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Abstract - The term and content of construction project management are outlined in this research work. The main problems of construction management were identified and possibilities to solve them are discussed. The model for decision making in construction management by using multi-criteria methods was created and is to be applied to a real case study. AHP method and "Expert Choice" computer program is to be employed for calculations. This project suggests AHP technique for contractor selection problem in Indian context. The data collected are to be used to create a hierarchical model for contractor selection that is represented by Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP). In this work, a systematic methodology is presented under the consideration of multiple factors. The model includes building an analytic hierarchy structure with a tree of hierarchical criteria and alternatives to ease the decision-making. Expert Choice software is used to conduct the experimental assessments. The project will also present a thorough sensitivity analysis to demonstrate the confidence in the drawn conclusions.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Construction industry plays an important role in the development of the nation. Decision-making is an integral part of modern management. Essentially, Rational or sound decision making is taken as primary function of management. Every manager takes hundreds and hundreds of decisions subconsciously or consciously making it as the key component in the role of a manager. Decisions play important roles as they determine both organizational and managerial activities. A decision can be defined as a course of action purposely chosen from a set of alternatives to achieve organizational or managerial objectives or goals. Decision making process is continuous and indispensable component of managing any organization or business activities. Decisions are made to sustain the activities of all business activities and organizational functioning.

The selection of a capable contractor is essential to the good performance of any construction project since they are responsible by core activities in the process. Choosing the proper contractor from numerous applicants that are available today in market is a complicated problem for clients. In addition, selecting suitable suppliers significantly reduces material purchasing cost, improves the competitiveness of businesses, increases flexibility and product quality and helps with speeding up the process of material purchasing. In this way, according with, this process should detect a supplier to whom the customer can entrust the responsibility to perform the project adequately. In this context, the selection criteria are very important for the decision making, since which are strictly related with the objectives of the client in relation to the contractor. If the criteria are wrong, the contractor selected may not be according with the client needs, even if the role decision process is carried out in the right way. In dealing with the long-term assets, it is crucial to select a proper contractor, which could ensure the quality of the constructed building. The achievement of this aim largely depends on the efficiency of the performance of the contractor that is selected.

Proper selection of crane is other important factor of construction field. A crane is defined as a mechanical system designed to lift and move loads through a hook suspended from a movable arm. Selecting cranes depends greatly on skilled judgment that accounts for every likely involved variable.

Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) is used to assist in building the model and help draw decisions. While deploying the crane selection objectives into layered sub-goals, conclusions could be drawn on the type to be used in construction according to knowledge based evaluation and assessment. Expert Choice™ software is used to conduct the experimental assessments.

2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

2.1 Research Design

A research process consists of a number of sequential steps. It begins with finding the research area and formulation research questions further, the investigation method should be chosen along with research design and data collection techniques. Finally, the collected data is analyses and interpreted what leads to drawing conclusions. The research method is a technique for collecting data which can involve specific instruments such as selfcompletion questionnaires or structured interview. For the purpose of this master thesis a qualitative research method has been chosen to provide a description of a construction company decides their contractor. Literature survey is carried out in this area and shown. Concerning to these problems, the contractor pre-qualification, and evaluation and selection process needs to be reviewed to achieve the project goals based on multi
criteria decision making process. The data collected are used to create a hierarchical model for contractor selection that represented by the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP). By using this model & with the help of AHP technique one can develop contractor selection approach which can be most useful for the stakeholders. The interview is the insightful tool which focuses directly on the studied topics but also includes bias and can be manipulative. Interviewing is the most common sources for collecting qualitative data. There are a number of different types of interviews and some of them are applicable to one method then to other. For instance the most common types structured or semi structured interview are most often used in qualitative research. In the semi structured form the interviewer prepares a number of questions that are in the general form of an interview schedule. It is standardized in order to minimize differences between interviews within one project. Moreover the sequence of questions may vary and the follow up questions can be asked in response to some significant replies.

2.2 Research objective 1

The first research objective is one of the most important tasks in construction, i.e. selection of the right contractor. Choosing the proper contractor from numerous applicants that are available today in market is a complicated problem for clients. In dealing with the long-term assets, it is crucial to select a proper contractor, which could ensure the quality of the constructed building. The achievement of this aim largely depends on the efficiency of the performance of the contractor that is selected. Contractor selection studies have dated back to as early as 1960s. All construction processes are risky. Contractual risk management forms only one part of the companies’ legal risk management and, in this way, it is part of companies’ comprehensive general risk management. The goals of contractual risk management do not restrict the management of legal risks in contracting. Contractual risk management also covers other risks in business by using methods of contractual planning and management more problems in construction management are identified in developing countries. All issues of construction management must be solved as soon as they are identified. During shared problem-solving, stakeholders bring different types of knowledge into the problem situation and it is captured, created and shared by the team members. In construction projects, shared problem-solving often takes place through pragmatic problem-solving on site, in particular, through managing project changes.

2.3 Research objective 2

The process of crane selection is a multi-criteria decision-making problem with conflicting and diverse objectives. In this work, a systematic methodology is presented under the consideration of multiple factors and objectives that are witnessed to be crucial to the construction process. The model includes building an analytic hierarchy structure with a tree of hierarchical criteria and alternatives to ease the decision-making. Three alternative crane types were considered, namely, Tower, Derrick and Mobile cranes. An Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) was used to assist in building the model and help draw decisions.

3. DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

The project have been done by selecting the contractors working in Kerala public work department, among that I choose 6 contractors due to the limitation of the expert choice software (AHP method) and the analysis has been done by the details collected from the 6 and found the best.

3.1 CONTRACTOR SELECTION

3.1.1 Set up window

The main goal and criteria should enter to the window coming first. Sub-criteria should allocate properly by adding child options. Alternative also should give as figure

3.1.2 Develop hierarchal structure

Fig.3.2 shows the developed hierarchical structure of the problem in which the first level has the goal of selecting the best contractor. The second level consists of nine criteria, under which there are further sub-criteria. The last level of the hierarchy comprises of the five alternatives.

3.1.3. Pairwise comparisons

As explained earlier, a set of pair-wise comparison matrices are developed for all of the levels of the hierarchy. An element in the higher level is assumed to be the governing element for those in the lower level of the hierarchy. The elements in the lower level are compared with respect to each other according to their effect on the governing element above. This yields a square matrix of judgments. The pair-wise comparison is performed on the basis of how an element dominates the other and the judgments are entered using.
Saaty's 1–9 scale. An element compared with itself is always assigned the value of "1", so the main diagonal entries of the pair-wise comparison matrix are all "1".

The expert (designer) begins by comparing pairs of main criteria (factors) with respect to the main goal by assigning importance. There will be n(n-1)/2 comparisons. Expert Choice software package was used to carry out such comparison. Verbal assessment is used to help the expert understand and summarize his knowledge efficiently. For instance, considering the capability factor in Fig. 2 under which n = 3, three questions need to be answered by the expert. Typical question forms of this level may be put across as follows:

- How more important is the landscape experience is relative to electrical Frequency from the technical experience standpoint.
- How more important is the landscape relative to civil experience from the technical experience standpoint.
- A scale of verbal assessments is used to answer the above survey, namely: Extreme, Very strong, Strong, Moderate and Equal importance along with their corresponding reciprocal scale of importance.

Figure 3.3 represents the surveyed numbers for the above factor and its siblings.

Next we have to compare the contribution of sub criteria to the main criteria. Figure 3.4 depicts how the ratings intensities can be assigned to the alternatives in the 'Data Grid'. Notice that the specified intensities appear above the alternatives on the 'Data Grid'. By clicking on a ratings intensity the intensity will appear in the cell.

3.1.4 Graphical representation of weight of each criterion to main goal

The graphical representation of each criterion weight to main goal can be shown as in figure 3.6

3.1.5 Entering ratings

Entering ratings In the 'Data Grid' it is possible to use the 'Ratings' function which specifies intensities, see Figure 4.10 that can be assigned to the alternatives under the criteria. Figure 3.8 depicts how the ratings intensities can be assigned to the alternatives in the 'Data Grid'. Notice that the specified intensities appear above the alternatives on the 'Data Grid'. By clicking on a ratings intensity the intensity will appear in the cell.
3.1.6 Model Sensitivity Analysis:
Finally, a sensitivity analysis is held to show the effect of altering different parameters of the model of best contractor. First, the current values of the model are presented according to the pair-wise comparison that has been carried out by the experts in the construction fields. Fig. 3.9 demonstrates the current weights of each factor. Obviously, the results are in favor of the contactor 6. Now the best contractor has been identified.

We can show from figure 3.11 sensitivity analysis in four graphs giving the same result of contractor 6 is best

3.2 CRANE SELECTION
3.2.1 Set up window
The main goal and criteria should enter to the window coming first. Sub criteria’s should allocate properly by adding child options. Alternative also should give as figure 4.15

3.2.2 Develop hierarchal structure
Fig. 3.14. Shows the developed hierarchical structure of the problem in which the first level has the goal of selecting the best crane. The second level consists of five criteria, under which there are further sub-criteria. The last level of the hierarchy comprises of the five alternatives
3.2.3. Pairwise comparisons

The expert (designer) begins by comparing pairs of main criteria (factors) with respect to the main goal by assigning importance. There will be \( \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \) comparisons. Expert Choice software package was used to carry out such comparison. Verbal assessment is used to help the expert understand and summarize his knowledge efficiently. For instance, considering the capability factor in Fig. 2 under which \( n = 3 \), three questions need to be answered by the expert.

A scale of verbal assessments is used to answer the above survey, namely: Extreme, Very strong, Strong, Moderate and Equal importance along with their corresponding reciprocal scale of importance.

3.2.4. Graphical representation of weight of each criterion to main goal

The graphical representation of each criterion weight to main goal can be shown as in figure 3.17.

3.2.5 Entering ratings

Entering ratings In the ‘Data Grid’ it is possible to use the ‘Ratings’ function which specifies intensities, see Figure 4.21 that can be assigned to the alternatives under the criteria. Figure 3.19 depicts how the ratings intensities can be assigned to the alternatives in the ‘Data Grid’. Notice that the specified intensities appear above the alternatives on the ‘Data Grid’. By clicking on a ratings intensity the intensity will appear in the cell.
3.2.6 Model Sensitivity Analysis:

Finally, a sensitivity analysis is held to show the effect of altering different parameters of the model on the choice of the right crane. First, the current values of the model are presented according to the pair-wise comparison that has been carried out by the experts in the construction fields. Fig 3.20 demonstrates the current weights of each factor. Obviously, the results are in favor of the tower crane. Now the best crane has been identified.

Figure 3.20 Performance Sensitivity Analysis for Main Goal

Figure 3.21 Gradient Sensitivity Analysis for Main Goal

Figure 3.22 Dynamic Sensitivity Analysis for Main Goal

Figure 3.23 Four Graphs of Sensitivity Analysis for Main Goal

4. CONCLUSIONS

The sensitivity analysis presented here demonstrates how consistent the decision is. The choice of the contractor remain the same even with significant changes on the criteria weights, which can be justified by the consistent judgments made between the siblings of the parent goal and the pair-wise comparisons. Frankly, AHP analysis demonstrates an efficient knowledge based approach to help quantify expert knowledge to qualitative analysis that help in multi-criteria decision making. Contractor selection involves complex decision making situations that discerning abilities and methods to make sound decisions. This paper has discussed in details on knowledge acquisition process and transforming the information to a manageable form for developing a theoretical model represents the AHP methodology. The AHP is a decision aiding tools based on multi-criteria decision making for dealing with complex and multi attribute decision.

It was observed that the developed analytic hierarchy process (AHP) expert model works adequately and yields acceptable results as well as dragging accurate decisions in crane selection for a construction site. It was made clear from the output of Expert Choice, for each of the crane types, that most of the area of the AHP priority stack is occupied by safety and building design, thus, showing the desired dominance of these two criteria in the selection process. The developed model certainly eases the decision maker's mission of choosing the quantitative weights and making further calculations and, thereby, leaves the decision makers less susceptible to human errors. Moreover, this approach does not require the decision makers to have any in-depth technological knowledge regarding the available specification of crane types and their capabilities. The pair-wise assessment through the verbal scaling made it easy for the expert to disseminate his/her comprehension and eventually reveal more representing knowledge and decisions. The above application of AHP theory is a step toward the elimination of bias or prejudice in the judgment of an expert, since the steps leading to the judgment are
made explicit via relational assessment. This also helps uncover any gap in the expert's thinking in regard to qualitative factors in crane selection which may not have been considered.

Let summaries the paper with conclusions of

1. Most of construction management problems are MCDM problems. Countering complexity of a problem to be solved four optimization methods can be used: multi-criteria, oriented cost, mono objective, multi objective. Elimination, optimization and probabilistic methods could be used by project managers when making decisions. Multi-criteria aspect is significant when making construction management decisions.

2. The seven-stage model for solving decision making problems in contractor selection have been suggested. Based on the literature overview and opinion of experts set of criteria was determined a) technical experience; b) performance resources; c) financial stability; d) management performance and employees qualification; e) capacity; f) safety record; g) operation and equipment.

3. The proposed model was used to choose contractor for construction works of Kerala PWD. After analyzing all alternatives, the best contractor had been chosen.

4. The five-stage model for solving decision making problems in crane selection have been suggested. Based on the literature overview and opinion of experts set of criteria was determined a) building design b) capability c) economy d) safety e) site condition

5. The proposed model was used to choose crane for construction of apartment. After analyzing all alternatives, the best crane had been chosen.
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