# RECURRENCE RELATION FOR ACHROMATIC NUMBER OF LINE GRAPH OF GRAPH 

Smita A.Bhatavadekar ${ }^{1}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Assistant Professor at Lokmanya Tilak college of Engineering,Koparkhairane, Navi Mumbai,India.


#### Abstract

In this paper, we have studied vertex coloring, chromatic and achromatic number of a graph. For certain $n$, upper bound of $A(n)$ is discussed. A recurring relation is obtained for $A(n), n$ $\geq 4$. Key Words: k-colouring, colourclass, chromatic number, achromatic number, Line graph.


## 1.INTRODUCTION

A k-colouring of a graph G is a labeling
$f: V(G) \rightarrow S$, where $|S|=k$. Often we use $S=[k]=\{1,2$, .., k$\}$. The labels are colours. The vertices of one colour form a colourclass. A k-colouring is proper if adjacent vertices have different colours or labels.

A graph is k -colourable if it has a proper k colouring. The chromatic number $\chi(\mathrm{G})$ is the least k , such that $G$ is k-colourable.i.e there does not exist any proper k-1 colouring.
The Achromatic number : The largest $k$ so that there exists a complete k-colouring of $V(G)$ is called the achromatic number $\Psi(G)$. For any k between $\chi(G)$ and $\Psi(\mathrm{G})$ a complete k -colouring of G exists.
Line Graph: The Line graph of G, written as L(G), is the simple graph whose vertices are the edges of $G$, with ef $\in E(L(G))$ when $e$ and $f$ have a common endpoint in $G$.
Let $G=K_{n}$, then $\Psi(G)=\Psi\left(K_{n}\right)=A(n)$
The achromatic number $A(n)$ of the line graph of $K n$ i.e. $A(n)=\Psi\left(L\left(K_{n}\right)\right)$.

## Results and Discussion:

Lemma 1. For any $t<(n-1) / 2 A(n) \leq \max \{g(n, t+1)$, $h(n, t+1)\}$

Proof. Consider any complete k- colouring of
$\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{n} \mathrm{)}$. Assume that there is a
colourclass $\Gamma$ with $s \leq t$ edges of $K_{n}$ in it. (i.e. 2 s vertices of $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{K}_{n}\right)$
Let $S$ be the set of 2 s nodes of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}$ covered by the s edges in $\Gamma$.

An edge of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is adjacent to an edge of $\Gamma$ in $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{K}_{n}\right)$ has an endnode inS Since $K_{n}$ is $n-1$ regular, at each point of $S$, there are $\mathrm{n}-1$ edges of $\mathrm{K}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ incident with it. i.e. $2 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{n}-1)$ edges in $\mathrm{K} n$ incident on S .

Thus, there are $2 \mathrm{~s}(2 \mathrm{~s}-1)$ posssible edges in S,but counted twice.

Therefore, total No. of edges in S is
$2 s(2 s-1)] / 2=S(2 s-1)$
Thus there are $s(2 s-1)$ edges of $K_{n}$ incident with two points of S.
Thus No. of edges of $K_{n}$ not in $\Gamma$ but incident with a point of $S$ is $2 s(n-1)-s(2 s-1)-s$
$=2 \mathrm{sn}-2 \mathrm{~s}-2 \mathrm{~s}^{2}+\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{s}$
$=2 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{s}-1)$
$=g(n, s)$
Now, $\Gamma$ is a colourclass and k-colouring is a proper colouring.

Therefore, $\Gamma$ must be adjacent to atleast one edge of every other colourclass.

Thus, there are atleast $\mathrm{k}-1$ edges starting from S but having other endpoints outside $\Gamma$ and $S$ There are such $g(n$, s) edges.
$\mathrm{k}-1 \leq \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{s})$
$\mathrm{k} \leq \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{s})+1$
But, $\mathrm{s} \leq \mathrm{t}$ and $\mathrm{t}<(\mathrm{n}-1) / 2$ and $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{s})$ is increasing for $\mathrm{t}<(\mathrm{n}$ -1)/2
$\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{s})+1 \leq \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})+1$
$\mathrm{k} \leq \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})+1$
Hence, $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{n}) \leq \max \{\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})+1, \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})+1\}$ as if $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})+1$ is maximum $A(n)=k \leq g(n, t)+1$. if $g(n, t)+1$ is not maximum then $g(n, t)+1 \leq h(n, t)+1$

But $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{n}) \leq \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})+1 \leq \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})+1$
Thus, $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{n}) \leq \max \{\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})+1, \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})+1\}$
Now if no colourclass contains No. of edges $\leq t$ i.e. every colourclass contains atleast $t+1$ edges.

But there are in all $n(n-1) / 2$ edges,

We can have almost $[(n[n-1] / 2) /(t+1)]$ colourclasses. i.e. $[\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}-1)] /(2(\mathrm{t}+1)=\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t}+1)$ Thus, $\mathrm{k} \leq \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t}+1)$

Thus by similar arguements,
$\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{n})=\mathrm{k} \leq \max \{\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})+1, \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})+1\}$
Notations:
Let $[\mathrm{x}]$ denote the greatest integer in x .
$\beta_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{n})=\max \{\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})+1,[\mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t}+1)]\}$
$\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{n})=\min \left\{\beta_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{n}) \mid 0<\mathrm{t}<\right.$
( $\mathrm{n}-1$ )/2
Lemma can be formulated as $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{n}) \leq \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{n})$
Lemma 2. suppose $t \geq 2,4 t^{2}-t \leq n \leq 4 t^{2}+3 t-1$ then $B(n)$
$=\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})+1$, If $4 \mathrm{t}^{2}+3 \mathrm{t} \leq \mathrm{n} \leq 4(\mathrm{t}+1)^{2}-\mathrm{t}-2$ then $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{n})=$ [h(n, t+1)].
Proof. We need to compare g and h . Note that g is an integer.

So, $g(n, t)+1 \leq[h(n, t)]$ iff $g(n, t)+1 \leq h(n, t)$
i.e. iff $0 \leq h(n, t)-g(n, t)-1$

Let $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})=\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})-\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})-1$
Thus $g(n, t)+1 \leq[h(n, t)]$ iff $p(n, t) \geq 0$
$\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})=\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})-\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})-1$
$=[\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}-1)$
]/2t-2t(n-t-1)-1
$=\left[n(n-1)-4 t^{2}(n-t-1)-2 t\right.$
]/2t
Now $2 \operatorname{tp}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})=\mathrm{n}^{2}-\mathrm{n}-4 \mathrm{t}^{2} \mathrm{n}+4 \mathrm{t}^{3}+4 \mathrm{t}^{2}-2 \mathrm{t}$
$=\mathrm{n}^{2}-\left(4 \mathrm{t}^{2}+1\right) \mathrm{n}+4 \mathrm{t}^{3}+4 \mathrm{t}^{2}-2 \mathrm{t}$
Now consider $q(n, t)=h(n, t+1)-g(n, t)-1$
Thus, $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})+1 \leq[\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t}+1)]$
iff $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t}) \geq 0$
$\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})=\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t}+1)-\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})-1$
$=[n(n-1)] /[2(t+1)]-2 t(n-t-1)-1$
Now
$2(\mathrm{t}+1) \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})=\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}-1)-4\left(\mathrm{t}^{2}+\mathrm{t}\right)(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{t}-1)-2(\mathrm{t}+1)$
$=\mathrm{n}^{2}-\mathrm{n} 4 \mathrm{nt}{ }^{2}+4 \mathrm{t}^{3}+4 \mathrm{t}^{2}-4 \mathrm{nt}+4 \mathrm{t}^{2}+4 \mathrm{t}-2(\mathrm{t}+1)$
$=n^{2}-\left(4 t^{2}+4 t+1\right) n+4 t(t+1)^{2}-2(t+1)$
Now consider,
$\mathrm{p}\left(4 \mathrm{t}^{2}-\mathrm{t}-1, \mathrm{t}\right)=-3 \mathrm{t}^{2}+\mathrm{t}+2<0$ if $\mathrm{t}>0$
$\mathrm{p}\left(4 \mathrm{t}^{2}-\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t}\right)=\mathrm{t}^{2}-\mathrm{t} \geq 0$ if $\mathrm{t}>0$
$q\left(4 t^{2}-t, t\right)=--3 t^{2}-3 t<0$ if $t>0$
$\mathrm{q}\left(4 \mathrm{t}^{2}+3 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t}\right)=\mathrm{t}^{2}-\mathrm{t}-2 \geq 0$ if $\mathrm{t} \geq 2$
Let us denote this set of statement as $* \Lambda$ Now, let $\mathrm{t} \geq$ 2,differentiating $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{t})$ with
respect to x
$D_{x} q(x, t)=2 x-\left(4 t^{2}+4 t+1\right)$
Consider $4 \mathrm{t}^{2}-\mathrm{t} \leq \mathrm{x} \leq 4 \mathrm{t}^{2}+3 \mathrm{t}-1$
For $\mathrm{x} \geq 4 \mathrm{t}^{2}-\mathrm{t}$
$D_{x} q(x, t)=2\left(4 t^{2}-t\right)-\left(4 t^{2}+4 t+1\right)$
$=8 t^{2}-2 t-4 t^{2}-4 t-1$
$=4 t^{2}-6 t-1$
$\geq 0$
$(t \geq 2)$
Thus, for $\mathrm{x} \geq 4 \mathrm{t}^{2}-\mathrm{t}$ we get $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{t})>0$
Therefore, $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{t})$ is increasing function. By $\Lambda, \mathrm{q}\left(4 \mathrm{t}^{2}+3 \mathrm{t}-1\right)$ < 0

Hence $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})<0$
$\forall \mathrm{n}$ such that $4 \mathrm{t}^{2}-\mathrm{t} \leq \mathrm{n} \leq 4 \mathrm{t}^{2}+3 \mathrm{t}-1$
By (2) ,
for such $n, h(n, t+1)<g(n, t)+1$...........(3)
So, $\beta_{t}(n)=g(n, t)+1$ $\qquad$
by definition of $\beta_{t}(n)$
Now, if $\mathrm{t}<\mathrm{u}<(\mathrm{n}-1) / 2$, then $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{u})+1 \geq \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})+1$ (since g is increasing.)
$>\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t}+1)$ by $\mathbf{3}$
$\geq h(n, u+1)$ (as $g$ is increasing for $y<(x-1) / 2$ and $h$ is decreasing ).
Thus,
$\beta_{u}(n) \geq \beta_{t}(n)$ $\qquad$
Now, consider $\mathrm{s}<\mathrm{t}$, differentiating $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{t})$ with respect to x from (1)
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{t})=2 \mathrm{x}-\left(4 \mathrm{t}^{2}+1\right)$

For $\mathrm{x} \geq 4 \mathrm{t}^{2}-\mathrm{t}$
$D_{x} p(x, t)=2\left(4 t^{2}-t\right)-4 t^{2}-1$
$=8 \mathrm{t}^{2}-2 \mathrm{t}-4 \mathrm{t}^{2}-1$
$=4 t^{2}-2 t-1$
$>0$ as $t \geq 2$
Hence, $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{t})$ is increasing.
By $\Lambda, P\left(4 t^{2}-t, t\right) \geq 0$
So, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t}) \geq 0$
$\forall \mathrm{n} \geq 4 \mathrm{t}^{2}-\mathrm{t}$
Thus by (1) for such $n$,
$[\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})] \geq \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})+1$
(as $t \geq 2$ ) 2 .
Hence, for s < t, we get,
$\beta_{s}(\mathrm{n}) \geq[\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{s}+1)] \geq[$
$h(n, t)$
] (as $h$ is increasing)
$\geq \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t})+1$ by(5)
$=\beta_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{n})$
Therefore, if $s<t$ then $\beta_{s}(n) \geq \beta_{t}(n)$
..............(A)
Thus, $B(n)=\beta_{t}(n)=g(n, t)+$
1 as desired.
By (A) and (B) we get
$B(n)=\min \left\{\beta_{t}(m)\right\}$
$=\beta_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{n})$
$=g(n, t)+1$
Now,l
et us consider $4 \mathrm{t}^{2}+3 \mathrm{t} \leq \mathrm{n} \leq 4(\mathrm{t}+1)^{2}-\mathrm{t}-2$
We know that, for $x \geq 4 t^{2}-t, q(x, t)$ is increasing.
By $\Lambda q\left(4 t^{2}+3 t, t\right)>0$
It implies $q(n, t)>0$,
for above choice of $n$
So,by (2)

```
\([h(n, t+1)] \geq g(n, t)+1\)
for \(\mathrm{n} \geq 4 \mathrm{t}^{2}+3 \mathrm{t}\)
Hence, \(\beta^{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{n})=[\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t}+1)]\)
for \(\mathrm{n} \geq 4 \mathrm{t}^{2}+3 \mathrm{t}\)
Now if \(s<t\)
\(\beta_{s}(n) \geq[h(n, s+1)] \geq[h(n, t+1)]=\beta_{t}(n)\)
For \(\mathrm{s}<\mathrm{t}, \beta_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{n}) \leq \beta_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{n})\)
``` \(\qquad\)
Consider \(\mathrm{t}+1 \leq \mathrm{u}<\)
( \(\mathrm{n}-1\) )*2
\(\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{t}+1)=\mathrm{x}^{2}-\left(4(\mathrm{t}+1)^{2}+1\right) \mathrm{x}+4(\mathrm{t}+1)^{3}+4(\mathrm{t}+1)^{2}-2(\mathrm{t}\) \(+1)\)
Therefore,
\(D_{x} p(x, t+1)=2 x-4(t+1)^{2}-1\)
\(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t}+1)=2 \mathrm{n}-4(\mathrm{t}+1)^{2}-1\)
If \(n \geq 4 t^{2}+3 t\)
\(D_{n} p(n, t+1)=2\left(4 t^{2}+3 t\right)-4(t+1)^{2}-1\)
\(=8 t^{2}+6 t-4 t^{2}-8 t-5\)
\(=4 t^{2}-2 t-5\)
\(\geq 0\)
\(\forall \mathrm{t} \geq 2\)
Thus, \(D_{n} p(n, t+1)\) is positive for \(n \geq 4 t^{2}+3 t\)
Hence p is increasing for \(\mathrm{n} \geq 4 \mathrm{t}^{2}+3 \mathrm{t}\).
\(p\left(4(t+1)^{2}-(t+1)-1, t+1\right)<0\)
\(\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t}+1)<0\)
by \(\Lambda\)
\(\forall \mathrm{n} \in\left(4 \mathrm{t}^{2}+3 \mathrm{t}, 4(\mathrm{t}+1)^{2}-\mathrm{t}-2\right)\)
```

Hence for n in this range, $[\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t}+1)] \geq \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t}+1)+1$
$\qquad$
Thus,
$\beta t(n)=$
$[\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t}+1)$
$] \leq g(n, t+1)+1$
$\leq \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{u})+1$
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by(7) and by(8)
(since g is increasing.)
$\leq \beta_{\mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{n})$

Thus,
$\beta_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{n}) \leq \beta_{\mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{n})$
for $\mathrm{t}+1<\mathrm{u}<$
( $\mathrm{n}-1$
)/2
........(D)
Hence by (C)and(D), $\beta_{t}(\mathrm{n})=\min \left\{\beta_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{n})\right\}$
Therefore, $B(n)=\beta_{t}(n)=[h(n, t+1)$
] $\mathrm{by}(7)$
Thus proved.
Theorem. $A(n+2) \geq A(n)+2$
if $n>4$.

Proof. Consider an optimal colouring of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}$ i.e. with $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{n})$ colours. We select a maximal collection of $\Gamma$ disjoint edges of different colours i.e. no colour is repeated in $\Gamma$ i.e. there can be maximum one edge of each colour. But in order to collect only disjoint edges, there may not be any edge of a colour. But a vertex at which such an edge is incident is present in $\Gamma$ with some edge of different colour.
Thus $\Gamma$ meets every colourclass, $\Gamma$ is a matching and can have maximum $n / 2$ edges since there are $n$ vertices and edeges are disjoint. Let st be an edge of $\Gamma$.

There are $\mathrm{n}-2$ more edges incidents at tother than st, and these are all of distinct colours being a proper colouring.

Let tu be the edge whose colour does not occur in $\Gamma$.
We collect a maximal set $\Delta$ of disjoint edges coloured with colours not used in $\Gamma$. tu
is one of edge in $\Delta$.Now, we prove that subgraph G generated by disjoint $\Gamma \cup \Delta$ is
bipartite.
Let there be an odd cycle in $G=\Gamma \cup \Delta$, say $c=e_{1} e_{2}$ $\mathrm{e}_{3} \ldots . \mathrm{e}_{2 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{e}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}$
Let $\mathrm{e}_{1} \in \Delta$, w.l.o.g. then since $\Delta$ is disjoint edge's collection $\mathrm{e}_{2}$. which is having one
vertex common with $\mathrm{e}_{1}$ can not be in $\Delta$.
Therefore $e_{2} \in \Gamma$. By similar argument $e_{3} \in \Delta$
and so on...
Thus, $\mathrm{e}_{2 \mathrm{n}} \in \Gamma$

Therefore, $\mathrm{e}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1} \in \Delta$ but $\mathrm{e}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}$ and $\mathrm{e}_{1} \in \Delta$ and have a vertex in common.

A contradiction to the construction of $\Delta$.
Hence, there is no odd cycle in G, giving G is bipartite.
So,there exist a proper 2 colouring of vertices of G. (i.e. a vertex colouring)
Let vertices in one partition of $G$ be coloured by black and vertices in another partition
of $G$ be coloured by white.
Now, we add two nodes $b$ and $w$ in $K_{n}$. Let xy be an edge of $G$ such that x is black
and y is white. xy either is in $\Gamma$ or in $\Delta$.
If $x y \in \Gamma$, we colour edges bx and wy both with the same colour as that of $x y$. If
$x y \in \Delta$,we colour edges $w x$ and by both with the same colour as that of $x y$


Now consider any vertex of G. Since there are at most two edges, one from and one from $\Gamma$ at each node of $G$ this is consistent colouring. See below.


Look at $y$. There is one orange colour edge yb and one red color edge yw. (This has become possible to get two different colour edges at a common vertex of $\Gamma$ and is $\Delta$ due to bipartition) The edges through $y$, orange and red inside $\Gamma$ and $\Delta$ are going to be of some different colours later on. More over, since all the colours in $\Gamma \cup \Delta$ are different, no colour appears twice at either b or w.
Now, we erase the old colours on the edges of $\Gamma$ and make $\Gamma$ a new colourclass using colour other than used $A(n)$ colours. Note here that for any edge $x y \in \Gamma$.Also, $b x$
and wy are of same old colour. $x$ and $y$ still belong in the support of old coloursclass.
Infact $b$ and $w$ also are in the support of old colourclass in this new colouring.
Now, erase the old colours on the edges of $\Delta$ and make $\Delta$ ( $\Lambda)=\Delta \cup\{b w\}$ a new colourclass i.e. other than used $\Delta(n)+$ 1 colours. Similar arguments hold true even for each each $x y \in \Delta$ Thus, this new colouring with $A(n)+2$ colours is a proper colouring. Since the supports of old colours are either left the same or enlarged by $b$ and $w$, it follows that any two old colourclasses still meet. Also, $\Gamma$ meets every old colour. Therfore, $(\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{n})+1)^{\text {st }}$ colour meets every colourclass. $\Delta(\Lambda)$ meets every old colour not appering on an edge in $\Gamma$. bw is coloured in $(\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{n})+2)^{\text {th }}$ colour. Hence $(\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{n})+2)^{\text {th }}$ meets every old colour,as bw meets all colours and $(A(n)+1)^{\text {st }}(A(n)+2)^{\text {th }}$ colours meet on the special edges st and tu. Thus colouring is complete.
But this is a colouring of G , a subgraph of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}+2}$, Thus, If $\Psi(G) \geq A(n)+2$ then $\Psi(L(K n+2))=A(n+2) \geq A(n)+2$ Therefore, $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{n}+2) \geq \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{n})+2$.
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