Comparative analysis fully developed turbulent flow in various arbitrary cross-section ducts using Finite volume approach
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Abstract - In this paper, a three dimensional comparative CFD analysis of an three arbitrary cross sectional pipe i.e. circular, triangular and rectangular pipe have been performed. While analysis the flow, all the three pipes have same cross sectional area so that the fully developed flow can be visualized. The partial differential governing equation i.e Naiver stokes equation has been solved by using computational FEV solver tool i.e. ANSYS Fluent. Through this investigation fully developed turbulent flow in arbitrary shaped pipe has been studied for higher Reynolds number. During analysis k-ε turbulence model has been selected and the flow of water within the pipe has been examined. Moreover, comparative flow analyses between the three pipes have been discussed in detail.

Key Words: Turbulent Flow, arbitrary cross sectional pipe

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of fluid flow in arbitrary shaped pipe and duct is very important because of its having wide applications in several industrial and engineering processes. Therefore, arbitrary shaped pipe have high significance in the area of heat transfer also. Consequently various research have been performed for estimation of convective heat transfer and fluid flow performance in the design of heat exchangers, solar air heat, refrigeration and also in some extent to industrial chillers. The arbitrary shaped pipe is also been referred as non circular pipe.

Talukdar et al. 2008 numerically replicated the forced and natural heat transfer in Laminar, Hydro-dynamically and thermally developed flow through triangular ducts under constant wall temperature and also analyzed along with the affect of apex angle on bulk mean temperature and Nusselt number.

Chiu et al. 2007 numerically examined the effect of radiation on convective heat transfer by solving Energy and Navier–Stokes equation using Vorticity and Velocity scheme, in horizontal and inclined rectangular 2008 cross-sectional duct.

Yan et al. 2001 numerically investigated the consequence of thermal radiations on convective heat transfer for a gray fluid through the vertical square duct by using vorticity–velocity technique for solving Navier–Stokes equations and discrete ordinates method for explaining radiation heat transfer equations.

Chen et al. 2000 numerically examined the outcome of apex angle on laminar forced convective heat transfer in triangular duct under both constant wall flux and constant wall temperature boundary conditions using unstructured triangular grid technique.

Gupta et al. [7] studied the thermo-hydraulic performance for Reynolds number less than 200 of a trapezoidal channel with triangular cross-section and analyzed the effect of apex, rounding of corners and the path shape.

Sasmito et al. [8] analyzed the laminar heat transfer characteristics of various in-plane spiral ducts of various cross-sections for both constant heat flux and constant wall temperature conditions and find out the advantages and restrictions of such geometries.


Ting and Hou (2015) have numerically investigated the convective heat transfer of water-based Al2O3 nanofluid flowing through a square cross-section duct with a constant heat flux under laminar flow conditions. Heris et al. (2011) have made an experimental study on the forced convective heat transfer through square cross-sectional duct under laminar flow regime using CuO/water nanofluid. Tympel et al. (2012) have investigated the distortion of liquid metal flow in a square duct due to the influence of a magnetic point dipole. Kun et al. (2014) have investigated experimentally
the study of pseudo-plastic fluid flows in a square duct of strong curvature.

Sarma et al. (2014) have discussed a numerical investigation for steady MHD flow of liquid metal through a square duct under the action of strong transverse magnetic field.

Various studies have been conducted to explore the heat transfer as a function of roughness height to hydraulic diameter, roughness elements and spacing between Reynolds number such as Fabbri, 2000, Zhang et al. 2011 and Togun et al. 2011). The assessment between rib pitch and rib height with roughness of sand was performed by various researchers (Di Nucci and Russo Spena, 2012, Wang et al. 2004).

2. MATHEMATICAL MODELING

The partial differential governing equation i.e. the Navier stokes continuity equations which are the main equation used for analyzing the flow within the arbitrary shaped pipes and ducts which are as follow

\[ \frac{\rho}{\partial t} \frac{Dv}{Dx} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \nabla \cdot (\mu \nabla v) + S_{Dx} \]  
\[ \frac{\rho}{\partial t} \frac{Dv}{Dy} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \nabla \cdot (\mu \nabla v) + S_{Dy} \]  
\[ \frac{\rho}{\partial t} \frac{Dv}{Dz} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + \nabla \cdot (\mu \nabla v) + S_{Dz} \]  

Governing equations of the flow of a compressible Newtonian fluid

Continuity

\[ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho u) = 0 \]  

x-momentum

\[ \frac{\partial (\rho u)}{\partial x} + \nabla \cdot (\rho u u) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \nabla \cdot (\mu \nabla u) + S_{Du} \]  

y-momentum

\[ \frac{\partial (\rho v)}{\partial y} + \nabla \cdot (\rho u v) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \nabla \cdot (\mu \nabla v) + S_{Dv} \]  

z-momentum

\[ \frac{\partial (\rho w)}{\partial z} + \nabla \cdot (\rho u w) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + \nabla \cdot (\mu \nabla w) + S_{Dw} \]  

Energy

\[ \frac{\partial (\rho T)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho u T) = -p \nabla u + \nabla \cdot (k \nabla T) + \Phi + S_{i} \]  

Using various correlation FEV results are been compared analytically

\[ h_{i} = f \frac{u a^{2}}{D_{h} g} \]  

Where,

\[ f = \frac{2\Delta P D}{l \rho a^{2}} \]  

\[ f \text{ is the friction factor for fully developed laminar flow} \]
\[ l \text{ length of the channel, duct, pipe} \]
\[ V \text{ mean velocity of the flow} \]
\[ D \text{ diameter of the pipe} \]

\[ f \text{ is the friction factor for fully developed laminar flow:} \]

\[ f = \frac{64}{Re} \quad \text{(For Re<2000)} \]

\[ \text{Re} = \frac{\rho u d}{\mu} \]

\[ C_{f} \text{ is the skin friction coefficient or Fanning's friction factor.} \]

For Hagen-Poiseuille flow: \[ C_{f} = \frac{1}{128} \frac{1}{Re} \]

For turbulent flow:

\[ C_{f} = 0.07 \frac{18.7}{Re^{0.8}} \quad \text{Moody's Chart} \]

\[ R: \text{radius of the channel, duct, pipe} \]
\[ \epsilon_{p}: \text{degree of roughness (for smooth channel, duct, pipe,} \epsilon_{p}=0) \]

\[ \text{Re} \rightarrow \infty: \text{ Completely rough channel, duct, pipe.} \]

3. Methodology

The geometrical and mesh model has been given in figure 1. The above mathematical equation has been solved by using Ansys 14.5 Fluent and the geometrical details have been adopted from the Muhammad Ahsan 2014. Where water is allowed to flow and the fluid properties are also been taken from Muhammad Ahsan 2014 which is considered as base paper and the head loss cross the circular pipe has been validated.
4. Result and Discussion

A validation has been illustrated from the figure 2 as on solving the governing equation as discussed above the validation of head loss of the circular pipe has been plotted

Figure 1 Model Geometry

Figure 2 Head loss validation of circular pipe

Figure 3 Contour plot of Dynamic Pressure (Circular Duct)

Figure 4 Contour plot of Dynamic Pressure (Triangular Duct)

Figure 5 Contour plot of Dynamic Pressure (Rectangular Duct)

Figure 3 to figure 5 represent the Contour plot of Dynamic Pressure for circular, triangular and rectangular duct. It has been observed that triangular duct has maximum dynamic pressure at the outlet as compared to circular and rectangular duct. Moreover, on comparing dynamic pressure between circular and rectangular duct rectangular duct has higher dynamic pressure at the centre of the duct and at the outlet than the circular duct.

The variation in dynamic pressure is generally due to difference in the total and static pressure and it represented by the dynamic pressure, which represents the kinetic energy of the flowing fluid. Dynamic pressure is a function of the fluid velocity and its density.
Figure 6 to figure 8 demonstrates turbulent Intensity along across circular, triangular and rectangular duct. It has been observed that the turbulent Intensity significantly increases after 4m in circular duct and similarly after 6m in triangular duct. While the turbulent Intensity across the rectangular duct continuously goes on increasing along the duct length. This is because of insignificant variation in boundary layer and viscous sub layer as there is no such obstruction in the flow. At high Reymolds number the turbulent Intensity across the circular duct is more as compared to triangular and rectangular duct.

Figure 9 a comparison of skin friction coefficient between circular, triangular and rectangular duct.

Figure 9 shows the comparison of skin friction coefficient between circular, triangular and rectangular duct. It has been seen that the skin friction coefficient gradually increases as the Reynolds number increases. The rate of augmentation of skin friction coefficient is noteworthy at higher Reynolds number. On comparative analysis triangular duct has more skin friction coefficient as compared to circular and rectangular duct. It has been found that the rectangular duct has 8.45% lower skin friction coefficient as compared to triangular duct at fully developed turbulent flow.

5. Conclusions

Following conclusions has been drawn from the comparative analysis fully developed turbulent flow in various arbitrary cross-section ducts using Finite volume approach.

- Using k-ε turbulence model the turbulence intensity has been investigated for fully developed turbulent flow.
- Triangular pipe has higher rate of turbulent intensity throughout the duct length as compared to the other ducts.
- Comparing skin friction skin friction coefficient triangular duct has higher value.
In terms of drop in pressure triangular pipe has higher gradient as compared to other two ducts i.e. circular and rectangular.

It has been seen that at the centre of duct the velocity is maximum, while across the wall surface the value of velocity is minimum.

Similarly, it has been seen that in ducts the wall shear stress is maximum at the wall and it increases along the duct length and also increases as velocity enhances.
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