Seismic Response of Regular and Irregular Building using Tuned Mass Damper
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Abstract – Damping is a phenomenon in which the energy of system is completely eliminated and system is brought to rest. The present study attempted to investigate the effect of tuned mass damper on the performance of G+12 storey for both regular and irregular structures. The modeling was done based on the ETABS software and it was analyzed using nonlinear time history analysis by considering Elcentro earthquake data. The performance of structures with and without tuned mass damper with 3% and 5% mass ratio against earthquake damages was considered. The buildings are provided with dampers at the top storey. The results obtained are then compared for storey displacement, storey drift, axial load of column, time period and demand capacity ratio of column for both regular and irregular building. It was seen that by the application of dampers, the displacement, axial load in column was reduced and buildings performed well in the presence of dampers.
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1. INTRODUCTION

An earthquake can be defined as the sudden vibration in the ground due to the slip on fault without prior notice, hence seismic waves are produced due to the abrupt release of energy in earth’s lithosphere and the seismic waves which travel through the earth surface. Earthquake damage depends upon different conditions which consists of intensity, duration, quality of construction, geological conditions, soil conditions and frequency content of ground motion. The earthquake effects are terrible and disastrous. It causes lot of destruction in the society like people get injured and killed. Many hospitals, buildings and schools are destroyed due to it. It also affects the emotional health and mental health of people. The environmental effects of earthquake are tectonic uplift, soil liquefaction, surface faulting, tsunamis, ground resonance, ground failure and land sliding.

Severity of ground shaking at a given location for the duration of an earthquake can be minor, moderate and strong. The main intention of the design is to make the structure resistant to earthquake, in such building it resist the effects of ground shaking, although they may get damaged but would not collapse during the strong earthquake. For an earthquake resistant building, particularly the main elements need to be build with ductility in order to sway back and forth during an earthquake.

1.1 Dampers

Tuned mass dampers is also called as seismic damper or harmonic absorber which is a device mounted in structures in order to reduce the amplitude of mechanical vibrations. TMD can prevent damage, discomforts. They are mainly used in automobiles, power transmission and buildings. It consists of a spring, mass and damper which is attached to a structure to reduce the dynamic response of the structures. The frequency of damper is tuned to a particular structural frequency so that when the frequency is excited, the damper will resonate out of phase with structural motion. Energy is dissipated by damper inertia force acting on structure. The tuned mass damper concept was first applied by Frahm to reduce the ship hull vibrations and rolling motion of ships. It was later presented by Den Harog and Ormondroyd. Initially this theory was applied only for the undamped single degree of freedom system which is subjected to sinusoidal force excitation. More research were made by Warburton, Randall et.al and Tsai and Lin.

1.2 Objectives

1. To determine the Demand capacity ratio for column.
2. To determine the displacement, storey drift and axial load for both regular and irregular building for ELCENTRO earthquake ground motion.
3. To determine the time period for regular and irregular building.
4. To compare the performance of buildings with and without damper.

1.3 Methodology

1. Non-linear time history analysis is carried out for the structure by using Elcentro earthquake data.
2. ETABS software is used for the modeling and analysis of structure.
3. The performance of structures with and without tuned mass damper with 3% and 5% mass ratio against earthquake damages was considered.
4. Storey displacement, storey drift, axial load of column, demand capacity ratio for column is calculated for both regular and irregular building.

2. STRUCTURAL MODELING

The main objective of the study is to perform a time history analysis for a regular and irregular building using tuned mass dampers. The model consists of G+12 storey with 5m bay on both sides with 3m storey height. The dampers are provided at the top floors. The results are plotted and compared among 3% mass ratio and 5% mass ratio of tuned mass dampers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of stories</th>
<th>G+12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of bays in X direction</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of bays in Y direction</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay width in X direction</td>
<td>5m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay width in Y direction</td>
<td>5m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seismic zone</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of soil</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response reduction factor</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Importance factor</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table -2: Structural property**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beam size</th>
<th>300mmX450mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Column size</td>
<td>500mmX500mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slab thickness</td>
<td>100mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table -3: Material property**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade of concrete</th>
<th>M30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade of steel</td>
<td>Fe415</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table -4: Load Intensities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loads</th>
<th>Floor kN/m²</th>
<th>Roof kN/m²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Live Load</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor Finish</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. RESULTS

From the results obtained the comparison was made for the storey displacement, storey drift, time period, column axial load and demand capacity ratio for both regular and irregular building for 3% mass ratio and 5% mass ratio of tuned mass dampers.

3.1 Storey Displacement

3.2 Storey Drift

3.3 Max. Column Axial Load
3.4 Time period

Fig -10: Max. column axial load for Irregular Building

3.5 Demand capacity ratio for column

Fig -13: Demand capacity ratio of column for Regular Building

Fig -14: Demand capacity ratio of column for Irregular Building

4 CONCLUSIONS

1. From the results obtained we can conclude that as the storey increases the displacement also increases. The bare frame has more displacement when compared to the damped structures.

2. For Regular building, the average reduction in storey displacement for 3% and 5% mass ratio of tuned mass damper are 62.05% and 64.4% respectively and for irregular building, the average reduction are 57.9% and 66.2% respectively.

3. For Regular building, the average reduction in storey drift for 3% and 5% mass ratio of tuned mass damper are 67.8% and 72.36% respectively and for
irregular building, the average reduction are 63.69% and 69.74% respectively.
4. For Regular building, the average reduction in column axial load for 3% and 5% mass ratio of tuned mass damper are 40.26% and 43.37% respectively and for irregular building the average reduction are 40.71% and 43.75% respectively.
5. In regular building the time period is less for 5% mass ratio of tuned mass damper and it is reduced by 4.59% for 5% mass ratio of tuned mass damper.
6. In irregular building the time period is reduced by 4.57% for 5% mass ratio of tuned mass dampers.
7. For both regular and irregular building the demand capacity ratio is less than one.
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