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Abstract: In this paper financial evaluation of solar thermal cooling system for a computer laboratory has been carried out. The computer lab has the floor and roof area 198.55 m\textsuperscript{2}. The peak cooling load is calculated and it is 34.940 kW, accordingly 10TR vapor absorption cooling system was adopted and it is operated by a field of collector area varying from 80-120 m\textsuperscript{2}. The simulation was carried out on TRANSOL Program for Bharatpur city situated in east of Rajasthan (INDIA). It can be conclude that solar thermal cooling system is technically feasible because it offers good solar fraction in the range of 0.52-0.75. In the solar thermal cooling system as the collector area increases the solar fraction also increases but after an optimum collector area it starts decreasing because at elevated temperature heat losses are also higher. Financially with the present cost structure, solar thermal cooling system is not feasible due to high initial cost of vapour absorption chiller, and solar collector. Due to high initial cost it provides only marginal annual savings that result in very high payback periods (67-83 years). Sensitivity analysis shows that in coming future the total investment cost decreases and electricity prices increases, the payback period came down.
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1. Introduction

To improve the thermal comfort conditions, particularly in the summer season, there is growing demand of conventional vapour compression air conditioners. This growing demand not only increases electricity consumption but also global warming. Building architectural characteristics and trends like increasing ratio of transparent to opaque surfaces in the building envelope to even popular glass buildings has also significantly increased the thermal load on the air conditioners [Henning 2007]. The conventional vapour compression refrigeration cycle driven air conditioner using grid electricity, increases the consumption of electricity and fossil energy. Energy sources based on fossil fuels such as coal, oil, gas, nuclear, etc., are cause serious environmental hazards and are scarce in nature, location and volume. To reduce environmental pollution and global warming in Balghouthi et al. [2005] suggested the solar power air conditioning in place of conventional vapour compression air conditioning systems. Pongtornkulpanich et al. (2008) share the experience with fully operational solar driven 10 ton LiBr/H\textsubscript{2}O single effect absorption cooling system in Thailand. They analyzed the data collected during 2006 and show that 72 m\textsuperscript{2} evacuated tube solar collector delivered a yearly average solar fraction of 81\%, while LPG \textsuperscript{c}fired backup unit supplied the 19\% thermal energy. They show that the initial cost of installation is higher than that of the conventional vapour compression system due to higher cost of solar collector and chiller. Technology advancement, large production, and increase in price of electricity in future can make it more cost competitive with the conventional system. Eicker et al. (2009) develops a full simulation model for absorption cooling systems, combined with a stratified storage tank, dynamic collector model and hourly building loads. They found that depending on control strategy, location and cooling load time series, between 1.7 and 3.6 m\textsuperscript{2} vacuum tube collector per kW cooling load are required to cover 80\% of the cooling load. Y Hang et al. (2011) carried out economical and environmental assessment of an optimized solar cooling system for a medium sized benchmark office building in Los Angeles (California) having the floor area 4983 m\textsuperscript{2}. It is used in this building 150 kW capacity absorption chiller was used with varying collector area of 80-490 m\textsuperscript{2}. The Payback is calculated as 13.8 years when the 40\% subsidy is provided on capital investment.

Eicker et al. (2014) performed the primary energy analysis and economic evaluation of solar thermal cooling and solar photovoltaic cooling system, the comparison is made for three different climates corresponding to the Palermo, Madrid and Stuttgart. Various literature conclude that the primary energy saving and, economic analysis are different for different climates, countries and electric prices. In the present work parametric study and performance analysis...
of solar thermal cooling systems has been performed considering the annual solar fraction and relative primary energy savings. In the solar thermal cooling system, three types of collectors (FPC, ETC and CPC) with wide variance of area ranging from 80 m²-120 m² have been considered.

2 Solar Thermal Cooling Systems

This system is simulated using a configuration SCH 601 from the program as shown in the fig 1. This configuration shows the complete heating, cooling and domestic hot water application. In this study only cooling is considered for analysis purpose. The solar thermal cooling system is composed of a solar collector field, solar storage tank, heat exchanger, cold storage tank and vapour absorption chiller. The solar collector field captures energy from the sun and exchanger it with the solar storage tank through an external heat exchanger. Two pumps are used in the solar collector loop, one is from solar collector to heat exchanger and another is from heat exchanger to solar storage tank. These pumps are known as primary and secondary pump respectively and operated by solar loop control depending on solar radiation intensity. The pump flow rate is constant. The system stops the pumps if the temperature in the solar storage tank exceeds the maximum security value. A vapour absorption machine (VAM) is directly connected to the solar storage tank, this machine is turned on when cooling is required and the temperature of the solar tank is over a set point temperature [Bongs, 2009]. The heat coming from the absorber and condenser is released by cooling tower controlled by a variable frequency drive that increases energy efficiency and reduces electrical energy consumption. The cold water coming out from the evaporator of vapour absorption machine is stored in the cold storage tank. An electrically operated compression cooling machine is used as a backup in order to cover complete cooling demand of the building. This compression cooling machine is operated when there is need for cooling and the temperature of the cold storage tank is below the specified set point temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solar Collector</td>
<td>Surface Area m²</td>
<td>80-120 m²</td>
<td>Henning 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar Storage</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Vertical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>5000 ltr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal Chiller</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Absorption</td>
<td>Mateous et al. 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nominal Cooling power</td>
<td>35 kW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nominal COP</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pump power</td>
<td>210 W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold Storage Tank</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Vertical</td>
<td>Eicker et al. 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>1000 ltr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression Chiller</td>
<td>Nominal cooling power</td>
<td>10.5 kW (Back up)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nominal COP</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooling Tower</td>
<td>Nominal Power</td>
<td>75 kW</td>
<td>Advances Cooling Tower Pvt Ltd. Mumbai.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Specification of building coupled with solar air conditioning.

The building being used in this research work is a computer lab situated in Government engineering college, Bharatpur rectangular shape building with envelope of 15.69 m length and 12.65 m width. The height of the building is 3.64 m and total floor area is 198.55 m². The entire building is used for laboratory purpose in day time only and whole area is conditioned .The detail dimension of building is given as follows:

1. Length of building = 15.690 m
2. Width of building = 12.655 m
3. Height of building = 3.64 m
4. Dimensions of 5 south wall glass windows = 1.65 x 1.5 m
5. Dimensions of 5 west wall glass windows = 1.65 x 1.5 m
6. Dimension of north wall door = 2.55 x 1.5 m
7. Dimension of north wall door = 2.52 x 0.92 m
4. Solar Fraction

It is the ratio of the annual cooling effect produced by the solar to the total annual cooling demand of the building.

\[
\text{Solar Fraction} = \frac{\text{Annual cooling effect produced by solar absorption chiller (kWhth)}}{\text{Annual coiling demand of building (kWhth)}}
\]

Fig 2 shows the variation of solar fraction for various collector area of FPC, ETC and CPC. It is clear from the fig 4 that in FPC, as the collector area increases the solar fraction also increases because more heat is collected by the collector and supplied to the solar thermal cooling system that produce the more amount of solar cooling. At small collector area of 80 m\(^2\) the annual heat production is low for Bharatpur city and solar thermal cooling system produce the low amount of cooling. If the collector area is increased from 80 m\(^2\) to 120 m\(^2\) the solar fraction increases from 52 % to 63 %. In the solar thermal cooling system when ETC type collector is used than as the area of collector is increased then the solar fraction is also increase but after an optimum collector area it starts constant because the elevated temperature heat losses are also higher. If we utilize a high collector area then we have to increase either the capacity of storage tank or the cooling demand of the building otherwise there will be no effect of collector area after an optimum value. The solar fraction has been observed as 0.71, 0.71, 0.72, 0.72, and 0.72 for the area of 80m\(^2\), 90 m\(^2\), 100 m\(^2\), 110 m\(^2\) and 120m\(^2\) for the Bharatpur city.

5. Economic Analysis

To plan any energy project such as solar cooling systems, economic consideration form the basis for decision making. All the cost over the entire life cycle can be grouped into three categories: capital costs, which contain the major equipment cost including installation, maintenance cost and operating cost for the cost of energy and other material inputs in the system. It is assumed that in India, there is no demolition cost. Table 2 shows the cost of the components associated with the solar thermal cooling and reference system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Solar collector - FPC</td>
<td>80-120 m(^2)</td>
<td>Rs/m(^2)</td>
<td>6250</td>
<td>Sunwas Energy savings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Solar collector - ETC</td>
<td>80-120 m(^2)</td>
<td>Rs/m(^2)</td>
<td>9300</td>
<td>Mamta Energy Gujarat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Solar collector - CPC</td>
<td>80-120 m(^2)</td>
<td>Rs/m(^2)</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>Orja Energy Engg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Hot Storage Tank -HST</td>
<td>5 m(^3)</td>
<td>Rs</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>Metasis Engineering Pune</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. Payback Analysis

Payback time for each option is calculated using the following equation [Eicker et al. 2014].

\[
\text{Payback time} = \frac{\text{Final total investment cost (solar system)} - \text{Final total investment cost (Reference)}}{\text{annual operation (reference system)} - \text{annual operation (solar system)}}
\]

Payback time in the hot and dry climate with 100 m² flat plate collector is calculated as:

\[
\text{Payback time (FPC)} = \frac{3870800 - 500000}{129094 - 84581} = 75.72 \text{ Years}
\]

![Fig.3 Pay back years with collector area](image)

Fig.3 shows the payback time for the considered simulation cases in this study. It can be easily noticed from the table that all the payback periods are much higher than the system life, thereby meaning practically no payback in any of the cases. Evacuated tube type collector possesses a lower range of payback time than others.

7. Sensitivity Analysis

With the present cost structure in India the solar thermal cooling system is not feasible. In coming future as the investment cost becomes low and the cost of electrical energy based on fossils fuels increases then this cooling system may be feasible. To see the effect of investment cost on payback sensitivity analysis is carried out on the following parameter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Total investment cost sensitivity analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Electricity cost sensitivity analysis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is observed from the 4 a that as the cost of total investment is decreased by 50 % the payback period also decreases and comes down to 37 year for FPC, 34 years for ETC and 35 years for CPC. At present costs in India the payback periods is 82 years, 66 years and 67 years for FPC, ETC, and CPC respectively. The effect of change in electricity cost on payback time after consideration the 50 % reduction in the investment cost is carried out. The effect of electricity cost on annual electricity savings and payback time respectively. From the fig 4 b it has been observed that as the electricity cost increases the annual electricity savings also increase thus the payback time decreases. In future, if the electricity prices are increased by 50 % then the payback will come down to 25, 22, 23 years for the FPC, ETC and CPC respectively.
8. Conclusions

It can be conclude from the performance analysis of the solar thermal cooling system that it is technically feasible because it offers good solar fraction in the range of 0.52-0.75 in the considered city and collector areas. The primary energy savings reaches up to 52%. Financially with the present cost structure, solar thermal cooling system is not feasible due to high initial cost of vapour absorption chiller, and solar collector. The high initial cost is also linked with high maintenance cost, where as it provides only marginal annual savings that result in very high payback periods (67-83 years). Sensitivity analysis shows that in coming future as the total investment cost decreases and electricity prices increases, the payback period came down. If the total investment fall down to its 50% and electric prices are hike by 50% than the payback period came down within the system life.
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