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Abstract - Current trends in construction industry demands taller and lighter structures, which are also more flexible and having quite low damping value. This increases failure possibilities and also problems from serviceability point of view. Now-a-days several techniques are available to minimize the vibration of the structure, out of the several techniques available for vibration control, concept of using TMD is a newer one. This study has made to study the effectiveness of using TMD for controlling vibration of structure. At first practical plan model with and without TMD has prepared. And perform Response Spectrum Analysis. In which calculate Maximum Deflection, Storey Drift, Base shear, Natural Frequency and Fundamental period of building. From the study it was found that, TMD can be effectively used for vibration control of structures. TMD was more effective when it is attached at the top floor of building. TMD results in gradual decrement in the displacement, storey drift and fundamental period of the structure.
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1. INTRODUCTION

An earthquake is a natural phenomenon associated with violent shaking of the ground. They are vibrations of the earth’s surface caused by sudden movements of earth crust mostly due to tectonic movements. Since earthquake forces area unit random in nature and unpredictable, the engineering tools must be sharpened for analyzing structures below the action of those forces. Time History Analysis and Response Spectrum Analysis is a vital technique for structural seismic analysis particularly once the structural is high rise. This thesis study of the damper effect in the frame (MRF) is an important factor for the analysis. For Analysis purpose practical (G+16) storey building modelled with and without tuned mass damper by using software ETABS. Constant loading parameters are used for both cases. Load combinations are taken from IS code 875 Part 5. A tuned mass damper (TMD) is placed on top floor of building and Response spectrum analysis has performed. The result obtained from software analysis of building with and without tuned mass damper are compare with each other.

1.1 Tuned Mass Damper

It is also known as as passive mass damper (PMD) or harmonic absorber. It is a device mounted in structures to reduce the amplitude of mechanical vibrations. Their application can prevent discomfort, damage, or outright structural failure.

![Basic Principle of TMD](image)

It is a passive damping system. Utilizes a secondary mass attached to a main structure through spring and dashpot. Secondary mass system has a natural frequency closed to the primary structure which depends on its mass and stiffness. The excess energy that is built up in the structure can be transferred to a secondary mass and is dissipated by the TMD.

By specifying the mass ratio of the secondary mass to the primary body, the optimum frequency ratio between the two masses and the optimum damping ratio of the secondary mass can be obtained. This secondary mass can be made of any material such as concrete or steel, while damping is generally provided by viscous damping devices.

1.2 Response spectrum Analysis

A response spectrum is simply a plot of the peak or steady-state response (displacement, velocity or acceleration) of a series of oscillators of varying natural frequency, that are forced into motion by the same base vibration or shock. The resulting plot can then be used to pick off the response of any linear system, given its natural frequency of oscillation. One such use is in assessing the peak response of buildings to earthquakes. The science of strong ground motion may use some values from the ground response spectrum (calculated from recordings of surface ground motion from seismographs) for correlation with seismic damage. If the input used in calculating a response spectrum is steady-state periodic, then the steady-state
result is recorded. Damping must be present, or else the response will be infinite. For transient input (such as seismic ground motion), the peak response is reported. Some level of damping is generally assumed, but a value will be obtained even with no damping.

2. LITERATURE

In this section, we summarize the investigations of various authors working in the field of Structural control as part of the literature survey.

Shetty et al (2012) [1] In this study Dynamic response of a base isolated multi-storey plane frame structure with Multiple Tuned Mass Dampers (MTMD) subjected to harmonic ground excitation and Mexico earthquake excitations. And concluded that the effectiveness of MTMD in suppressing the dynamic response of base isolated structure is determined by comparing the response of corresponding structure without MTMD. The reaction of base isolated shape with MTMD is found to be much less as compared to the corresponding reaction whereas now not MTMD, implying that the MTMD is powerful in lowering forces and displacement of a base isolated shape.

Suryawanshi et al (2012) [2] during this study of investigate tuned mass damper systems as vibration controller in multi-storey building, and study regarding its analyze the effectiveness of their use in giant structures, so as to preserve structural integrity. The idea in the back of a tuned mass damper is that if a more than one-diploma-of-freedom machine carries a smaller mass set up thereto, and therefore the parameters of the smaller mass place unit tuned precisely, then the oscillation of the machine are frequently decreased by using the smaller mass. The thesis report describes the history and inner-workings of tuned mass dampers, and investigates the technology of tuned mass dampers in the Taipei Tower in Taiwan, the tallest building in the world. It requires a mass damper tuned to the fundamental mode of the structure, consisting of a massive steel sphere, in order to counteract the building's oscillations and concluded tuned mass damper technology is an essential part of maintaining the structural integrity of structures.

Umachag et al (2013) [3] Presents an outline of literature associated with the behavior of dampers on seismically affected structures. The review includes differing types of dampers like aluminiferous dampers, elastic dampers, and resistance dampers. They try to supply an outline of various styles of seismic response management devices, and highlight a number of the recent developments. The experimental and analytical investigations carried out by various researchers clearly demonstrate that the seismic control method has the potential for improving the seismic performance of structures And concluded that controlling devices reduce damage significantly by increasing the structural safety, serviceability and prevent the building from collapse during the earthquake.

Khan (2014) [4] In this paper author has describes the results of an extensive study on the seismic behaviour of a structure with damper and without damper under different earthquake acceleration frequency like EQ Altadena, EQ Lucerne, EQ Pomona, EQ Smonica and EQ Yarmouth

1.3 Objectives

The main objective of this dissertation is focused on the behavior of RC frame building with and without damper during earthquake.

Followings are the objectives of the present work:

1) To perform response spectrum analysis of high rise (G+16) building frame with and without damper in E-tab software.

2) To study the behaviour of building in different modes of the structure.

3) Critical study of results in terms of maximum displacement, maximum story drift, base reactions, fundamental time period and natural frequency of building.

Fig-2: Response Spectrum Analysis
proposed system is placed the dampers on the floors of the ninth-floor and 5-floor of a ninth story constructing frame then examine the distinctive overall performance of shape with damper as much as ninth-floor damper up to fifth-floors and without damper of 9th-tale constructing frame the use of SAP2000 V15. As per IS-1893 2002 non-linear time-history analyses of body shape imply that maximum displacement, most base shear and maximum acceleration successfully lessen with the aid of providing the damper in building body from base assist to fifth- ground and base guide to ninth-floor contrast to as traditional frame.

Kim, Lee (2014)[5] In this work analysis of a structure installed with visco-elastic dampers the modal strain energy method has been generally applied to predict the equivalent damping ratios of the system. The method derives the equivalent damping ratios supported the belief that the damping is proportional to mass and/or stiffness of the structure system. In this study some of efficient analytical procedures are applied to obtain the seismic response of a non-proportionally damped building structure with added visco-elastic dampers; the complex mode superposition method, direct integration method combined with matrix condensation, modal strain electricity method, and the method disregarding the off-diagonal terms of a converted damping matrix. unique attention has been paid for the derivation of the complicated modal superposition procedure, and the reliability of the approximate strategies is checked by way of comparing the approximate answers with those received from the complex mode superposition.

Murad, Lavanyag (2016)[6] This paper is to study the comparison of shear wall and TMD for reducing vibration of all buildings due to wind earthquake loading by using SAP2000 software. Shear walls and Tuned Mass Dampers square measure appointed within the structure as an alternative. Numerous arrangements of Tuned Mass Dampers during this thirty level building square measure studied, complete the value of TMDs is sort of like that of shear enclose thirty storey’s structure. But less base shear, storey displacement, joint acceleration, and frequency makes TMDs more applicable than shear wall.

3. METHODOLOGY

Tuned mass damper made with welded steel work is attached on the top of the structure consists of a mass, spring and a damper, which is attached to one side of the building to control the responses in two directions. Steel is used because it’s more prone to vibration and high damping capacity. Furthermore, by placing the TMD centrically, the torsional response of the building may also be controlled.

1) Intensive literature survey by referring books, technical papers distributed to know basic construct of topic.
2) Choice of form of structures.

3) Modeling of the chosen structures.
4) Analytical work is to be distributed.
5) Interpretation of result and conclusion

In the present work Tuned mass damper (TMD) is placed on its top and through it to study its effects on Storey drift, storey displacement and base shear and analysis with and without the tuned mass damper (TMD) in ETAB.

For investigation of the dynamic response of the structure with TMD, the following assumptions are adopted.

1) The columns are assumed to be inextensible so that there is no axial deformation in the columns.
2) The slab is assumed to be rigid and there is no bending deformation in the slab.
3) The self-weight of the columns is neglected

4. PROBLEM STATEMENT

1) A (G+16) storey building subjected to earthquake loading in Zone 3 has been considered. In this regard, ETABS software have been considered as tool to perform Displacements, Storey drift and Base Shear.
2) The regular plan and practical plan of building with and without tuned mass damper has been considered to carry out the study. And I performed equivalent static analysis and response spectrum analysis.

3.1 Modeling and Analysis

Fig -3 : Plan of practical building.
3.2 Geometrical parameters of the practical building are as follows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of building</td>
<td>Residential Building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor height of each story</td>
<td>3 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base supports</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural type</td>
<td>R.C.C. Framed Structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of slab on typical floor</td>
<td>One, Two-Way Slab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of two-way slab</td>
<td>125, 135, 140 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of concrete</td>
<td>25 kN/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of slab at staircase</td>
<td>One-Way Slab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of staircase slab</td>
<td>150 mm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of column</td>
<td>300X750, 900, 1200, 1350, 1500mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of beam</td>
<td>230X450, 530, 600 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall material</td>
<td>Brick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall load (parking)</td>
<td>12.42 kN/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall load (floor)</td>
<td>11.04 kN/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall load (parapet)</td>
<td>5.52 kN/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit weight of waterproofing</td>
<td>20 kN/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterproofing load on terrace</td>
<td>3.04 kN/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor finish</td>
<td>1 kN/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live load</td>
<td>3 kN/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Importance factor (I)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil Type</td>
<td>2 (Medium)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seismic zone</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone Factor</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responded reduction factor</td>
<td>-5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Geometrical parameters of the Tuned Mass Damper are as follows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assume mass ratio</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass of damper</td>
<td>10.31 kN.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of damper</td>
<td>12.175 rad/sec.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiffness of damper</td>
<td>152844 N/m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimum damping ratio</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of damping, (Cd)</td>
<td>3300 Ns²/m.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Results

**Chart -1:** Comparison of Displacement in X Direction

**Chart -2:** Comparison of Displacement in Y Direction

**Chart -3:** Comparison of Storey Drift in X Direction

**Chart -4:** Comparison of Storey Drift in Y Direction

**Chart -5:** Comparison of Base Shear in X Direction

**Chart -6:** Comparison of Base Shear in Y Direction

**Chart -7:** Comparison of Fundamental Period

**Chart -8:** Comparison of Natural Frequency
4.1 Discussion

The reduction of Maximum deflection of top storey in X direction by 44.21% and in Y direction by 15.81%
The reduction of Maximum Storey Drift of top storey in X direction by 41.35% and in Y direction by 35.38%
The reduction of Fundamental Period By 4.43%,
The increasing of Natural Frequency By 4.69%,

5. CONCLUSION

On the basis of present study and reviewed literature The following conclusions can be drawn:
1. Seismic performance of building after application of damper is much better when we provide to top of storey.
2. It has been found that the TMD can be successfully used to control vibration of the structure.
3. For story drift which is important behavior for finishes such as sliding windows, performance is better for building with TMD.
4. Application of TMD damper reduces large amount of displacement of the structure.
5. Due to absolute displacement reduction the structure have not require more ductility to resisting earthquake forces.
6. With the using of TMD in the structure, the base shear slightly increases.
7. With the using of TMD in the structure, the Fundamental Period of structure reduces.
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