VIBRATION ANALYSIS OF BORING TOOL TO IMPROVE SURFACE FINISH
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Abstract - In the field of manufacturing industries, many new concepts and techniques have been introduced to improve its performance and adaptability to the international standards [2]. In industries, boring operation suffer vibrations due to high over hang of the tool. In this report an innovative shatter suppression method based on particle damping technique is attempted to reduce chatter in boring tool and thereby study the improvement in surface finish. Slight structural alteration will be done to minimize the vibration in boring tool. The impact of particle filled boring tool on surface finish will be obtained by measuring the surface roughness of work piece. Granules of different metals, ceramics and polymers of various sizes will be used [3].
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1. INTRODUCTION

Boring is a commonly used operation to enlarge the existing holes of machine structures. When boring tool is slender and long, it is subjected to excessive static deflections or self-excited chatter vibrations which are detrimental to the accuracy and surface finish of the hole. It also causes accelerated wear and chipping of the tool. Internal turning frequently requires a long and slender boring tool in order to machine inside a cavity, and the vibrations generally become highly correlated with one of the fundamental bending modes of the boring tool. Different methods can be applied to reduce the vibrations, the implementation of the most efficient and stable methods require in-depth knowledge concerning the dynamic properties of the tooling system. Furthermore, the interface between the boring tool and the clamping house has a significant influence on the dynamic properties of the clamped boring tool [3]. This report focuses on the behavior of a boring tool that arises under different overhang lengths which are commonly used in the manufacturing industry [4].

1.1 PROBLEM STATEMENT

During deep boring process, usually when l/d (overhang length/boring tool diameter) ratio is higher, the excessive vibrations are induced at tip of boring tool which hampers the surface finish consequently quality of the products. Moreover it reduces life of cutting tool.

1.2 METHODOLOGY

The stiffness and damping of the boring tool should be increased in order to reduce the vibration. In this project, to achieve the maximum damping effect, the boring tool is laminated with carbon fiber and with glass fiber.

i. Original Mild Steel

ii. Carbon fiber

iii. Glass fiber

To assess the effect of carbon fiber and glass fiber on the acceleration amplitude, experimentation is carried out with different cutting parameters.

2. EXPERIMENTAL ANALYSIS

To determine the dynamic behavior of boring tool while performing boring operations on mild steel work piece three boring tools were selected. The experimental set-up is designed and engineered to alter the variation of the parameters to be studied. Acceleration amplitude of a vibration is measured for 3 different boring tools, a standard boring tool, a boring tool laminated carbon fiber, and a boring tool laminated glass fiber. In cutting test, experiments were conducted with and without the passive damper to acquire acceleration data in the frequency domain. The data is later used for studying the damping characteristics of the system. A full factorial design is used to evaluate the effect of independent variables such as spindle speeds, depths of cut, feed rates, overhang lengths, and lamination of carbon fiber and glass fiber. The dependent variable is acceleration response amplitude of the boring tool. Total 135 experiments were performed to cover maximum combinations of variables.

2.1 CARBON FIBER

Carbon fibers are a sort of superior fiber available for engineering application. It's additionally known as graphite fiber or carbon graphite. Carbon fiber consists of terribly thin strands of the element carbon. Carbon fibers have high durability and are very sturdy for their size. In fact, carbon fiber could be the strongest material. Carbon fibers have high elastic modulus and fatigue strength than those of glass fibers. Considering service life, studies suggests that carbon fiber-reinforced polymers have a lot of potential than aramid and glass fibers. They are
extremely chemically resistant and have heat tolerance with low thermal expansion and corrosion resistance.[5]

**2.2 GLASS FIBER MATERIAL**

Glass fiber (or glass fiber) is a material consisting of numerous extremely fine fibers of glass. Glass fiber has roughly comparable mechanical properties to other fibers such as polymers and carbon fiber. Although not as rigid as carbon fiber, it is much cheaper and significantly less brittle when used in composites. Glass fibers are therefore used as a reinforcing agent for many polymer products; to form a very strong and relatively lightweight fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) composite material called glass-reinforced plastic (GRP), also popularly known as "fiberglass". This material contains little or no air or gas, is denser, and is a much poorer thermal insulator than is glass wool.[5]

![Figure 1: Carbon fiber material](image1)

Once the epoxy resin is applied over the carbon fiber, glass fiber and boring tool, the wrapping has to be done quickly because epoxy resin starts getting thick once it is mixed with a hardener and exposed to air. After wrapping carbon fiber around the shank of the boring tool it was kept aside for 2 days to become hard. The hardening process continuous for 16 days but it will not largely affect the properties of carbon fiber and glass fiber. To put the accelerometer over the boring tool for measuring the data, after hardening of the carbon fiber, small piece of laminated material was removed and that metal part was polished to remove the adhesive. The laminated boring tools prepared for the experimentation are shown in figure.

![Figure 3: Laminated Boring Tool](image2)

![Figure 4: Boring Tools Prepared for Experimentation](image3)

**2.3 CONSTRUCTION OF THE DAMPED BORING TOOL**

The carbide tip steel boring tool of diameter 16 mm is used for the boring of mild steel work piece of 80 mm diameter. Because of dynamic stiffness and natural frequency of high-speed steel, boring with high slenderness ratio is very difficult as it induces vibrations in boring operation. It is difficult to perform a boring operation at low feed rate, low speed and high depth of cut due to poor properties of the boring tool. Therefore, in this project work the boring tool of diameter 19 mm is constructed by using carbon fiber as a passive damper. The unidirectional carbon fiber is wrapped to the high-speed steel boring tool to increase the damping with the help of epoxy resin as an adhesive agent.

![Figure 5: Laminated Boring Tool](image4)

Steel has less stiffness as compared to the composite material. Hence to improve longitudinal and bending stiffness, lamination of carbon fiber and glass fiber was done on the shank of the boring tool. Adhesive used for lamination of a carbon fiber is the epoxy resin. Epoxy resin is not only act as an adhesive but also it improves the stiffness of the structure.

![Figure 6: Boring Tools Prepared for Experimentation](image5)

**2.4 EXPERIMENTAL SETUP**

The vibration analysis of the boring tool with and without lamination has been carried out on the lathe machine in college workshop. The capacity of the lathe machine is 2.2 KW and a maximum machining diameter of 120 mm. The experiment was performed on a mild steel work pieces having 80 mm inner diameter as an internal boring operation using boring tool.
The F.F.T. analyzer has been used to obtain the vibration acceleration amplitude and the displacement of the boring tool under different combinations of cutting parameters. An accelerometer has been mounted with the help of adhesive at the tip of the boring tool in order to get efficient results of the tip of the tool under different conditions. The F.F.T. analyzer is connected to a laptop by using USB cable in order to get a graphical representation of the output of the experiments.

### Table 1: Cutting parameters used for experimentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Levels</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spindle speed (rpm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>280</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed rate (mm/rev)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth of cut (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overhang length of tool (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>96</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS

Finite element analysis is done to show natural frequency changes as the laminated material changes. By doing this experiment is validate for further readings. In FEA all boring tools are overhang at length of 96 mm and forces are applied at tip of boring tool.

#### I. Force Acting on Boring Tool:

Tangential cutting force is given by

$$F_t = \frac{1677 \times f^{0.8} \times D^{0.96} \times L^{0.05}}{r^{0.07} \times S^{0.08}}$$

Where,

- $S = \text{cutting speed (m/min)} = 113.09 \text{ (mm/min)}$
- $f = \text{feed rate (mm/rev)} = 0.1 \text{ mm/rev}$
- $D = \text{depth of cut (mm)} = 0.2 \text{ mm}$
- $L = \text{tool length (mm)} = 96 \text{ mm}$
- $r = \text{tool nose radius (mm)} = 0.4 \text{ mm}$

Radial cutting force is given by

$$F_r = 0.308 \times F_t$$

$$F_r = 4.73 \text{ N}$$

Resultant force is given by

$$F_e = \sqrt{F_t^2 + F_r^2} = 16.07 \text{ N}$$

This forces are applied in ANSYS at tip of the tool in radial and tangential directions.

### Figure 5: Experimental setup

![Experimental setup](image)
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### Figure 6: Total deformation of tool without lamination

![Total deformation](image)

Frequency obtained by this analysis is 1249 Hz. After specifying properties of carbon fiber and glass fiber in ANSYS library layer is applied on boring tool of 1.5 mm and orientation is given by using ACP module in ANSYS. Then by doing modal analysis results obtained are as follows.

### Figure 7: Total deformation of tool with glass fiber lamination

![Total deformation](image)

Frequency obtained by glass fiber lamination tool is 976 Hz.
4. RESULTS

In order to study the effect of different lamination on boring tool three tools were prepared. First tool is prepared with no lamination that having shank of mild steel and cutting tip of cemented carbide. Second tool is prepared with glass fiber lamination on Shank made of mild steel. Third tool is prepared with carbon fiber lamination on Shank made of mild steel. To obtain the results spindle speed is kept same for all set i.e. 280 rpm. Depth of cut is 0.2mm. And feed rate is also same 0.1 mm/rev. Nine readings were taken by using combination of overhang length (96mm, 112mm, 128mm). From the pilot experiments, it is found that tool with carbon fiber coating gives the better results over the other two tools.

- Tool Without lamination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Overhang length (mm)</th>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Acceleration (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild steel</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>2.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>112</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>3.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>128</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>4.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Tool with glass fiber lamination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Overhang length (mm)</th>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Acceleration (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glass fiber</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>112</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>128</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Tool with carbon fiber lamination

FFT analyzer shows that for glass fiber lamination tool frequency is same but acceleration changes as the overhang length increases. Increase in acceleration means increase in vibration. Results obtained are better than no lamination tool. Acceleration reduces for glass fiber lamination than no lamination. Vibration is less compared to no lamination tool.
FFT analyzer shows that for carbon fiber lamination tool frequency is same but acceleration changes as the overhang length increases. Increase in acceleration means increase in vibration. Results obtained are better than glass fiber lamination tool. Acceleration reduces for carbon fiber lamination than glass fiber lamination. Vibration is less compared to glass fiber lamination tool.

Table 4: Readings for tool with carbon fiber lamination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Overhang length (mm)</th>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Acceleration (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon fiber</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>128</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.1 SURFACE ROUGHNESS TEST

Measuring condition

- Probe type= SB10 (inductive)
- Measuring Range= 160 μm
- LV= Rugosurf 20
- Travese length= 5.00 mm
- Speed= 1 mm/s
- LC (Cut Off)= 2.5 mm
- Filter= ISO 11562

Table 5: Surface finish readings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Surface finish (μm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No lamination shank tool</td>
<td>11.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass fiber lamination shank tool</td>
<td>5.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon fiber lamination shank tool</td>
<td>4.485</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. CONCLUSIONS

In order to study the effect of different fiber on the damping of the boring tool, full factorial experimental analysis has been performed. And to validate the results, surface roughness testing was done. For no lamination tool and laminated tool, readings were taken under the same cutting conditions to check the damping effectiveness of each tool.

1. At overhang length of 96 mm reduction in acceleration is by 49% in glass fiber laminated shank tool and 57% in carbon fiber laminated shank tool.
2. At overhang length of 112 mm reduction in acceleration is by 48% in glass fiber laminated shank tool and 64% in carbon fiber laminated shank tool.
3. At overhang length of 128 mm reduction in acceleration is by 59% in glass fiber laminated shank tool and 67% in carbon fiber laminated shank tool.
4. Using laminated shank boring tool reduction in acceleration amplitude is observed compared to no laminated shank boring tool.
5. Surface finish when machining of work piece by glass fiber laminated shank and carbon fiber laminated shank is improved by 52.73% and 59.86% respectively than no laminated shank.
6. Surface finish when machining of work piece by carbon fiber laminated shank tool is improved by 15.1% than glass fiber laminated shank tool.
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