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Abstract - Hill buildings are different from those in plains, they are irregular and unsymmetrical in vertical and horizontal planes, and torsionally coupled. Shear wall systems are one of the most frequently used lateral load withstand systems in high rise buildings. Shear wall has high in plane stiffness and strength which can be used to simultaneously withstand large horizontal loads and support gravity loads. To make better the seismic performance of building on hilly terrain the shear walls plays a very relevant role. Hence in this study the attempt is made to analyse the multi-storey buildings on hilly and plain terrain with and without shear walls. The performance of the building with different configurations of shear walls such as straight, T shape, C shape and L shape is studied. The RCC buildings models having (G+8) storeys with and without shear walls resting on plain and hilly terrain (slope 10°) are considered. The response spectrum analysis is carried out using structural analysis tool ETABS and building with various configurations is compared with time period, base shear and story displacements. It is observed that straight shape shear wall configuration proves to be better for buildings on straight is suitable, along with shear wall plain terrain as it gives maximum displacement.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Earthquake is the most calamitous due to its unpredictability and huge power of devastation. Earthquakes themselves do not kill people, rather the extremely loss of human lives and properties occur due to the destruction of structures. Many research works have been directed worldwide in last few decades to inquire the cause of failure of different types of buildings under very bad seismic excitations. Large destruction of high-rise as well as low-rise buildings in recent devastating earthquake proves that in developing countries

Earthquake occurs due to quickly movement of the tectonic plates as a result it release large amount of energy in a few seconds. The collision of this function is most injurious because it affects large proximity, and which occurs sudden and unpredictable. It causes considerable scale loss of life and property and damages important services such as, sewerage systems, communication, power, transport, and water supply etc.

To defeat from the difficulty we need to find out the seismic performance and lateral stability of the building structure. Many investigation have been conducted on elastic and inelastic seismic behaviour of asymmetric systems to find out the reason of seismic susceptibility of such structures. Hill slope these buildings step back towards the hill slope and at the same time they may own set-back also, having unequal heights at the same floor level the column of hill building rests at various levels on slope. The seismic answer of multi storey buildings can be enhanced by incorporating a shear wall. Shear walls systems are one of

The most commonly used lateral load resting systems in high-rise buildings. Shear walls have very high in plane stiffness and strength, which can be used to simultaneously withstand large horizontal loads and support gravity loads, making them quite beneficial. In this paper effort has been made to the seismic response of RC buildings with various shear walls configurations such as straight shape, L shape, T shape and C shape on plain and hilly terrain. The main objectives of the study are

1.1 OBJECTIVES

1) To study seismic performance of building with and without shear walls resting on plain and hilly terrain.
2) To study the effectiveness of different shear walls configurations on seismic action of building resting on plain and hilly terrain such as straight shape, L shape, T shape and channel shape.
3) To compare effect of positioning of shear walls on seismic performance of building on plain and hilly terrain.

2. MODELING AND ANALYSIS

The building is modeled using finite element software ETABS. Beams and columns are modeled as two node beam element with six degrees of freedom at each node. Slabs in this are modeled as rigid membrane elements.
and diaphragm constraint is designated. The area loads are applied on slabs. Building modeled as bare frame however the dead weight of infill is designated as uniformly distributed load over beams. The shear walls are modeled by the wide column analogy method and fixed supports are assigned for both shear walls and columns. To increase the seismic response of building various shear walls configurations are selected.

2.1 Load Combinations:

The following load combination has been used for calculating the member forces and for the comparing its results as per IS 1893 (Part 1): 2002.

- 1.5(DL+IL)
- 1.2 (DL+IL±EL)
- 1.5 (DL±EL)
- 0.9 DL±1.5 EL

3. BUILDING DESCRIPTION

Model consists of G+8 storey RCC building having six bays in each direction, each bay is having width of 4m. The story height for each floor and plinth height is kept as 3.5m and 0.75m respectively. The RCC frame consists of beam and column of sizes 0.3m x 0.45m and 0.45 x 0.45m respectively and also slab thickness is taken as 140mm. The models are analyzed on plain as well as hilly terrain (slope 10⁰). The frames on plain and hilly terrain under consideration for present study is as shown in Fig.1. The concrete of grade M30 and steel of grade Fe 415 are used.

3.1 Loads

3.1.1 Dead loads

Self-weight of building is automatically calculated by the software. Super imposed dead load (Floor finishes or water proofing's) all floors =1.875 kN/m²

3.1.2 Live loads

Live load on floor=4kN/m²
Live load on roof=1.5kN/m²
Models considered on plain and sloping terrain are
Model 1 without shear wall Fig 2
Model 2 with straight shape shear walls Fig 3
Model 3 with L-shape shear walls Fig 4
Model 4 with T-shape shear walls Fig 5
Model 5 with Channel shape (C shape) shear walls Fig 6
4. METHOD OF ANALYSIS

The seismic analysis of all buildings is carried by Response spectrum method in accordance with IS:1893.
(Part 1): 2002. As per codal provisions dynamic results are standardized by multiplying with a base shear ratio \( V_b/V_B \) where \( V_b \) is the base shear evaluation based on time period given by empirical equation and, \( V_B \) is the base shear from dynamic analysis, if \( V_b/V_B \) ratio is more than one. Damping considered for all the modes of vibration was five percent. For determining the seismic response of the buildings in different directions for ground motion the response spectrum analysis was conducted in longitudinal and transverse direction (X and Y). The other parameters used in the seismic analysis were, seismic zone (V), zone factor 0.36, importance factor 1, special moment resisting frame (SMRF) for all models with a response reduction factor of 5. The default number of modes (i.e. 12) in software was used and the modal responses were combined using CQC method. The response spectra for soft soil sites with 5% damping as per IS 1893 (Part 1): 2002 is utilized in response spectrum analysis.

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the present study are divided in two categories as follows:
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5.1 Plain Terrain

From the results procured from this study it can be noticed that the incorporation of shear wall in RCC frame increases the base shear due to increase in lateral stiffness. Hence time period of structure reduces. Due to increase in stiffness the story displacement of structure is considerably get reduces. The base shear gets increase approximately by 2.1-14%. The model 4 (T-shape) has minimum value of base shear among all other shear walls configurations. Time period get reduced by approximately by 70% as compared to model 1 (without shear wall) and model 2 (Straight shape) has minimum time period. The reduction in roof displacement is observed to be 89%, 84%, 81% and 83% for model 2, 3, 4 and 5 as compared to model 1(without shear wall). The results procured from this study on plain and hilly terrain are represented in Fig 7, 8 and 9 for different models.
5.2 Hilly Terrain

From the results obtained in present study it is observed that base shear of buildings on slopes for different shear wall configurations is increased by 3% . The base shear is minimum for the model 3 (L shape). The time period get reduced by 70% as compared to model 1 (without shear wall). The story displacement observed in the direction parallel to slope is more as compared to displacement in transverse direction. The story displacement reduced in X direction and in Y direction similar to that of models on plain terrain due to provision of shear wall. The seismic performance of the buildings on slope is as presented in Fig-10, 11, 12 and 13.

1) Time Period

![Fundamental time period](image)

**Fig-10: Variation of time period on hilly terrain**

2) Base shear

![Base shear](image)

**Fig-11: Variation of base shear on hilly terrain**

3) Story displacement

![Story displacement in X direction](image)

**Fig-12: Story displacement on hilly terrain**

![Story displacement in Y direction](image)

**Fig-13: Story displacement on hilly terrain**

6. CONCLUSIONS

1) The buildings on hilly terrain are more vulnerable to the seismic activity than the buildings on leveled ground as hill buildings are very irregular. Though the buildings on slope having the lesser values of base shear and displacement, the time period are higher in hill buildings.

2) The L-shape shear wall proves to be better for buildings on slope which gives comparatively less base shear along the slope and straight shape shear walls configuration is efficient in resisting roof displacement.

3) The T-shape shear walls gives more story displacement and time period for buildings on slopes as compared to other configuration.

4) The straight shape shear wall configuration proves to be better for buildings on plain terrain as it gives the minimum displacement and time period.
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