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Abstract – In this paper, we study the existence of Surface Soliton at the interface between a semidiscrete one dimension Kerr-nonlinear system and a continuous medium in form of optical waveguide. We investigate that a power threshold is required for the existence of surface. Below which no excitation found. Power threshold is calculated numerically and analytically with the function of propagation constant (keeping fix value of coupling constant). We also found that increasing the strength of coupling constant between the waveguides increases the light intensity in the excited waveguide resulting in a smoother soliton.
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1. INTRODUCTION AND REVIEW OF SOME PREVIOUS WORK

The presence of an interface between different materials can profoundly affect the evolution of nonlinear excitations. Such interface can support stationary surface waves. These were encountered in various areas of physics including solid-state physics [1], near surface optics [2], plasmas [3], and acoustics [4]. In nonlinear optics, surface waves were under active consideration since 1980. The progress in their experimental observation was severely limited because of unrealistically high power levels required for surface wave excitation at the interfaces of natural materials. However, shallow refractive index modulations accessible in a technologically fabricated waveguide array (or lattice) may facilitate the formation of surface waves at moderate power levels at the edge of semi-infinite arrays as was suggested in Ref. [5]. This has led to the observation of one-dimensional surface solitons in arrays with focusing nonlinearity [6]. Defocusing lattice interfaces are also capable to support surface gap solitons [7, 8 & 9]. Surface lattice solitons may exist not only in cubic and saturable materials, but also in quadratic [10] and nonlocal [11] media, as well as at the interfaces of complex arrays [12].

The femtosecond laser direct writing technique [13] allows fabrication of waveguide arrays along arbitrary paths [14] and with various topologies, such as square [15], hexagonal [16] and circular [17], where multiple waveguides can be specifically excited [18]. Since the nonlinearity of the waveguides is affected by the writing parameters [19], it is possible to tune it for specific purposes, such as excitation of 1D and 2D discrete solitons [20 & 21].

Surface solitons have also been predicted at the interface between two different semi-infinite waveguide arrays [22], as well as at the boundaries of two-dimensional (2D) nonlinear lattices [23, 24, 25, 26 & 27]. It has been shown that surface solitons of the vectorial [28 & 29] and vertical [6,77] types, as well as surface kinks [30], can exist too.

The existence of surface Soliton required a power threshold below which no excitation found. In my present work the value of power threshold is calculated numerically and analytically with the function of propagation constant (keeping fix value of coupling constant). I also found that increasing the strength of coupling constant C between the waveguides increases the light intensity in the excited waveguide resulting in a smoother Soliton.

2. PROBLEM FORMULATION

To analyze the problem of nonlinear surface waves, consider a semi-infinite Kerr-nonlinear lattice shown schematically in Figure 1. The discrete nonlinear schrodinger equation (DNLSE) that describes the evolution of complex modal field amplitudes for this system can be written as

\[ i \frac{d \psi_n}{dz} + \beta |\psi_n|^2 \psi_n = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

\[ i \frac{d \psi_n}{dz} + C(\psi_{n+1} + \psi_{n-1}) + \beta |\psi_n|^2 \psi_n = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

In this model of the array of optical waveguides, the evolution variable \( z \) is the distance of the propagation of electromagnetic signals along the waveguides, and \( \beta \) is the coefficient of the on-site nonlinearity, the self-focusing and self-defocusing nonlinearities corresponding respectively, to \( \beta > 0 \) and \( \beta < 0 \). Equation (1) governs the evolution of the field at the edge of the array, which corresponds to site \( n=0 \), and Eq. (2) applies at every other site, with \( n \geq 1 \). The actual
electric field in the optical wave is expressed in terms of scaled amplitudes \( \varphi_n \) as follows

\[
E_n = \sqrt{\frac{2C \lambda_0 \eta_0}{\pi n_0 n_s}} \varphi_n
\]  

(3)

where \( C \) is the inter-site coupling coefficient in physical units (in Eqs. (1) and (2)), \( \lambda_0 \) is the free-space wavelength, \( \eta_0 \) is the free-space impedance, \( \n_s \) is the nonlinear Kerr coefficient, and \( n_0 \) is the linear refractive index of the waveguides material.

![Figure 1: The schematic of a semi-infinite waveguide array.](image)

Of course, equations (1) and (2) are valid only for the first band in the coupled mode approximation which is adequate for this purpose. The ridges formed in the upper cladding lead to an effective refractive index to the right of the boundary larger than that to the left. Hence, the fields associated with the channel waveguides exhibit a higher effective refractive index than that experienced by any propagating modes in the 1D slab waveguides, i.e. the propagation wave vectors for the array region are larger than those of the slab waveguide. As a result, at the boundary, there is no coupling between the slab waveguide modes and the array modes, and the boundary channel field decays exponentially with distance into the slab region with the decay constant approximately that for a single isolated channel. However, this boundary channel does couple via its evanescent field to its nearest neighbor channel.

3. ANALYTICAL SETUP AND RESULTS

Discrete nonlinear surface waves in a semi-infinite lattice can be numerically found using relaxation and perturbation methods. Let the stationary solution to the Equation (2) of the following form

\[
\varphi_n = u_n \exp(i\Delta C t)
\]  

(4)

where \( \Delta \) is the corresponding propagation constant, and all amplitudes \( u_n \) are assumed to be positive, which corresponds to an in-phase solution. In the system under consideration, solitons can be found with values of the propagation constant falling into the semi-infinite gap, \( \Lambda \geq 2 \), where localized solutions are possible in principle.

The family of soliton solutions, found numerically by means of the relaxation method. Total power \( P \) carried by the soliton solution peaked at the boundary channel can be written as follow

\[
P = \sum_0^\infty |\varphi_n|^2
\]  

(5)

above power threshold, the 1D surface solitons are strongly localized, and may be approximated by a simple ansatz

\[
\varphi_n = A \exp(-np + i\Lambda C t)
\]  

(6)

where the amplitude is given by

\[
A^2 = \frac{\Lambda}{2} + \frac{\Lambda^2}{4} - 1
\]  

(7)

and

\[
p = 2 \ln A
\]  

(8)

Equation (2) can be rewrite as follow

\[
i \dot{\varphi}_n = -C \Delta \varphi_n - 2C \varphi_n - \beta |\varphi_n|^2 \varphi_n
\]  

(9)

By putting the value of equation (4) in equation (9), we get

\[
-C \Delta \varphi_n - 2C \varphi_n - \beta \varphi_n^4 - \Lambda \varphi_n = 0
\]  

(10)

where \( \Delta \varphi_n = \varphi_{n+1} + \varphi_{n-1} - 2\varphi_n \) is the discrete Laplacian in 1-D.

To examine the stability of \( \varphi_n \), we introduce the linearization ansatz

\[
\varphi_n = Z_n + \delta \rho_n
\]  

(11)

where \( \delta << 1 \) and substitute this in to equation (9), it yield the following linearization equation at \( O(\delta) \):

\[
i \dot{\rho}_n = -C \Delta \rho_n - 2C \rho_n - \Lambda \rho_n - 3Z_n^2 \rho_n
\]  

(12)

writing \( \rho_n = \psi_n + i\xi_n \), and linearizing in \( \delta \), we find

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\psi_n \\
\xi_n
\end{pmatrix}
= N
\begin{pmatrix}
\psi_n \\
\xi_n
\end{pmatrix}
\]  

(13)

where
4. STABILITY OF SURFACE SOLITON AS A FUNCTION OF POWER

Using equations (5, 6, 7 & 8), we plot a curve between power $P$ versus propagation constant $\Lambda$ for different values of $C$. $P-\Lambda$ curve exhibits a minimum which, in turn, implies that discrete nonlinear surface waves can exist only above a certain power threshold. Below the power threshold no surface waves can be supported.

Below power threshold the eigenvalues of equation (17) bifurcates from the edge of continuous spectrum and give rise to an additional unstable eigenvalue pair, with $\text{Im}(d) \neq 0$. So no soliton solution found. For $\Lambda = 2.31$ eigenvalues of equation (17) just collide with continuous spectrum. For $\Lambda = 2.40$ eigenvalues cross the continuous spectrum such that $\text{Im}(d) = 0$, then we found the strong localized solution in form of surface soliton.

Figure 2: (a) Total power ($P$) versus propagation ($\Omega$) constant for an in-phase surface soliton solution peaked at $n=0$ and $C=1$. (b), (c) and (d) The structure of eigenvalue at $\Omega=2.11$ (unstable), 2.31 (threshold) and 2.40 (stable) respectively.

5. DEPENDENCY OF TOTAL POWER $P$ ON PROPAGATION CONSTANT $\Lambda$ FOR VARIOUS VALUES OF COUPLING CONSTANTS

As we solve the equations (5, 6, 7 and 8) numerically, then we found that the value of threshold power $P$ is increased, if the value of coupling constant $C$ is increased. The dependency of total power $P$ on propagation constant $\Omega$ for various values of coupling constants is shown in figure (3).
Figure 3: Total power ($P$) versus propagation ($\Lambda$) constant curves for various values of coupling constant

6. DEPENDENCY OF THRESHOLD POWER $P$ ON COUPLING CONSTANT

Threshold power ($P_{th}$) versus coupling constant ($C$) curve shows that if we increase the value of $C$ then the value of $P_{th}$ is also increased. Which is shown in figure (4). In this case propagation constant $\Lambda$ is taken 2.31

Figure 4: Threshold power ($P_{th}$) versus coupling constant ($C$) curve.

6. EFFECT OF COUPLING CONSTANT ON THE INTENSITY AND SHAPE OF SOLITON

We solve equation (10) using Newton-Raphson method for 100 iterations using software MATLAB. We investigate the effect of coupling constant on the intensity and shape of surface Soliton.

Let us set the constants $\Lambda = 2.5$, $\beta = 1$, $n = 6$ waveguides and a light beam of intensity 1 is injected in to the zeroth waveguide.

Figures (5) to (8) are plot of intensity of light through 6 waveguides. The intensity of waveguide is given by $|\psi|^2$, where $|\psi|$ is the wave function. It is clear from these figures that if the value of $C$ is increased then the intensity of surface soliton is also increased, but shape of soliton became smoother.

Figure 5: Intensity curve of surface soliton for $C = 0$

Figure 6: Intensity curve of surface soliton for $C = 0.1$

Figure 7: Intensity curve of surface soliton for $C = 0.2$
Figure 8: Intensity curve of surface soliton for $C = 0.3$

Figure 9: Intensity curve of surface soliton for $C = 0.4$

Figure 10: Intensity curve of surface soliton for $C = 0.5$

Figure 11: Intensity curve of surface soliton for $C = 0.6$

Figure 12: Intensity curve of surface soliton for $C = 0.7$

Figure 13: Intensity curve of surface soliton for $C = 0.8$
CONCLUSIONS

In this investigation, I have studied the existence of surface Soliton at the interface between a semidiscrete one-dimensional Kerr-nonlinear system and a continuous medium (slab waveguide). I found that discrete nonlinear surface waves can exist only above a certain power threshold. Below the power threshold no surface waves can be supported.

The curve between power $P$ verses propagation constant $\Lambda$ for different values of $C$ exhibits a minimum which is called power threshold. Below power threshold the eigenvalues bifurcate from the edge of continuous spectrum and give rise to an additional unstable eigenvalue pair that means no surface waves can exist. At threshold eigenvalues just collide with continuous spectrum. And above power threshold eigenvalues cross the continuous spectrum, that means surface soliton can exist on the interface.

Linear stability analysis reveals that the surface wave solutions are only stable to the right of the minimum of...
$P - \Lambda$ curve, i.e. in the region where $dP/d\Lambda > 0$. In the stable branch, the localization of soliton solutions increases with soliton power and the evanescent field decay into the continuous low index region.

I also found that the value of threshold power $P_\text{th}$ is increased, if the value of coupling constant $C$ is increased. In threshold power ($P_\text{th}$) versus coupling constant ($C$) curve, I found that if the value of $C$ is increased then the then the value of $P_\text{th}$ is also increased.

More interestingly, I observed that increasing the strength of the coupling $C$ between the waveguides increases the light intensity in the excited waveguide resulting in a smoother soliton.
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