

Quality Improvement Using GR&R : A Case Study

Raman Bhakhri¹, Dr. R.M.Belokar²

¹Production Engineering Department PEC University of Technology, Chandigarh ²Production Engineering Department PEC University of Technology. Chandigarh ***

Abstract - Gauge R&R, which means gauge repeatability and reproducibility, is a statistical tool which calculates the variation in the measurement system to how much extent that comes from the measurement tool and the operators calculating the measurement. One of the method of gauge R&R has been explained i.e. crossed study to find Gauge R&R in detail and shows how important role is played by GR&R in finding acceptability of a measuring system of the firm.. We have generated an industry expert interviews and survey based study of Ludhiana-phagwara industrial region also a case study is also done on implementation of GR&R technique in a industry manufacturing engine spare parts. A four months long industry analysis for initiating GR&R practices and then devising a plan for reducing rejection of manufacturing parts in the Industry and reducing the cost of poor quality of the manufactured parts of the industry

KeyWord - MSA, bias, accuracy , precision, linearity, stability, total variation, gauge, part, trial, repeatability error, reproducibility error

1. INTRODUCTION

The motive of implementing Gauge R&R study is determine if a measurement system is sufficient for your requirements which is shown after finding the R&R%. A gauge R&R study will explain operators if the measurement system is fair for its intended use. The gauge study also proves which part of the measurement system is giving contribution the most to the unstability of the measurements and assists the operators execute stability to system. Measurement systems have variation from three major sources: the components, the operators taking the measurements and the device used to take the measurement. The contribution in each of these areas can be analyzed from the GR&R results from minitab. In a good measurement system, one must expect to calculate almost complete variation in the products only. If the operators or the devices creates most of the variation, then the system may not be valid. The goal of using Gauge R&R study is fullfilled if a measurement system is worth for your requirements which can be told after finding the R&R%. However, the disadvantage of application of GR&R is that it does

not give the idea of accuracy. Though we are having GR&R values which are not significant further results of the test are not accurate and no idea of material or the final product is not obtained.

2. GR&R Study Types

Following are the types

1 .Crossed gage R&R study

A study in which each part is measured by each operator. The study is known as *crossed* because the each operator measure the same parts number of times. To perform a crossed gage R&R study in Minitab,go to stat then quality tools then gage study. Oftenly, we are using a crossed gage R&R study to find out amount of our process variation is caused by measurement system variation.

2. Nested gage R&R study

A study in which each part is measured by single operator because the part is destroyed by the test. This study is known as nested because another factor nest one or more factors and concluding not being crossed with the other factors. To perform a nested gage R&R study in Minitab then follow the same steps as above and click on GR&R (nested)

3. Expanded gage R&R study

A study in which one or more of the following conditions are valids

- More than two factors, mainly appraisels, • measuring instruments, and product.
- Random or fixed factors
- Both crossed and nested conditions
- Design is not balanced.

This study is known as expanded because it is applicable in many types of conditions. To perform an expanded gage R&R study in Minitab, follow the same initial steps then GR&R(expanded)



3. Research Methodology



4. Sources of variation



Actual process variation and measurement variation is in each observation of process variation. Actual process variation made of extended, short, and within sample variation. Gage variation made up of of variations due to calibration, stability, repeatability, and linearity

1. Repeatability & Reproducibility Error (R&R) The R&R error is the combined result of repeatability reproducibility error.

2.Appraiser Variation(Av) Or Reproducibility Error : Reproducibility error is caused when the reading of a part is not reproduced across operators or under different environmental conditions. It is also termed as Operator appraiser error

3. Part Variation Error (Pv)

Part Variation error is the error coming from product choosen for measurement.

4. Total Variation (Tv)

Total variation is the resultant of Repeatability and Reproducibility error (R&R) and Part variation error (PV).

5. Equipment Variation (Ev) Or Repeatability Error When instrument is not repeating reading of the product when same operators measure no. of times in the same conditions of environment. It is also called Instrument error.

5. Measure phase of DMAIC METHODOLOGY

To ensure system (measurement) is statistically sound Gauge R&R study is performed. Gauge reproducibility & repeatability studies shows that how much of the observed process variation is due to measurement system variation. It has been conducted with 3 operators,3 repeats and 14 parts using dial gauge and micrometer. Forming a gauge run chart and then conducting analysis of gauge R&R study and then answering questions

TABLE4.1: MINITAB DATA SHEET OF DIAMETER OF LINER CYLINDER FOR GAUGE R&R STUDY (IN MILIMETER)

SERIAL NO.	TRIALS	OPERATORS	MEASUR EMENT
1	1	Raman	100.139
2	2	Raman	99.123
3	3	Raman	100.216
4	4	Raman	99.552
5	5	Raman	99.171
6	6	Raman	99.997
7	7	Raman	99.884
8	8	Raman	99.809
9	9	Raman	100.174
10	10	Raman	100.567
11	11	Raman	99.871



International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET)

Volume: 04 Issue: 06 | June -2017

www.irjet.net

e-ISSN: 2395 -0056 p-ISSN: 2395-0072

100.618

99.713

99.595

99.903

99.951

100.249

100.433

100.232

100.499

100.272 99.596

100.295

99.827

99.681

100.639

99.773

99.567

99.863 100.302

99.981

100.535

100.256

100.502 100.239

99.502

99.789

99.549

99.727

99.497

99.872

99.986

100.481

100.242

100.394

100.228

99.595

99.810

99.606

99.741

99.624 99.844

100.300 100.044

100.538 100.352

100.586

100.269

100.310

100.438

100.329

12	12	Raman	100.545	62	6	Raghav
13	13	Raman	100.282	63	7	Raghav
14	14	Raman	100.714	64	8	Raghav
15	1	Raghav	100.263	65	9	Raghav
16	2	Raghav	99.650	66	10	Raghav
17	3	Raghav	100.270	67	11	Raghav
18	4	Raghav	99.741	68	12	Raghav
19	5	Raghav	99.595	69	13	Raghav
20	6	Raghav	100.543	70	14	Raghav
21	7	Raghav	99.791	71	1	saurabh
22	8	Raghav	99.591	72	2	saurabh
23	9	Raghav	99.844	73	3	saurabh
24	10	Raghav	100.304	74	4	saurabh
25	11	Raghav	99.934	75	5	saurabh
26	12	Raghav	100.472	76	6	saurabh
27	13	Raghav	100.319	77	7	saurabh
28	14	Raghav	100.421	78	8	saurabh
29	1	saurabh	100.196	79	9	saurabh
30	2	saurabh	99.673	80	10	saurabh
31	3	saurabh	100.348	81	11	saurabh
32	4	saurabh	99.744	82	12	saurabh
33	5	saurabh	99.667	83	13	saurabh
34	6	saurabh	100.563	84	14	saurabh
35	7	saurabh	99.881	85	1	Raman
36	8	saurabh	99.599	86	2	Raman
37	9	saurabh	99.885	87	3	Raman
38	10	saurabh	100.403	88	4	Raman
39	11	saurabh	100.116	89	5	Raman
40	12	saurabh	100.578	90	6	Raman
41	13	saurabh	100.274	91	7	Raman
42	14	saurabh	100.492	92	8	Raman
43	1	Raman	100.499	93	9	Raman
44	2	Raman	99.364	94	10	Raman
45	3	Raman	99.865	95	11	Raman
46	4	Raman	99.920	96	12	Raman
47	5	Raman	99.356	97	13	Raman
48	6	Raman	100.411	98	14	Raman
49	7	Raman	100.004	99	1	Raghav
50	8	Raman	99.102	100	2	Raghav
51	9	Raman	99.908	101	3	Raghav
52	10	Raman	100.776	102	4	Raghav
53	11	Raman	99.533	103	5	Raghav
54	12	Raman	100.310	104	6	Raghav
55	13	Raman	100.023	105	7	Raghav
56	14	Raman	100.474	106	8	Raghav
57	1	Raghav	100.180	107	9	Raghav
58	2	Raghav	99.633	108	10	Raghav
59	3	Raghav	100.375	109	11	Raghav
60	4	Raghav	99.685	110	12	Raghav
61	5	Raghav	99.627	111	13	Raghav

Impact Factor value: 5.181 | ISO 9001:2008 Certified Journal | Page 3020



International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET)

Volume: 04 Issue: 06 | June -2017

www.irjet.net

48

36

24

12

0 Total Gar e-ISSN: 2395 -0056 p-ISSN: 2395-0072

-			
112	14	Raghav	100.424
113	1	saurabh	100.319
114	2	saurabh	99.670
115	3	saurabh	100.320
116	4	saurabh	99.788
117	5	saurabh	99.643
118	6	saurabh	100.494
119	7	saurabh	99.774
120	8	saurabh	99.658
121	9	saurabh	99.877
122	10	saurabh	100.391
123	11	saurabh	100.063
124	12	saurabh	100.472
125	13	saurabh	100.250
126	14	saurabh	100.536

6. MINITAB RESULTS

Figure 1: gauge run chart





Figure 2: variation report

Gage R&R Study for Measurement Summary Report					
Can you adequately assess process performance?	Study Information				
0% 10% 30% 100% Yes 37.6% No 37.6% The measurement system variation equals 37.6% of the process variation. The process variation is estimated from the parts in	Number of parts in study 14 Number of operators in study 3 Number of replicates 3 (Replicates: Number of times each operator measured each part)				
Varistian by Course	General rules used to determine the capability of the system: <10%: acceptable 10% - 30%: marginal >30%: unacceptable Examine the bar chart showing the sources of variation. If the				
18	total gage variation is unacceptable, look at repeatability and reproducibility to guide improvements: • Test-Retest component (Repeatability): The variation that occurs when the same person measures the same item multiple times. This equals 85.4% of the measurement variation and is 32.1% of the total variation in the process. • Operator and Operator by Part components (Reproducibility): The variation that occurs when different renole measure the				
12 0	same item. This equals \$2.0% of the measurement variation and is 19.6% of the total variation in the process.				

Figure 3 : summary report

7. ANALYSIS PHASE OF DMAIC methodology

From gauge run chart 2 things can be analysed

1. Reproducibility and Repeatability issues

Raman is not agreeing with himself and with others so he is responsible for repeatability and reproducibility issues

2. Range of parts

It tells what is the maximum and minimum size and what is the difference between the two and how does that compare to the errors. Sixth part on an avg has highest measurements and part with lowest measurement is eighth. Biggest range is between sixth and eighth and how does Raman errors compared to that range and errors he is making are quite significant

From fig variation report we can analyze after looking at avg measurement for each part and operator that Raghav and saurabh are overlapping with each other and Raman is not agreeing with avg measurement so he is the problem creating factor. From box charts for each operator, box charts are, nt so bad actually they are quite level, just tails on the poor Raman is longer and for repeatability issues we can have a look at the range charts that this is range of measurements plotted for each part we have difference between max and min for each operator for each part plotted here and again Raghav and saurabh agree with each other . Range for Raman is far greater than other 2 guys and we can see how Raman range of measurement is way bigger than anyone else and for PART 8 we are giving break down at the error. Other thing to note here under reproducibility we have atleast one guy having problem by % study variation and atleast one part's manufacturing i.e. 8th must be checked to lessen down the rejection. In this phase, an action plan is created to close the gap between how things currently work and how the organization would like them to work in order to meet the goals for a particular product or service.

8. IMPROVEMENT PHASE



In this phase we try to improve the cause of problem. In current case operator must be well trained and ovality is found to be the source of problem so the improvement action is taken for same. There is something wrong with part 8 i.e. ovality variation, perpendicularity, etc because raman's measured data is away from other guys so its manufacturing in a production line must be analyzed and controlled.Eliminating raman's measured data measurement system variation become equal to 14.2 % of process variation, so he must undergo training program to measure properly. Moreover since capability of system is marginal we have some repeatability issues to avoid and eliminate it our measuring instrument should be proper caliberated

9. REFERENCES

 Afrooz Moatari Kazerouni, "Design and Analysis of Gauge R&R Studies: Making Decisions Based on ANOVA Method", World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology 52 (2009)

- Burdick, R. K., Borror, C. M., and Montgomery, D. C. (2005), Design and Analysis of Gauge R&R Studies: Making Decisions with Confidence Intervals in Random and Mixed ANOVA Models, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA
- 3. Smith R.R., McCrary S.W., Callahan R.N., "Gauge repeatability and reproducibility studies and measurement system analysis: A Multi method exploration of the state of practice", Journal of Quality Technology, 23, 1, 1-11, (2007)
- 4. Tsai.P (1988-89). "Variable Gauge Repeatability and Reproducibility Study Using the Analysis of variance Method", Quality EngineerinG.
- 5. Keith M. Bower, Michelle E.Touchton "Evaluating The Usefulness of Data By Gauge Repeatability and Reproducibility", Minitab Inc.(2009)
- Dr. R. M. Belokar, Harish Kumar Banga, Jagbir Singh, Pratik Belokar "Improvement of Quality through Six Sigma: A Case Study". International Journal of Engineering, Business and Enterprise Applications, 8(2), March-May., 2014, pp. 127-131
- 7. Brook, Quentin. Lean Six Sigma and Minitab. UK: OPEX Resources Ltd,2010.http://chartitnow.com/R&R.html,http:// www.qualitytrainingportal.com/resources/msa/g rr.htm http://asq.org/sixsigma/2008/10/gage-rr-with-anova-xbarr-analysis.html?shl=088720