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Abstract - It has been observed from various analyses that the stability of the structure solely depends upon its structural members which are connected to each other and transfer their loads. But when the structure height is more along with it is under the influence of seismic loads with gravity loads, its stability decreases. In present work, shear core outrigger and belt supported system is used on G+10 multistory residential building located at seismic zone IV. General structure compared with both wall belt and truss belt supported system using optimum location suggested by Taranath method. Response spectrum method is used to evaluate nodal displacement, story drift time period with mass participation and beam stress values. Total seven cases has used and compared with each other in this work and most efficient case among all discussed in this article.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The stability of tall structures requires some modifications into it since the scarcity of land generate need of the tall structures such as multistory building and skyscrapers. Since it has been observed that the competition is going on among the countries. Since the loads on the structure such as vertical and horizontal loads itself generate a huge combined load that has somehow generated by structure and that load has to be bear by structure itself. Since the earthquake generates oscillations from the ground which is connected to the structure and the most effective technique used to resist the structure by these combinations is the use of outriggers, belt supported system and outrigger and belt supported system.

Outriggers:

Outriggers are the members of beams or plates connected from the core to exterior columns in both the directions that hold the structure and act as frame connections. The core provided such as shear wall core holds the entire structure firmly that accepts the loads and transfer the loads equally to the exterior columns. This system provides more stiffness to the structure than conventional frame systems.

Belt supported system:

The most efficient system used in multistory building is the bracing system either it is wall belt or truss belt system. This system is the connection of the members to the nodes of the structure. It is called as belt supported system because the belt generally made up of trusses or shear wall, connects the periphery columns of the structure. The load moves from each member distributed to the connected structures evenly.

Fig -1: Typical Outrigger and Belt Supported System

To counteract the seismic forces and to maintain the rigidity of the structure, outriggers and belt supported system is used.

2. OBJECTIVE OF THE PRESENT STUDY

The objectives of this work are as follows:

- To analyze the maximum nodal displacement case in X direction with most efficient case which provide more stability.
- To obtain the maximum nodal displacement values in Z direction with most efficient case among all cases.
- To compare the story drift case in X direction with most efficient case which provide more stability.
- To evaluate story drift values in Z direction with most efficient case among all cases.
To study and compare the time period and mass participation factor of the structure
To investigate maximum compressive and tensile stresses values in members.
To demonstrate the efficiency of truss belt or wall belt at optimum height.

3. PROCEDURE AND 3D MODELLING OF STRUCTURE

As per criteria for earthquake resistance design of structures, a residential 43.26 m eleven story building has been taken for analysis. As mentioned above, a total of seven different cases have been chosen for parametric analysis. Various dimensions of structure and its loadings are shown in table 1 and table 2; seismic parameters taken are shown in table 3 respectively. After that seven building cases has described as case S1 to case S7. Figure 1 shows typical outrigger and belt supported system. From figure 2 to figure 9, plan and 3D views of different cases is described and after the result of various parameters are described in tabular form with its worst case and optimal case. With each parameter, a graph is provided to compare each parameter figuratively.

Table -1: Dimensions of different components of building

| Building Length | 15m |
| Building Width  | 21m |
| Height of each floor | 3m |
| Depth of footing | 3.66m |
| Beam dimensions  | 600 mm x 300 mm |
| Column dimensions | 500 mm x 500 mm |
| Slab thickness  | 125 mm |
| Shear wall thickness | 230 mm |
| Bracing dimensions | 230 mm x 230 mm |

Table -2: Loadings selected and used on the structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Self weight</th>
<th>Applied to entire structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Floor finish load</td>
<td>1 KN/m2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrace finish load</td>
<td>1 KN/m2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water proofing load</td>
<td>2 KN/m2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interior wall load</td>
<td>4.9 KN/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exterior wall load</td>
<td>17.934 KN/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parapet wall load with height</td>
<td>4.9 KN/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live load for intermediate floors</td>
<td>4 KN/m2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live load for roof of building</td>
<td>1.5 KN/m2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table -3: Seismic parameters on the structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Importance factor I</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>fundamental natural period (Ta) for X direction</td>
<td>1.2978 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fundamental natural period (Ta) for Z direction</td>
<td>0.8496 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response reduction factor R</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone factor</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil type</td>
<td>Hard soil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different building model cases has been taken for analysis using Staad pro software

- Regular building on plane ground - Case S1.
- Regular building with shear core - Case S2.
- Building with shear core and wall outriggers - Case S3.
- Shear core outrigger and wall belt supported system - Case S4.
- Shear core outrigger and truss belt supported system - Case S5.
- Shear core outrigger and truss belt supported system optimum bracing T1 - Case S6.
- Shear core outrigger and truss belt supported system optimum bracing T2 - Case S7.

Fig -2: Typical floor plan
Fig -3: 3D view of case (S1) Regular building on plane ground

Fig -4: 3D view of case (S2) Regular building with shear core

Fig -5: 3D view of case (S3) Building with shear core and wall outriggers

Fig -6: 3D view of case (S4) Shear core outrigger and wall belt supported system
4. RESULTS ANALYSIS

For the stability of the structure, parameters such as the nodal displacement in both seismic directions, story drift in both seismic directions, beam stress values, time period and mass participation factors obtained by application of loads and their combinations on various cases of the multistory building. Tabular result of each parameters and its optimal case is discussed with its graphical form below:

**Table -4:** Maximum nodal displacement (X direction) for all seven cases in Zone IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Building Cases</th>
<th>Nodal Displacement (X direction) (mm)</th>
<th>Worst Case</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>83.163</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>S2</td>
<td>62.301</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>S3</td>
<td>49.290</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>S4</td>
<td>46.915</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>S5</td>
<td>48.215</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>S6</td>
<td>48.203</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>S7</td>
<td>48.256</td>
<td>Case S1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Optimal Case:** When modifications to the regular building has implemented, Shear core outrigger and wall belt supported system shows the least value of nodal displacement parameter in X direction. Hence efficient case for this parameter will be S 4.

![Graph-1: Comparison of maximum nodal displacement (in X direction) for all seven cases in Zone IV](image1)

**Graph-1:** Comparison of maximum nodal displacement (in X direction) for all seven cases in Zone IV

**Table -5:** Maximum nodal displacement (Z direction) for all seven cases in Zone IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Building CASES</th>
<th>Nodal Displacement (Z direction) (mm)</th>
<th>Worst Case</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>115.894</td>
<td>Case S1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>S2</td>
<td>86.682</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>S3</td>
<td>81.649</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>S4</td>
<td>64.499</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>S5</td>
<td>71.893</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>S6</td>
<td>72.173</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>S7</td>
<td>72.340</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Optimal Case:** When modifications to the regular building has implemented, Shear core outrigger and wall belt supported system shows the least value of nodal displacement parameter in Z direction. Hence efficient case for this parameter will be S 4.

![Graph-2: Comparison of maximum nodal displacement (in Z direction) for all seven cases in Zone IV](image2)

**Graph-2:** Comparison of maximum nodal displacement (in Z direction) for all seven cases in Zone IV

**Table -6:** Story drift (X direction) for all seven cases in Zone IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Height (m)</th>
<th>Storey Drift (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>For X Direction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CASE S1</td>
<td>CASE S2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>0.8024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.32</td>
<td>0.8610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>13.98</td>
<td>0.8793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>17.64</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>21.30</td>
<td>0.8660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>24.96</td>
<td>0.8315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>28.62</td>
<td>0.7753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>32.28</td>
<td>0.6951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>35.94</td>
<td>0.5891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>39.60</td>
<td>0.4557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>43.26</td>
<td>0.1372</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Optimal Case:** When modifications to the regular building has implemented, Shear core outrigger and wall belt supported system shows the least value of story drift parameter in X direction. Hence efficient case for this parameter will be S 4.

**Graph-3:** Comparison of story drift (X direction) for all seven cases in Zone IV

**Table -7:** Story drift (Z direction) for all seven cases in Zone IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Height (m)</th>
<th>Storey Drift (Z direction) (cm)</th>
<th>Worst Case</th>
<th>Compressive Stresses (N/mm²)</th>
<th>Tensile Stresses (N/mm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>CASE S1</td>
<td>30.293</td>
<td>30.831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5520</td>
<td>CASE S2</td>
<td>33.457</td>
<td>33.457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>1.1722</td>
<td>CASE S3</td>
<td>29.653</td>
<td>29.653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.32</td>
<td>1.2415</td>
<td>CASE S4</td>
<td>26.646</td>
<td>26.646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>13.98</td>
<td>1.2550</td>
<td>CASE S5</td>
<td>28.62</td>
<td>28.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>17.64</td>
<td>1.2466</td>
<td>CASE S6</td>
<td>24.96</td>
<td>24.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>21.30</td>
<td>1.2150</td>
<td>CASE S7</td>
<td>35.94</td>
<td>35.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Optimal Case:** When modifications to the regular building has implemented, Shear core outrigger and wall belt supported system shows the least value of story drift parameter in Z direction. Hence efficient case for this parameter will be S 4.

**Graph-4:** Comparison of story drift (Z direction) for all seven cases in Zone IV

**Table -8:** Member stresses for all seven cases in Zone IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Building Cases</th>
<th>Member Stresses (N/mm²)</th>
<th>Compressive Stresses</th>
<th>Tensile Stresses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>30.293</td>
<td>30.831</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>S2</td>
<td>33.457</td>
<td>33.457</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>S3</td>
<td>29.653</td>
<td>29.653</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>S4</td>
<td>26.646</td>
<td>26.646</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>S5</td>
<td>27.953</td>
<td>27.953</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>S6</td>
<td>27.987</td>
<td>27.987</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>S7</td>
<td>27.999</td>
<td>27.999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Optimal Case: When modifications to the regular building has implemented, Shear core outrigger and wall belt supported system again shows the least value of member stress parameters. Hence efficient case for this parameter will be S 4.

Graph-5: Comparison of member compressive stresses for all seven cases in Zone IV

Graph-6: Comparison of member tensile stresses for all seven cases in Zone IV

Table -9: time period with participation factor in X and Z direction for case S1 in Zone IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode No.</th>
<th>Time Period (Seconds)</th>
<th>Participation X (%)</th>
<th>Participation Z (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASE S1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.816</td>
<td>78.501</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.755</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>79.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.603</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.593</td>
<td>11.167</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.575</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.529</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table -10: Time period with participation factor in X and Z direction for case S2 in Zone IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode No.</th>
<th>Time Period (Seconds)</th>
<th>Participation X (%)</th>
<th>Participation Z (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASE S2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.499</td>
<td>73.194</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.443</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>74.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.394</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.462</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>13.62</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.429</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.872</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table -11: Time period with participation factor in X and Z direction for case S3 in Zone IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode No.</th>
<th>Time Period (Seconds)</th>
<th>Participation X (%)</th>
<th>Participation Z (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASE S3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.404</td>
<td>73.194</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.387</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>74.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.341</td>
<td>76.342</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.459</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.427</td>
<td>10.745</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.426</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.142</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table -12: Time period with participation factor in X and Z direction for case S4 in Zone IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode No.</th>
<th>Time Period (Seconds)</th>
<th>Participation X (%)</th>
<th>Participation Z (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASE S4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.331</td>
<td>77.589</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.316</td>
<td>77.589</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.264</td>
<td>78.727</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.411</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.447</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table -13: Time period with participation factor in X and Z direction for case S5 in Zone IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode No.</th>
<th>Time Period (Seconds)</th>
<th>Participation X (%)</th>
<th>Participation Z (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASE S5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.334</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.329</td>
<td>76.773</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.324</td>
<td>76.777</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.425</td>
<td>10.332</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.418</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.278</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 14: Time period with participation factor in X and Z direction for case S6 in Zone IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode No.</th>
<th>Time Period (Seconds)</th>
<th>Participation X (%)</th>
<th>Participation Z (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CASE S6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.334</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.329</td>
<td>76.758</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.327</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>76.696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.425</td>
<td>10.343</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.418</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.353</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 15: Time period with participation factor in X and Z direction for case S7 in Zone IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode No.</th>
<th>Time Period (Seconds)</th>
<th>Participation X (%)</th>
<th>Participation Z (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CASE S7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.334</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.329</td>
<td>76.736</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.328</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>76.656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.425</td>
<td>10.368</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.418</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.398</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Optimal Case: Since when there will be more members in structure, the mass participation will increase. But the main criteria is to stable the structure from movement shown by each mode. The shear core outrigger and wall belt supported system in this parameter shows the least values results the reduction in time period. Hence efficient case for this parameter will be S4.

Graph 7: Comparison of time period for all seven cases in Zone IV

Graph 8: Comparison of mass participation factor (in X direction) for all seven cases in Zone IV

Graph 9: Comparison of mass participation factor (in Z direction) for all seven cases in Zone IV

5. CONCLUSIONS

Conclusions evolved by analyzing the result data of various parameters are as follows:

- Under the effect of earthquake forces, the wall belt will hold the entire building for stability and the forces transferred through the outriggers to the ground.
- Nodal displacement in X direction and Z direction shows least value when shear core outrigger and wall belt supported system will be used.
- For all cases in X and Z directions, story drift at height 24.96 m from foundation level, seems to be the lowest. This is because, the belt is at 24.96 m height holds the entire structure. Case S4 again shows least values among all.
- Compressive and tensile stresses in members seem to be the lowest in shear core outrigger and wall belt...
supported system. Again stresses transfer from outer to the center of the structure.

- Time period for case S4 is least of all the cases taken for analysis. After modal analysis, Mode no. 1, 2 and 3 shows greater mass participation factors in X and Z directions.
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