

# Effect of Shear Wall on Seismic Performance of RC Open Ground Storey Frame Building

Samarjit Singh<sup>1</sup>, Rohit Rai<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Post Graduate Student, Structural Engineering, Maharashi University of Information Technology, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Dept. of Civil Engg. Maharashi University of Information Technology, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India

\*\*\*

**Abstract -** The Open Ground Story building is very close to India because of the provision of excessive parking space in the urban areas. However, earthquake efficiencies of such buildings are found to be regularly poor as seen by previous earthquakes. Some lenders indicate that the use of shoulder walls can increase the performance of such buildings without obstructing free movement of vehicles in parking lots. The current study is an attempt to strengthen the performance of the open ground studio buildings in one way or two shoulders. In addition, according to the method proposed by the IS 1893 (2002) for comparative use, the study considers the various groundbreaking buildings of open ground buildings by applying various plans of revised functions. The study shows that the thorn walls are increasing the capacity of OGS buildings to shine, but the comparative cost is more recently on Higgs.

**Key Words:** Open Ground Storey Building, Reinforced concrete shear wall, Multiplication Factor, Linear Static Analysis, Nonlinear Static Analysis.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Earthquake division of the Open Ground Story Building

The concept of Open Ground Storey (OGS) building is the main reason for urbanization. Due to the special features of parking in the building, construction and coordination of large number of open grounds has been made. The actual meaning is that when the concrete building is kept in the middle of both the corner of the parking angle, the structure of a partition wall is left open for the purpose of this area to force a story of this type or to give the wall of any masonry hole that can be regarded as soft. The most important problem can be to verify that the floor floor is relatively comparatively more comparatively comparable compared to the other higher level than enough flexible buildings are mitigated. It makes sense to literally litter the floor that lays down significantly larger loads when earthquake on the other upper floors of the building. As a result, the single-largest earthquake forces are very weak against other high-rise shops in the building's landing ground. In the last few years, huge open-air shops were built in different regions of India, there are about 25,00 five-storey buildings in Ahmedabad, and about 15,100 eleven floors of the main city of India.. Basically, most of them open land port buildings In

addition to this, a huge construction of open land has been started for the construction of high-rise residential buildings in the open land and already existing in different cities and cities located in extreme seismic active areas. Indian standard

### 1.2 Reinforced Concrete Shear Wall

#### 1.2.1 The cortical Background

It will be correct to say that there are many types of areas of resisting systems as there are intellectual humans like engineers, scientist etc. Basically, most of them are divided into three sections.

1. Reinforced concrete frame system
2. Shear wall system
3. Dual system, the Shear wall-frame system

From the point of view of the engineering point of view, the most preferred system for the construction of high-rise buildings is the wall-frame system i.e dual system. Now a days, reinforced concrete frame buildings are engineered with the application of structural walls like reinforced concrete shear walls and these buildings are performing better under seismic action in reinforced concrete frame buildings by reducing the probability of excessive formations and hence collapse. Generally, the thorn walls are usually built on the base level and are continuously following to the building height.. The provision of thickness starts at minimum of 150mm and end at maximum 400 mm in high-rise structures. These structural walls are usually provided in both directions of the building. Shear walls support gravity load sand simultaneously resisted lateral loads by diaphragm action and transfer them to the foundation. Lateral or horizontal forces applied to the building are derived from earthquakes result shitter and over turning moments in shear walls. The tendency of the shear wall to be lifted up at one end, where lateral load is applied and to be pushed down at the end of the other end resists the overturning moment due to earthquake loads. The maximum amount of sidewall or horizontal shear force is completely resisted by the shear walls due to this action, these structural walls are named as shear walls. The welding wall capability can withstand, the orientation and geometric

configuration of the position based on the sharp arm, clay and its position.

### 1.2.2 Shear wall - frame Interaction

This system has a combination of thorn wall and RC frame. The potential of wall-frame structure is completely dependent on the horizontal linter action, which is controlled by the stiffness of the reinforced concrete frames and shear walls and the height of the building. The taller the structure and the stiffer the RC frames, the big the interaction. The RC frame is deflected in the shape mode while the shear wall is responding in bending as a cantilever. The structural compatibility of lateral deflection develops interaction between them.

The laterals way of the RC frame combined with the shear wall deflected in the parabolic sway results in improved stiffness of this system significantly because the shear wall is effectively restrained by the moment frame at the level while the bottom level at the moment, the moment frame is Restrained by the shear wall Therefore, the combined action of structural elements is really based on the lative rigidity of the two and their corresponding modes of deflection. The horizontal deflections of a RC are more than a cantilever column. At the bottom, the wall work is relatively stiff and therefore, the floor to floor deformations will be less than half the values at the top. On the top floors, the lateral deflection increases rather easily due to the cumulative effect of the shear wall rotation. On the other side, RC frames were adopted. The relative deflections of the store basically based on the value of the shear force applied to the floor of each storey. However, the lateral deflection is greater than the bottom level and the lower level in comparison to the shear wall, the floor to floor deformations can be considered almost uniform. When the current system is connected to a thoracic wall-frame system using strong diaphragm action, they are made in a uniform store. Consequently, the typical interaction results in a much more economical structural system.

## 2. OBJECTIVES OF CURRENT STUDY

The broad purpose of current research is described here.

1. Open Ground Storage RC frame buildings to study the impact of the distribution of thorn wall in geological performance.
2. To study the comparative effectiveness of common open ground story buildings with strong thorn wall, combined with OGS buildings by implementing various MFs.
3. Cross section executes comparative cost analysis of worn walls with reference.

## 3. Methodology

The methodology followed by the above mentioned objectives.

1. A broad literature review.
2. Selection of typical four storey Open Ground Storey RC frames.
3. Linear static analysis of RC frames without considering the effect of masonry infill as per Indian Standard.
4. Design of RC wall and underground and first-level columns with various stereotypical functions of four times OGS building.
5. Modeling of the selected frame buildings to capture non linear behavior.
6. Performance comparison of the buildings in terms of nonlinear static push over curves
7. Comparative cost analysis of each strengthening scheme.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Apurba Mondal, Siddharth Ghosh and G.R. Performance based evaluation of the engineering structure of Reddy Dyncl RC frame, 56: 1808-1819 2013
- [2] CVR Murty IITK-BMTPC Earthquake Tips: Learning Earthquake Design and Construction National Information Center of Earthquake Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, 2005.
- [3] Bungale S Taranath. Reinforced concrete design of tall buildings CRC Press, 2009.
- [4] CVR Murty, Rupen Goswami, AR Vijaya Narayanan, and Vipul V Mehta. Some earthquake behaviors of buildings Gujarat State Disaster Management Authority, Government of Gujarat, 2012
- [5] CVR Murty and Sudhir K Jain. Beneficial effect of masonry infiltration on walls In 12th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering (Auckland, New Zealand, January 30), 2000.
- [6] Robin Davis, Processa Krishnan, Devdas Menon and A Mehra Prasad 13th World Conference on Geographic Engineering, Vancouver, BC, Canada, 2004.
- [7] Hemant B Chowdhury, Durgesh Si Rai and Sudhir K Jain Stress-strain characteristics of clay brick catch under continuous compression. Civil Engineering Materials, 19(9): 728-739, 2007.
- [8] Santiago Pujol, Amado Benvenant-Clint, Mario E Rodriguez and J Paul Smith-Pardo. Magnificent wall walls: The low-growth snow concrete building structure is an effective alternative to strengthen earthquake. 14th World Conference Earthquake Engineering (Beijing, China, October 12th October), 2008.