Abstract – The paper focused on analytical study for the lateral and Seismic behavior of hybrid steel wall systems. Cold formed steel channel sections are generally used as channel sections and are generally used as flexural members in light weight steel construction. Improved channel section profiles as Supa Cee section with longitudinal web stiffeners and curved lips are also used instead of conventional lipped channel sections. Web crippling capacities of these innovative sections can different from those of conventional lipped channel sections. Here firstly, a bending test is conducted in Supa Cee model and find out its load carrying capacities. We select more section like Zeed, Sigma section and find out its Web crippling capacities. Select the best section from above and it is used in Hybrid wall panel having a unique size 3m x 3m which adopt fully cold-formed steel or fully hot-formed steel or mix of both. Due to these different configurations of cold and hot formed steel, the structural performance of the structure is found out using pushover analysis and seismic analysis.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Cold-formed steel (CFS) section is the term used for products which are made by rolling or pressing thin gauges of steel sheets into goods. CFS construction materials differ in many respects than other steel construction materials like hot rolled steel. The manufacturing of CFS products occurs at the room temperature with the use of rolling/pressing. The buckling property is used to analyze the strength of elements. In comparison to the hot rolled section with the cold rolled sections, CFS having more moment of inertia and section modulus in x-direction and y-direction, therefore the load carrying capacity and moment resisting capacity are higher than hot rolled section. Cold-formed steel elements are having two types, stiffened or unstiffened. The stiffened elements obtained by an element supported by webs along both longitudinal edges. While the unstiffened element is obtained when the element supported along any one longitudinal edge only and the other edge can have the displacement.

The aim of this paper is to analysies the structural performance of Hybrid cold formed steel wall panel under lateral loads loading by means of their load carrying capacity. The load-deflection relation of different shaped steel sections is firstly evaluating from the software. The Section having better performance is used as Hybrid wall panel structure. Bending Test and Axial Load are key for evaluate better performance of Supa Sections. Lateral load are applied at Hybrid wall panel having supa sections at the inner walls (Normal CFS) and Box type Hot rolled steel sections are used in outer sections.

This study is to conduct an evaluation on strength behavior, ductility behavior, stress distribution and load-deflection by FEA with Hybrid wall having different shaped supa steel sections. The lateral loading, axial loading are applied on all the cases. By analyzing the load-deflection curve, find out the performance of the Hybrid panel under various shaped steel sections.

1.1 Objectives
The aim of the project is analyze the best configuration of the hybrid wall having high performance in load carrying capacity and high ductile performance. An extensive parametric study was conducted on this finite element models to investigate the strength behavior, ductility behavior, load deflection and stress distribution of hybrid wall having steel sections like supa cee, supa zeed , supa sigma using either beam or column under lateral load conditions.

2. MODELLING AND ANALYSIS
The general layout and dimensions of specimen are given below fig.A. The verification of finite element model of section size of depth 204.9 mm. The Section having Flange width (bf) is 69.7 mm, Corner Radius (ri) is 5mm, Lip width (bl) is 22.9 mm and Stiffeners (s) is 12 mm. The element type is shelf 181. The finite element model is created in ANSYS using different element Types, Real Constants and Material Models and is assigned to respective elements of the model. The loads and boundary conditions are then applied. Next, the material properties are defined. The
materials consist of structural steel its engineering data are assigned. Table 1 shows the material property of connection elements.

![Fig -A: SupaCee Steel Section](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Material property of connection elements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUPACCEE COLD FORM SECTION</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1 Boundary Condition, Contact Interactions and Loading

The SupaCee (with Crip) steel section is considered as specimen. In software it is place as like a beam and bending load is applied at the end ie, End Two Flange Loading.

2.2 Selected Parameters

The dimensions of the steel sections are considered equal with Crip or stiffeners . The first parametric study was change in shape of steel sections. Two more shape are selected they are Supa Zeed, Sigma etc.

The secondly changed parameter was the steel section without Crip i.e. C, Z Sigma Sections. After that the best section is taken from the above analysis.

Moreover, consider the parameter of steel sections considered as column. Axial load is applied for column parameter.

Third parameter was application of each section as inner beam and column in Hybrid wall panel. In Hybrid wall panel the outer wall is taken as Hot rolled steel and inner beam and column are taken as cold formed steel sections. For this analysis the best position of joint is taken from the above analysis.

Finally, lateral loading is selected for the performance evaluation like strength behavior, ductility behavior and stress distribution of different wall panel models. The analysis was done in ANSYS software.

![Fig -1: Boundary conditions and lateral loading](image)

![Chart -1: Load deflection curve](image)

In the finite element software, loading was applied statically which a displacement control loading is to the top of the column up to the final loading step. Chart 1 shows the curve of the load of SupaCee section vs. the horizontal displacement in mm. Then analyses the model in ANSYS Workbench 16.1.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 The Performance of Change in Shape of Steel Section

In this study, there are six models. In each model have same overall weight. The dimensions of the steel sections is considered with Crip and without Crip. The models are different just in the shape of sections.
Fig 2: Deflection of Steel Section with and without Crip

Chart 2: Load deflection curve

Chart 2(a) shows the load-deflection graph of the SupaCee, SupaZeed and Supasigma sections. According to this graph the SupaZeed have the maximum bending or crippling load carrying than the Supa Cee and Supa Sigma. The SupaZeed can carry 31% of additional bending load than the Z section without Crip.

Chart 2(b) shows the load-deflection graph of C, Z and Sigma Sections. According to this graph Z section have a larger bending load carrying capacity than the C and Sigma sections. The Z section can carry 31% of additional axial load than the Sigma Sections.

Fig 2:- shows the deflection parameter of each steel sections. The bending load is applied at the End Two Flanges of the sections. In both with or without Crip case the larger load carrying capacity is Supa Zeed and Z Sections respectively than other sections. But in the case of deflection, SupaCee and C sections are more deformed than other sections.

3.2 The Performance of application of Axial Load in sections

In this study, there are three models and each model have same dimensions according to their shapes. The models are difference in the shape, i.e, SupaCee, SupaZeed, and SupaSigma. The overall weight of the sections dimensions are kept constant.
Fig-2: Deflection of Steel Section in axial load

Chart -3(a): Load deflection curve

Chart -3(b): Load deflection curve

3.3 The Performance of Change in position of steel sections in Hybrid wall

In this study, there are eleven different models are taken. The dimensions of hybrid wall in unique in size. In each Hybrid wall panel, the outer wall is taken as Hot rolled section and Inner walls are taken as Normal Cold Formed steel sections. In fig 4:- shows that the various pattern of steel sections in hybrid wall. The arrangements of Supa Sections are either beam or column or both. For example: Hybrid wall with SupaCee section shows that Outer wall is box type Hot rolled sections and inner walls are Normal Cold form Supaacee shaped steel sections. From the analyses of the wall panel by applying lateral load, the best model having high load carrying capacity is selected.

Fig-4: Deflection of various steel sections in Hybrid wall

(a) Supa cee Steel Section
4. COMPARISON OF RESULTS

As compare the Hybrid wall panel structure having fully Normal Cold formed steel sections having a higher (24%) of loading carrying capacity than Hot rolled steel sections. 

4.1 The Effect of bending test in steel section

Chart-5 presents the comparison in bending load on all six models. According to these figure, the SupaZeed have the larger load bearing capacity than all other models. In conventional type the Z section having higher loading capacity than C and Sigma sections.

Chart-6 shows the comparison of deflection under bending loading. According to this figure the SupaCee have a larger deflection than all other sections. The SupaCee has an 18% more deflection than the conventional C Section. The SupaCee sections has 39% of more deflection than the SupaZeed sections.

4.2 The Effect of Axial load in steel section

Chart-7 presents the comparison of axial load on all three models. According to these figure, the SupaZeed have the larger load carrying capacity than all other models.
4.2 The Effect of Hybrid Wall panel using different steel section

Chart-9 presents the comparison of effect of different steel sections in Hybrid wall panels under lateral load. According to these figure, Hybrid wall panel having fully Supasigma sections have larger load carrying capacity. The Supasigma Steel section having a 6% larger load carrying capacity than SupaCee Sections.

Chart-10 shows the comparison of deflection under lateral loading. According to this figure SupaSigma having higher deflection than other steel sections. The Supasigma Steel section having a 6.42% larger deflection than SupaCee Sections.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions may be drawn from the Finite Element Analysis (FEA) performed on all models the following conclusions were obtained by using finite element analysis software ANSYS Workbench 16.1.

- On the performance evaluation of supa and conventional type steel sections, the supa sections having higher loading capacity than conventional type.
- In supa sections, SupaZeed having higher load carrying capacity and lower deflection than other sections.
- In conventional section, Z sections having higher load carrying capacity and lower deflection than other sections.
- Under the Axial Load, the SupaSigma Sections having 45% load carrying capacity than other supa cee sections.
- The Normal Cold Formed Steel Sections having higher strength than Hot rolled strength under Lateral load.
- The load carrying capacity and deflection is higher in Hybrid wall having SupaSigma steel sections than other sections.
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