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Abstract - In recent year Government of India’s Ministry of Urban planning and development had declared 100 smart cities all over the India. This dream can’t be completed without development of Maas Rapid Transit System (MRTS) in the city. For development of MRTS in the city, the viability of various MRTS must have to check economically, financially, and environmentally.

The case study of Mumbai Monorail check the viability of system in above mentioned ways and it gives satisfactory results. The study shows benefits of monorail in comparison of other MRTS. The study elaborate various planning stages such as alignment planning, station planning, land management, environmental impact analysis. It also includes the various challenges the team Monorail faced during execution. It also elaborate the cause and remedies for time delay in projects

In Mumbai Monorail study, it is observed that some activities like utility diversion, rehabilitation and resettlement will finish before actual execution of work will help in minimizing time delay. This will be further useful in construction of MRTS in various cities in scheduled time

The Study ends with the conclusion that, the activities like proper alignment planning , rehabilitation of project affected people , utility diversion should be executed first. The study also suggest about ‘Cushion Time’ during execution of work, empowerment of organization and addition of ‘Heavy Penalty Clauses’ while preparation of tender in future MRTS project.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

Mass Rapid transit is a high capacity public transport system fond in urban area. Mass Rapid transit system (MRTS) play a crucial role in promoting urban development. Throughout India a number of mass rapid transit systems have been implemented into the various metro cities that includes suburban railway, Metro rail, Light rail transit (LRT) in number of type, Monorail & BRTS. The Comparison of various MRTS according to their various defining features is as given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial No.</th>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Suburban Rail and Metro Rail</th>
<th>Mono Rail</th>
<th>LRT</th>
<th>BRT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Line capacity (pphpd)</td>
<td>11,000 to 81,000</td>
<td>1,780 to 32,000</td>
<td>5832 to 20,000</td>
<td>11,000 to 81,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Maximum Gradient</td>
<td>3 to 4 %</td>
<td>6 %</td>
<td>6 to 9 %</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial No.</th>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Suburban Rail and Metro Rail</th>
<th>Mono Rail</th>
<th>LRT</th>
<th>BRT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Row requiem</td>
<td>underground or elevated support</td>
<td>elevated support</td>
<td>elevated</td>
<td>minimum two lane of road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Coaches/Train</td>
<td>4 to 10</td>
<td>2 to 06</td>
<td>2 to 4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Operating Speed (Km/h)</td>
<td>25 to 60</td>
<td>25 to 40</td>
<td>18 to 040</td>
<td>15 to 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>20 to 40</td>
<td>15 to 020</td>
<td>10 to 090</td>
<td>120 to 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Environment impact</td>
<td>high noise pollution</td>
<td>low noise pollution</td>
<td>low noise pollution</td>
<td>medium noise pollution</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.0 LITERATURE SURVEY

The operating vehicle on a fixed singular rail may have been developed in 1800 century but the monorail as a mass rapid transit system was emerged in 20th century. The technology in the established form still not developed in any country as it implemented in relatively small countries like, Japan, Malaysia, and UAE. In India the monorail system is implementing only in Mumbai city for Chembur – Wadala – Sant Gadge Maharaj chock Corridor, which is partly operating on Chembur to Wadala corridor. As it have been implemented in relatively small number of countries less researched on this transit mode comparative to conventional rail system however some key research does exists which is drawn upon practical experience working with monorail. The short intimation provided in few research papers on mass rapid transit system.

3.0 AIM AND OBJECTIVE

3.1 Aim:–
The aim of this study is to achieve timely completion of project. So as to save valuable financial resources of country.

3.2 Objectives:–
1. To identify various causes for time delay in Mumbai Monorail Project.
2. To find out period of time delay in project.
3. To suggest the effective sequence of activities to overcome time delay.
4. Comparative studies of time delay with the help at time delay in Mumbai Monorail Project.

4.0 FEASIBILITY OF MONORAIL ON PROPOSED CORRIDOR.

4.1 What is Monorail?

Monorail is a guided transit mode with vehicles riding on or suspended from a single rail, beam, or tube. Vehicles normally employ rubber tyres support for guidance wheels.

4.2 Technology option for monorail system

There are two basic classes of monorail systems: “Supported” and “Suspended”. The term “monorail” is used to describe almost any transit system using an overhead structure and vehicles with arrangements of support and guidance wheels. These vehicles run on the surface of a rather large beam (or inside an enclosed box structure).

4.2.1 Straddle Type Monorail:

When the vehicle’s passenger compartment is above the running beam, and the structure stands on mono-beam, the system is termed a supported or straddle type monorail.

Vehicles are supported by vertically-oriented tyres rolling a top the beam way, with horizontally-oriented tyres pressing against either side of the beam way to provide stability and guidance (nearly 2 m high and 1 m wide).

Guide ways must be grade separated to accommodate rubber-tyre mono-beams and safe operation with Power rail Traction system. At-grade crossing and in-street operation are not feasible. High platforms must be provided at all stations.

Trains are articulated, 2 to 6 car units, each can be about 15 m long and 3.00 m wide, with about 60 seats and a total seated and standing capacity of about 200 passengers. With driverless operation, practical operating headways can be as short as 1.25 minutes, yielding an “ultimate” capacity of the order of 20,000 pphpd. Coaches with lower capacity (125-150) are also employed by same systems

4.2.2 Advantage of Straddle type monorail

The salient features of a straddle type monorail system are given below.
1. Running stability – easy arrangement of bogies and wheels
2. Comfortable Ride- due to rubber tyres and precast beam track
3. Passenger Safety- grade separated exclusive guideway
4. Ease of Maintenance - simplified structure
5. Ensuring Reliability – on board puncture detector
6. Periodicity of Scheduled Maintenance – life of consumables
8. Enhanced Mobility – full flat floors
9. Safety and Rescue - emergency passenger evacuation

4.4 Salient Features

The salient features of Mumbai Monorail are tabulated below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial No</th>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Road Length</td>
<td>20 km.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Alignment</td>
<td>Elevated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Max. Gradient</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Min. Clearance above ground</td>
<td>5.5 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>No. of Station</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Platform Length</td>
<td>65 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Journey Time</td>
<td>37 Minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>No. of Cars</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Passenger Capacity</td>
<td>568/train</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Average Speed</td>
<td>31 km/hr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Maximum Speed</td>
<td>80 km/hr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Jacob Grce to Wadala – 4½ Minutes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Wadala to Chembur – 9 Minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Operation Hours</td>
<td>5 am to 11 pm.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.5 Corridor planning

Corridors start from Keshavrao Khadye Marg about 300 m West of Sant Gadge Maharaj Chowk. Start point of corridor has been decided keeping in view future extension of monorail system along this road. It runs in Northeast direction along Sane Guruji Marg –NM Joshi Marg – Mahadev Pallav Marg (Curry Road) – Sai Baba Mart – GD Ambedkar Marg – Katrak Road – DS Baretto Road – Rafi Ahmad Kidwai Marg – Sheikh Misari Road – Wadala Depot Area – Bhakti Park – APLR – R C Marg – N G Acharya Marg and terminates in front of Chembur Railway Station. Entire Route is through densely populated area which is also full of commercial activities. Most of the Roads have sufficient ROW to accommodate monorail corridor except at certain stretches where available ROW of road is too narrow. Perhaps these stretches are occupied by unauthorized construction on both sides of road. These narrow stretches are identified in subsequent paras. Major localities along the corridor are Maha Laxmi, Parel, Naigaon, Dadar East, Acharya Atre Nagar, Antop Hill, Guru Teg Bahadur Nagar, Bhakti Park, Mysore Colony and Chembur.

Over all length of corridor from Start Point to Dead End is 19.543 Km. Total 18 Nos. of stations have been planned along the corridor between these two station, Sant Gadge Maharaj Chowk and Chembur.
These two stations are terminal stations and Wadala Depot Station has been planned as Mid Terminal to facilitate trains either terminate at Wadala Depot or run through between Sang Gadge Maharaj Chowk and Chembur Rail Station. Depot for the corridor has been planned at Wadala.

4.5.1 Interchange Stations

Efforts have been made to select station locations in such a fashion to provide passenger interchange with other modes of transport such as metro rail system, Bus system and Suburban Railway system. Following interchange stations (other than bus system) are provided along the Corridor.

4.6 Traction System and Power Demand

The traction system for the proposed Monorail corridors will be 1500V/750V DC.

On elevated beam, current carrying power rails shall be provided on both sides of the beam (i.e. +ve as well as -ve power rails shall be provided). The power rails shall be insulated from the track beam.

For meeting traction power and auxiliary power demands of the Monorail Corridors, Receiving Sub-Station (RSS) 22 KV have to be provided at Mint colony and Maysore colony to avail the power supply from utilities at high voltage level at a single point. This may also facilitate negotiating a better tariff for bulk power from the electricity authorities/companies.

In the DC traction Monorail system, due to higher specific energy consumption, the traction currents are high. There is a constraint of running a number of parallel circuits/conductors on the elevated guide way as well as in the median on the public ways. So it is not practical to run an cable network for the distribution of power in a Monorail system for Traction. 33 kV voltage level is a natural choice for Power Distribution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Name of Station</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Interchange with</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sant Gadge Maharaj Chowk</td>
<td>Metro &amp; Western Railway</td>
<td>Mahalaxmi Station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Chinchpokli</td>
<td>Central Railway</td>
<td>Chinchpokli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lower Parel</td>
<td>Western &amp; Central Railway</td>
<td>Lower Parel and Curry Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Wadala Bridge</td>
<td>Central Railway Harbor line</td>
<td>Wadala Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>VNP and RC Marg Crossing</td>
<td>Mumbai Metro Line 2</td>
<td>RC Marg Station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Chembur Railway Station</td>
<td>Central Railway Harbor line</td>
<td>Chembur Station</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.7 Detail Inside Depot Building

Depot building mainly consists of following tracks & facilities.

1. Stabling tracks
2. Car washing track
3. Tyre replacement tracks
4. Daily inspection tracks
5. Monthly inspection tracks
6. Important part inspection/ General inspection tracks
7. Test tracks
8. Departure and arrival track
9. Departure inspection track
10. Drill track
11. Maintenance vehicle stabilizing track
12. Workshop
13. Operation control Center
14. Water supply/ Sewer line

4.8 Automatic Fare Collection

Rail transit systems handle large numbers of passengers. Ticket issue and fare collection play a vital role in the efficient and proper operation of the system. To achieve this objective, ticketing system shall be simple, easy to use/operate and maintain, easy on accounting facilities, capable of issuing single/multiple journey tickets, amenable for quick fare changes and require overall lesser manpower. In view of the above, computer based automatic fare collection systems have the following advantages:

1. Less number of staff required.
2. Less possibility of leakage of revenue due to 100% ticket check by control gates.
3. Recycling of ticket fraudulently by staff avoided.
4. Efficient and easy to operate, faster evacuation both in normal and emergency.
5. System is amenable for quick fare changes.
6. Management information reports generation easy.
7. System has multi-operator capabilities. Same Smart Card can be used for other applications also.

4.0 MUMBAI MONORAIL PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT

5.1 Alignment Planning

For the Mono Rail system with a maximum speed of 80 kmph, a minimum horizontal curve radius of 300 m is considered desirable with allowable Cant (Ca) % = \( V^2/1.27 \) R ≤12 and Cant deficiency (Cd) = 5%. However, such radius is not achievable on the proposed corridor. At certain locations, radius of 50 m is also provided, which would necessitate reduction in permissible speed.

a) Horizontal Curves
- Desirable Minimum curve radius in mid section: 100 m
- Absolute Minimum curve radius in mid section: 50 m

- Minimum curve radius at stations: 300 m
- Permissible cant (Ca): 12
- Cant deficiency (Cd): 5%

b) Transition Curves
- Desirable length of Transitions of Horizontal V^3/14 R m Curves (rate of change of angular acc = 0.3 m/s^2/s)
- Minimum length of transition curves: 15 m
- Minimum straight length between two Transition curves: 15 m or Nil
- Minimum curve length between two Transition curves: 15 m or Nil
- Curves overlap between transition curves and vertical curves are permitted but will require casting/fabrication of beam to required profile.

However, it may not be possible to provide transition curves of desirable length due to constraints of circular curve length or otherwise. At such locations the permissible speed may be restricted due to comfort parameter.

5.2 Station Planning

Stations are planned as minimal structures required to facilitate the passengers' board and alight from the monorail coach and negotiate the street to platform height with the maximum ease and within minimum time. Hence it is proposed that the monorail station will be more like a 'big bus stand' as opposed to being a 'small metro station'.

Salient features of a typical station are as follows:
1. No passenger's concourse has been proposed. Passengers will directly reach the platforms from street.
2. Two foot over bridges under the track beams will connect the two sidewalks as pedestrian links.
3. Ticket vending is expected to be by Passenger Operated Machines (POMs) at the foot over bridges. AFC and manual ticketing proposed at each station. No separate ticket vending rooms are proposed at the stations.
4. Platforms are 95 m long adequate to receive a 6 coach monorail train. Due to road width constraints, platform supporting columns have to be placed at least 19 m apart, which makes the platforms about 8.5 m wide. The additional space on the platforms thus available is proposed to accommodate all the S & T and Electrical equipment that may be required at the station at the four corners since no mezzanine similar to a metro station is provided.
5. The platform level has adequate assembly space for passengers for both normal operation conditions and a recognized abnormal scenario.
6. Automatic Fare Collection (AFC) gates, if required can be located at the starting point of stairs at platform level.
7. Station entrances are located with particular reference to passenger catchment points and physical site constraints within the right-of-way allocated to the MRTS.
8. Office accommodation, operational areas and plant room space is required at each station. The functions of such areas are given below

5.3 Land Management

Land will be Required for the following main Components
1. Monorail Structure (including Route Alignment), Station Building, Platforms, Entry/Exist Structure, Traffic Integration Facilities, Depots etc.
2. ASS/Traction Sub-Station
3. Radio Towers
4. Temporary Construction Depots and work sites

5.4 Environmental Impact Assessment

A) Positive Environmental Impact

Based on project particulars and existing environmental conditions, potential impacts have been identified that are likely to result from the proposed project and where possible these have been quantified. The positive environmental impacts are described below:
1. Traffic congestion reduction
2. Quick service and safety
3. Less fuel consumption
4. Reduction in Air Pollution
5. Carbon Dioxide Reduction
6. Savings in Road Infrastructure
7. Employment opportunities

B) Negative Environmental Impacts

Based on project particulars and existing environmental conditions. Potential negative impacts likely to result from the proposed development have been quantified. Negative impacts have been listed under the following headings:

a) Impacts due to project location
1. Change of Land Use
2. Loss of Trees
3. Loss of Historical and Cultural Monuments
4. Loss of community property resources

b) Impacts due to construction works,
1. Soil Erosion and Health Risk at Construction Site
2. Traffic Diversion and Risk to Existing Buildings
3. Impact on Water Quality
4. Increased Water Demand

5. Disposal of soil during construction

6.0 Construction Challenges

During Monorail construction challenges faced at every level, start from beginning at boring of pile up to successful running of the trains.

The major construction challenges faced and their successful solutions are listed here to get on overall idea.

6.1 Right of way:

To clear the right of way 120 Nos. of families were shifted to new location. This shifting activity consumer lot of valuable time of the project period. Which causes the delay in the completion of the period.

During this shifting activity the officers have to faces falls criminal cases, court cases, which demoralize the officers at certain extent, but continuous motivation & support from superior staff leading to completion of the project.

The second major hurdle to clear the right of way is the infringement of temple at Curry Road (ch. 1760). The pier location which come exactly center of the temple and there was strong opposition for shifting of temple location the pier location have to shifter as shown in figure.

6.2 Trees Cutting:

This is the major challenges to cool down the oppose of tree cutting raised by NGO/ People/ Local residents. This challenge is overcome by planting the town time no of tree cut.
6.3 Removal of Encroachment:

The removal of encroachment along the alignment is giant task carried out by the monorail team. This time also the monorail team has to face physical assault, false animal cases/ court cases but still the team doesn't demoralize due to strong support from the higher authority.

The major encroachment locations are listed as below.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i)</td>
<td>Mint Colony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii)</td>
<td>Ambedkar Nagar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii)</td>
<td>Naigaon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv)</td>
<td>Dadar East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v)</td>
<td>Wadala bridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vi)</td>
<td>A.A. Nagar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vii)</td>
<td>Bhakti Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>viii)</td>
<td>Mysore colony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ix)</td>
<td>Fertilizer town ship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x)</td>
<td>VNP &amp; RC Marg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.4 Diversion of utilities:

The Herculean task of utility diversion have to carried out monorail team at various construction stages. The main problem faced by Monorail team during diversion of utilities is lack of information about various underground utilities by various organization.

In some area the sewer/ storm water drains was constructed during British era. The old map is not available with local bodies so the utility identification was carried out by digging trail trenches at various location.

After trenching in some location bunch of cables of different utilities were found to identify the utility and the owner of the utility a ‘joint site inspection’ and joint meeting with various organisation were conducted and plan for utility diversion is finalised.

Due to utility diversion plan the design of the pile cap have to change continuously which further delay the project.

6.5 Permission /clearance from various organization

Permission clearness should be sought from various agencies. viz. Telephone, Mobile, Gas, Drainage, Communication cable, sewer pipe lines, water pipe lines power cables etc.

At the least 15 utility agencies will come into picture the major clearance required are listed as below.

1. Forest department clearance
2. Environmental clearance
3. Radio frequency clearance from ministry (SACFA)
4. EIG clearance for power traction and building installation.
5. Safety certification from statutory bodies.
6. Five clearance from five department.

6.6 Difficulty in placing guide way beam

The weight of guide way beam is nearly about 80 MT. The guide way beam was casted in casting yard located at Wadala. Thus this guide way beam need to be transferred at various pier location.

This transportation was carried out by specially designed vehicles having large capacity to transport the beam (as shown in figure). This beam was transported in height only to avoid traffic consumption. In some places the road pavement was strengthen to bear that much load coming on road. The path of the vehicle is selected in such a way that to avoid flyovers/ ROB coming on the way.

When the beam is transported to selected pier it was lifted by two cranes having capacity more than 100
MT the guide way beam are placed very precisely on the pier as further no adjustment is possible.

Finally the stretching of the beam was carried out. The difficulty in this stage is very narrow working place available for the working.

6.7  Night Working :

Working at night is having its own difficulty as natural sleepiness among the labor and comparatively less illumination available at the site at the same time heavy trouble from mosquito during the working.

Thus continuous motivation needed to achieve the work. This is done by awarding labor by cash/ bonus extra facilities etc.

7.0 SUMMARY OF DELAYS IN MAJOR ACTIVITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Activities / event</th>
<th>Delays in months</th>
<th>Reasons for delay</th>
<th>Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Due to change in locations of GMC station</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>1.Units diversion 2.Traffic conjunction 3.Addl. Cross over switches</td>
<td>1.prior planning for utility diversion while finalization of alignment 2. simultaneously start of utilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Delay in land acquisition for Traction sub-station (TSS) at Lower Parel</td>
<td>11.5 months</td>
<td>Unavailability of land nearby alignment</td>
<td>Proper plan for land acquisition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Non diversion of oil fill high tension undergound Tata Power Cable at 1 Series near Curry Road</td>
<td>11.5 months</td>
<td>Unforeseen activities</td>
<td>To place additional time cushion while designing of alignment to overcome unforeseen activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Permission for crossing of Railway alignment at Curry Road Station.</td>
<td>36 months</td>
<td>1.Poor coordination between contractor and Indian railway authority 2.Unaware about safety zones of railway and addition of 7th railway line proposed during this tenure</td>
<td>1. removal of communication gap between Client-PMC-Contractor-Railway authority 2.Prior approval at the planning stage from railway authority</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Delay due to land acquisition from Mumbai Port Trust and Indian Railway crossing pier 1H4A-1H12

6. Delay in manufacturing of rolling stock

7. Delay in permission for Tree Cutting

8. Delay due to taxation Complexity

8.0 CONCLUSION

The first phase of Mumbai Monorail i.e. from Chembur to Wadala was completed on January 2014. In first phase it is covering the area of industrial establishment like Bharat Petroleum Colony, Fertilizer township etc, so the ridership for this phase is very low and limited up to pick hours only.

In present status all the civil works of alignment and station is 100% completed and the balancing mechanical, electrical, electronic work will be expected to be completed up to Dec 2017. Once this work will completed in all respect, the monorail will get full ridership & project will be economical and financial feasible. The following point should be consider while developing any other MRTS in city.

1. Alignment for the work should be fix consoling all possible factor like probable utility division, retaliation at project attached people, land acquisition, traffic division etc.
2. After this the proper plan for utility diversion, rehabilitation of project affected people, land acquisition should be prepared before execution of work.
3. `A cushion time` should be kept to overcome unforeseen activities while planning for execution.
4. Tender document should be prepared which include ‘heavy penalty condition’ for time delay by contractor and consultant.
5. Most of the MRTS technology are new in India so that a planned documentation for human resource management should be prepared.
6. The permissions / clearance / liasioning activity should be carried out before execution of the work.
7. Empowering of implementing authority (MMRDA) like DMRC, Delhi which help in implementation of single window clearance system for getting various permissions/clearance.
8. The manufacturing of monorail coaches in India under ‘Make in India’ initiative by Govt. which help in reduction in time delay upto certain extent.
9. The implementation of GST will help in implementation of single taxation system for monorail which helps in reduction time of implementation in future.
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