommended that organizations recognize that libraries are a critical part of their software infrastructure and ensure they have the level of awareness and the necessary tooling within their organization to generate appropriate assurance [6]. There are a lot of dependencies, libraries and license variations. Keeping track of all such components and finding the corresponding CVE (Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures) number is a difficult task. That is why there is a need for automated open source management system for any modern organization. Therefore, security society actively develops automated approach to finding security vulnerability [7]. The importance of using vulnerability scanners to unveil flaws in web applications before they are deployed has been realized by many organizations today [8]. The system should be able to continuously monitor the software and generate informative reports about the vulnerable components, insecure versions, security threats and CVE numbers. Web applications have become the primary source of security vulnerabilities [9]. This paper reviews two open source
scanning tools for node dependencies. The tools under consideration are Retire.js and Snyk.

1.1 Retire.js

The goal of Retire.js is to help detect use of version with known vulnerabilities. It scans both the node modules as well as JavaScript libraries. Retire.js has a separate GitHub repository where it keeps an updated list of all the vulnerable node modules and JavaScript libraries. These are in accordance with NVD, CVE, npm advisory, HackerOne and other such vulnerable dependency management databases. Being an open source tool, new vulnerabilities can be reported in a format specified by Retire.js.

Retire.js can be used in different ways:
1. Command line scanner
2. Grunt plugin
3. Chrome extension
4. Firefox extension
5. Burp and OWASP zap plugin

For reviewing the scanners this paper uses command line tool, and the report generated by that.

For detecting an insecure version, it uses filename or URL. If that doesn’t work, it downloads the file and looks for comments inside that. It can also use hashes for minified files. If all the above method fails, Chrome plugin tries to run the code in a sandbox and detects the name and version of the component. Command line scanner does not use this method because running arbitrary JavaScript files in node environment can lead to complications and unwanted consequences.

1.2 Snyk

Snyk also offers a feature-rich command line tool and a more user-friendly UI for finding and reporting security vulnerabilities. Snyk command line tool scans through the project’s node modules. Unlike Retire.js it doesn’t scan the JavaScript libraries. Snyk also maintains its own vulnerability database and since it’s an open source tool, newer vulnerabilities if discovered can be reported to the Snyk community. Snyk tool provides a feature of GitHub integration where the project hosted on GitHub can be automatically scanned for vulnerabilities through pull request. The GitHub support allows for a continuous open source management system for a modern organization/company. For common review of both the tools command line interface of both are considered in this paper.

2. FEATURE BASED COMPARISON OF RETIRE.JS AND SNYK

This section compares the two open source tools based on their features. Here we compare the extent of scanning, ease of access, CLI options, types/format of report generated and informativeness of the report (Table 1).

Table -1: Feature Based comparison of Retire.js and Snyk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature Based comparison of Retire.js and Snyk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retire.js</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retire.js has no GitHub integration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retire.js scans both node as well as JavaScript libraries. Retire.js command line tool has the option of scanning only node or only JavaScript library if required. Accomplished by -n/--node and -j/--js.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retire.js reports contain key important fields that describe the vulnerability such as CVE id, Severity, info/references, version used, insecure versions, but are not as informative as Snyk reports.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. &quot;functions&quot; field lists out the functions in the code where the vulnerable component was used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. &quot;patches&quot; field lists out available patches of that component.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. &quot;from&quot; field lists the path through which the component gets introduced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. &quot;isPatchable&quot;, &quot;isUpgradable&quot; fields state the possibility of node module being upgradable/patchable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retire.js generates reports in json/text. (No readable html format)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous monitoring feature in Retire.js does not exist. Scanning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
is done by manually running retire.js commands.

Automatic fix feature in Retire.js does not exist. It only scans and generated reports.

Both Retire.js and Snyk have ignore option that ignores certain dependencies. That means if you have a certain dependency and you know its vulnerable, but you still want to use that, this feature will ignore that component.

Retire.js does that by using the command --ignore <paths>

Both Retire.js and Snyk have option to set severity threshold i.e., you can set it to medium then only vulnerabilities which are >= medium severity are reported.

Retire.js accomplishes that by --severity <level>

Retire.js directly mentions the vulnerable component file path not how it gets introduced (i.e. no description of dependency path).

Snyk will not only report vulnerabilities in components, but it will also offer to fix them with their wizard tool (using snyk wizard and snyk protect).

Snyk performs the ignore process by using the command ignore

Snyk accomplishes severity threshold by --severity-threshold

Snyk's report includes dependency paths of each vulnerable component.

Retire.js do not does that by using the command --severity <level>

Snyk's report includes dependency paths of each vulnerable component.

Fig -1: Vulnerable node module mixin-deep reported by Snyk

Fig -2: Vulnerable node module mixin-deep reported by Snyk

3. RESULT BASED COMPARISON OF RETIRE.JS AND SNYK

This section makes use of both the tools and draws comparison between them based on vulnerability report they generate. A common node-based project was taken, and both the scanners were run on the same project.

3.1 Methodology

The vulnerable node-based project for scanning is hosted on GitHub. The repository was cloned on the local system, and then the dependencies in package.json file were installed. After installation, the command line scanners (both Retire.js and Snyk) were run. Both scanners generated a report in json format which was then converted into readable format. Snyk command line has existing feature snyk-to-html for such conversion. For Retire.js scanner, third party json to html converter was used.
Retire.js Scan: [10]
retire --path <path-to-repository> --outputformat json --outputpath report.json

Retire.js command line scan with options of path (of the cloned repository), output format (json) and output path (path to the generated report). After this the generated report was converted into a html file using create-html npm package.

Snyk Scan: [11]
snyk test --json | snyk-to-html -o result.html

Snyk command line scan with options of output format (json) and simultaneous passing of json report to snyk-to-html which has the option of output path for the generated html report.

3.1.1 Project Under Scanner

OWASP NodeGoat:
Developed by OWASP to provide an environment for learning how OWASP Top 10 security risks apply to node-based web application.

3.1.2 Common vulnerabilities found by both scanners

Table -2: Common Vulnerabilities found by Snyk and Retire.js.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>npm module</th>
<th>Version</th>
<th>Severity Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>adm-zip</td>
<td>0.4.4</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>growl</td>
<td>1.9.2</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hoek</td>
<td>0.9.1</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mixin-deep</td>
<td>1.3.1</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>utile</td>
<td>0.2.1</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uglify-js</td>
<td>2.3.24</td>
<td>medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extend</td>
<td>3.0.0</td>
<td>critical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stringstream</td>
<td>0.0.5</td>
<td>medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>brace-expansion</td>
<td>1.1.6</td>
<td>medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lodash</td>
<td>2.4.2</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>request</td>
<td>2.36.0</td>
<td>medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tough-cookie</td>
<td>2.2.2</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. lists out the vulnerable node modules reported by both the tools in NodeGoat project. Both tools reported the same version of component as vulnerable showing they have a consistent database for these modules.

Fig -3: Vulnerable node module mixin-deep reported by Retire.js

Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 (Snyk scan for mixin-deep module) show the descriptive fields like "cvssScore", "functions" and "from" which are not present in Fig. 3 (Retire.js scan of same mixin-deep module).

3.1.3 Vulnerabilities found only by Snyk

Table -3: Vulnerabilities found only by Snyk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>npm module</th>
<th>Version</th>
<th>Severity Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>set-value</td>
<td>2.0.0</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mongodb</td>
<td>2.2.36</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bson</td>
<td>1.0.9</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>minimist</td>
<td>different versions in package-lock.json</td>
<td>medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>helmet-csp</td>
<td>1.2.2</td>
<td>medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 are the scan results from Snyk tool which show the vulnerabilities in set-value and mongodb modules. Among others listed in Table 3, these modules went undetected under Retire.js scanner.
3.1.4 Vulnerable JavaScript libraries found by Retire.js

Table 4 lists out the vulnerable JavaScript libraries found by Retire.js command line scanner, Snyk scanner does not have this feature. Fig. 6 provides a sample report for a vulnerable JavaScript library generated by Retire.js.

![Vulnerable JavaScript library dojo.js reported by Retire.js](image)

Fig. 6: Vulnerable JavaScript library dojo.js reported by Retire.js

4. CONCLUSIONS

It is established that for any organization there is a need for automated node.js vulnerability scanning tools. Software maintainers and auditors would benefit from a tool to help them focus their attention on functions that are likely to be the source of security vulnerabilities [12]. With a thorough analysis of the two open source scanners Retire.js and Snyk, it can be concluded that Snyk scanner is more advantageous because of its user-friendliness, feature-rich command line scanner, GitHub integration support and informative report generation. Retire.js scanner has an upper hand when it comes to reporting vulnerable JavaScript libraries as Snyk doesn’t report JavaScript libraries. Also, it was found that Snyk was able to report more vulnerable node modules than Retire.js, hence, Snyk has a vast and extensive vulnerability database. Therefore, for any open source security management system, it should have the benefits of both the scanners, extensive informative node module scan like Snyk and vulnerable JavaScript library detection like Retire.js.
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