# Simulation and optimization of cyclohexanone ammoximation process over TS-1 catalyst: equilibrium and kinetic reactor

Satmon John<sup>1</sup>, Mingqiao Zhu<sup>1</sup>, Sarah B. L.<sup>2</sup>, Zhangfa Tong<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Key Laboratory of Biomass Chemical Engineering of Ministry of Education, College of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310027, China.

 <sup>2</sup>Process & Energy Engineering, Heriot-Watt University/ School of Engineering & Physical Sciences, Edinburgh, UK.
 <sup>3</sup>Guangxi Key Laboratory of Petrochemical Resource Processing and Process Intensification Technology, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Guangxi University, Nanning, 530004, China.

Abstract - The cyclohexanone ammoximation is a crucial process to synthesize cyclohexanone oxime, which is the key intermediate in manufacture of Caprolactam. In green ammoximation process, cyclohexanone oxime was produced by reaction of cyclohexanone with ammonia and hydrogen peroxide over TS-1catalyst. This paper put forward a detailed simulation of a cyclohexanone ammoximation process and carry out sensitivity analysis studies and optimization by using Aspen plus V8.8 as the simulation and modeling tool. CSTR reactors are widely used in the industry due to the good mixing property and it becomes significant when there is a need for high conversion. In order to simulate the process as accurately as possible NRTL package was used as the property method. The results obtained from the simulation were compared with some experimental results reported. The optimum reactor operating temperature and volume was 80  $^{\circ}\!C$ and 32 m<sup>3</sup> respectively. During this condition, cyclohexanone conversion reached 99.86 % and it proved that simulation results are greater than the reported results. The developed model can be invoked as a guide for understanding the reaction kinetics for CSTR reactor.

Key Words: Process simulation, Process integration and optimization, Reactor design, Aspen Plus, Cyclohexanone ammoximation, Green process

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The cyclohexanone ammoximation is a crucial process to synthesize cyclohexanone oxime, which is a key intermediate in the manufacture of caprolactam (CPL) and Nylon 6, after Beckmann rearrangement and polymerization, respectively. The conventional routes involve numerous steps with several drawbacks, such as the use of hazardous chemicals e.g. oleum and oxides of nitrogen. Additionally, large quantities of worthless by-product ammonium sulphate and considerable amount of waste are also produced (Hoelderich et al., 2001; J. Lin et al, 2014). An excellent green process for synthesized cyclohexanone oxime was the reaction of cyclohexanone with ammonia and hydrogen peroxide in the liquid phase using titanium silicate molecular sieves (G. Bellussi et al., 2001). The application of titanium silicalite-1 (TS-1) catalysts has solved the challenges concerned within the ammoximation of cyclohexanone reaction such as multiple reaction steps, harmful oximation agents, and enormous amounts of environmentally contaminated co-products (H. Ichihashi et al., 2001). Since the main by-product of the reaction is water and the reaction take place under mild conditions (Roffia et al., 1989). In 1987, EniChem Company developed a liquid phase single-step ammoximation process utilizing ammonia and hydrogen peroxide over titanium silicate TS-1 as the catalyst (Fig. 1). The process is an environmental benign process lead to high yield of cyclohexanone oxime that is greater than 99% (Thangaraj et al., 1991).



Fig. 1. Reaction equation for cyclohexanone ammoximation.

The purpose of this research work is to simulate and optimize the cyclohexanone ammoximation process by exploitation equilibrium reactor in Aspen Plus software, then develop a rigorous model for the CSTR Reactor supported the optimized results from equilibrium reactor and kinetic data obtained from the literatures to perform an additional elaborate improvement. In addition, to conduct sensitivity analyses on key method parameters like operative parameters, material integration and reactor size on the reaction conversion. As to validate the relevance of the developed model, simulation results were compared with some experimental results reported.

International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) Volume: 04 Issue: 11 | Nov -2017 www.irjet.net IRIET

e-ISSN: 2395-0056 p-ISSN: 2395-0072

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHOD

In the following, a description of the methods used to carry out the process analysis and simulation is provided. In details, Section 2.1 reports the process simulation by using a commercial software (Aspen Plus), while Section 2.2 process design and description.

#### 2.1. Process Simulation with Aspen Plus

Process simulation application has evolved over the last two decades in the field of process engineering. Aspen Plus is one of the leading simulation software that has the ability and flexibility to design and simulate a chemical process comprehensively (Wijayarathne et al., 2014). It is commonly utilized in chemical engineering applications that use basic engineering relationships like as mass and energy balance, chemical phase equilibrium, and reaction kinetics to predict the thermodynamic behavior of chemical reactions (W.X. Meng et al., 2015).

#### 2.1.1. Material and energy balance equations

Aspen Plus uses the following material and energy balance equations (Aspen plus manual):

Overall mass balance:

.....

$$\sum_{i=1}^{NM} S_i \sigma_i F_i = 0 \tag{1}$$

Sub stream mass balance for j=1 to NSS:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{NM} S_i F_i f_{ij} = 0$$
(2)

Component mass balance for k=1 to NC, j=1 to NSS:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{NM} S_i F_i f_{ij} Z_{ijk} = 0$$
(3)

Overall energy balance:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{NM} S_i \sigma_i h_i + \sum_{i=1}^{NH} S_j \sigma_j H_j + \sum_{i=1}^{NW} S_k \sigma_k W_k = RHS$$

$$\tag{4}$$

#### 2.1.2. Reactor modeling and simulation

#### **Equilibrium reactor**

REquil are used to simulate a rigorous reactor, which includes phase equilibrium using Gibbs free energy minimization. The calculation of the equilibrium constant for the reaction Keq from the standard state change Gibbs free energy  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  of the components in the reaction. Using the following equation:

$$K_{\rm eq} = e^{-(\Delta g^{\circ}/RT)} \tag{5}$$

Where:

$$\Delta g^{\circ} = \sum \Delta g^{\circ}_{products} - \sum \Delta g^{\circ}_{reactants}$$
(6)

The effect of temperature on the reaction equilibrium constant can be calculated as:

$$\ln\frac{K_{eq}^{T_2}}{K_{eq}^{T_1}} = \frac{1}{R} \int \frac{\Delta h^{\circ}}{T} dT$$
(7)

Subsequently, the compositions of the products and reactants at equilibrium are calculated using:

$$K_{\rm eq} = \frac{\prod f_{products}}{\prod f_{reactants}} \tag{8}$$

#### **Kinetic reactor**

RCSTR are used to simulate a rigorous CSTR reactor with rate-controlled reactions based on specified kinetics.

#### The general power law expression:

It is composed of two parts, the kinetic factor and the driving force. For all cases, the kinetic factor is given by:

$$r = k \left(\frac{T}{T_{\circ}}\right)^{n} e^{(-E/R)(1/T - 1/T_{\circ})}$$
(9)

If  $T_0$  is not specified, Aspen Plus uses the reduced power law expression:

$$r = k(T)^{n} e^{-E/RT} \prod_{i=1}^{N} C_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}$$
(10)

#### 2.2. Process Design

The cyclohexanone ammoximation process was simulated based on the new process developed by SINOPEC as revealed by Yang Jun & Feng Meiping (Yang Jun et al., 2013; W. Hongbo et al., 2004) and combined with versalis process technologies (Versalis technology).

Table 1. Product specification and magnetization	ain process
parameters (Versalis technolo	gy).

Product quality			
Cyclohexanone Oxime 99.8% wt typical			
Main Process Parameters			
Material Balance	MT per MT of Cyclohexanone		
Cyclohexanone (as 100%)	0.875		
Ammonia (as 100%)	0.165		
Hydrogen Peroxide (as 100%)	0.345		

Our process will be designed to produce 100 Ktone/yr of cyclohexanone oxime, so based on the above stoichiometric ratios, our initial material balance:

Table 2. Initial feed mass flow rate.

Material Balance	Ktone/yr
Cyclohexanone (as 100%)	87.5
Ammonia (as 100%)	16.5
Hydrogen Peroxide (as 100%)	34.5

The model was based on the equilibrium reactor as the heart of the process, (Yang Jun et al., 2013) mentioned that ammoximation reaction temperature and pressure are 80-85 °C and 0.2–0.3 Mpa. The above process data will be used as initial data for simulation, and then optimum data will be investigated. Further optimized results beside the kinetic data obtained from literature (Luo et al., 2015) will be used for rigorous simulation and design of CSTR reactor.

#### 2.2.1. Thermodynamic models

Due to the presence of polar compounds such as water, the Non-random-two-liquid (NRTL) model was used to calculate the activity coefficient of the liquid phase. It is recommended for non-ideal chemical systems, and can be used for VLE and LLE applications.

#### 2.2.2. Simulation method and materials

Table 3. Summarizes the main reactors used in the Aspen Plus flow sheet and the operating conditions simulated.

Parameter	Equilibrium reactor (REquil)	Kinetic reactor (CSTR)		
Temperature	85 °C	Will be determined based on REquil results		
Pressure	0.25 Mpa	Will be determined based on REquil results		
Activation energy	-	93.2 KJ/Kmol		
Pre-exponential factor	-	$1.10 \times 10^{12}$ L/g/min		
Reactor dimension	-	Will be optimized		
Catalyst	-	TS-1		
Catalyst Density	-	600 Kg/m <sup>3</sup>		
Catalyst particle diameter	-	0.3-0.7 cm		

**Table 3.** Equilibrium and Kinetic reactor required operating conditions.

Initially we have assumed the CSTR reactor volume of 2 m<sup>3</sup>, then the optimum dimension is to be determined based on conversion of cyclohexanone.

# 2.2.3. Cyclohexanone ammoximation process description

Cyclohexanone, ammonia and hydrogen peroxide were mixed and heated to the reaction temperature then fed to the reactor where the ammoximation reaction take place in the presence of TS-1. The catalyst acts by forming of solid microspheres dispersed in the reaction media. See (Fig. 2 and 3). The liquid reactor effluent composes primarily of cyclohexanone oxime, water, unconverted cyclohexanone and ammonia was directed to a liquid-liquid oxime extraction column where toluene was used as extracting agent to recover cyclohexanone oxime. Wastewater with traces of toluene and oxime coming out from the bottom of the oxime extraction column and cyclohexanone raw oxime with toluene was directed to oxime/toluene distillation column.



Fig. 2. Overall cyclohexanone ammoximation process flowsheet using equilibrium reactor



Fig. 3. Overall cyclohexanone ammoximation process flowsheet using a CSTR reactor.

Toluene was recovered as the top product of the oxime/toluene distillation column and cyclohexanone oxime is recovered as bottom product from oxime/toluene distillation column.

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this section, the process analysis was discussed for both equilibrium reactor (Section 3.1) and CSTR reactor (Section 3.2) from technical point of view.

#### 3.1. Equilibrium reactor analysis

In order, to find the optimal operating parameters for the cyclohexanone ammoximation process, integration of reactant amount and sensitivity analysis of the results was performed. The effect of temperature, pressure, and reactants amount was also investigated.

#### 3.1.1. Effect of reaction temperature

A sensitivity analysis was carried out to find the optimal temperature, which results in maximum reactor cyclohexanone oxime concentration in the product stream. Keeping all parameters constant as in the general simulation condition and pressure of 0.25 Mpa, the reactor temperature was increased gradually from 20 to 200 °C with a step change of 5 °C. Fig. 4. Shows, at the lowest temperature of 20 °C, the mole fraction of cyclohexanone oxime begins to increase very fast from 0.90, reaches 0.985 at 80 °C and the maximum value at 200 °C. At the same time, the mole fraction of water decreases to low value when the temperature was 200 °C.



Fig. 4. Effect of temperature on the cyclohexanone oxime and water mole fraction.

Thus, a clear indication that reaction temperature is a crucial parameter in equilibrium reactor, because the reaction rate increases with the reactor temperature and hence, the mole fractions of cyclohexanone oxime increase. Due to economical consideration such as operation cost, we chose the optimum reactor temperate of 80 °C which is in agreement with results reported by (Yang Jun et al., 2013; Yaquan W. et al, 2004).

#### 3.1.2. Effect of reaction pressure

The effect of pressure on the molar fractions of cyclohexanone oxime and water at 85 °C, while all other parameters kept constant. Fig. 5. Shows, the increase of pressure from 0 to 1 Mpa lead to the decrease of cyclohexanone oxime mole fraction from 0.998 to 0.930 and the increase of water mole fraction from 0.881 to 0.914 at 85 °C. Obviously, pressure is also one of the critical factors that affect the mole fractions of products. It can be possible to conclude that a relatively low-pressure result in more production of cyclohexanone oxime in equilibrium reactor, which is consistent with the results reported before (Yang Jun et al., 2013; Yaquan W. et al, 2004).



Fig. 5. Effect of pressure on the cyclohexanone oxime and water mole fraction.

#### 3.1.3. Maximization of cyclohexanone oxime yield and reactants integration

Keeping reactor conditions and other inlet parameters constant, as in the general simulation case, the ammonia mass flowrate was increased gradually from 14 Ktone/yr to 17 Ktone/yr.



Fig. 6. Variation of cyclohexanone and ammonia mass flowrate on the cyclohexanone oxime yield.

This was done to monitor the variation of cyclohexanone oxime mass flowrate in the product stream. Graphical results in Fig. 6. Shows that cyclohexanone oxime mass flowrate reached a maximum value of 101 Ktone/yr when the ammonia flow rate was 15.5 Ktone/yr and after that, it remained constant up 17 Ktone/yr.

Similarly, by varying the hydrogen peroxide mass flowrate from 20 to 50 Ktone/yr. Fig. 7. Indicate that, mass flowrate of cyclohexanone oxime gradually increases and reached the maximum at 31 Ktone/yr of hydrogen peroxide, then remained constant. Meanwhile, it has been observed that unreacted hydrogen peroxide in the product stream begin to increase when the feed hydrogen peroxide increases from 31 to 50 Ktone/yr. Clearly, indicating that hydrogen peroxide is a limiting reactant. Our results show a lower amount of reactant required compare to the reported results (Yang Jun et al., 2013; Versalis technology), which we consider to be a significant reduction in cost.



**Fig. 7.** Variation of hydrogen peroxide mass flowrate on the cyclohexanone oxime yield.

#### 3.1.4. Simulation Results at optimum conditions

At the optimum reaction conditions (80 °C, 0.1 Mpa and optimal amount of reactants), simulation for cyclohexanone ammoximation reactions was conducted. From the results in Table 4, one can see that the conversion of cyclohexanone reach 100%. Therefore, the optimized process achieves a high cyclohexanone conversion.

Compound	Feed (Ktone/yr)	Product (Ktone/yr)
Cyclohexanone	87.5	0.000
Ammonia	15.5	0.317
Hydrogen Peroxide	31	0.674
Cyclohexanone Oxime	0	100.88
Water	0	32.12
Optimal reaction temperature		80 °C
Optimal reaction pressure		0.1 Mpa
Cyclohexanone Conversion		100%

Table 4.	Equilibrium	reactor	simulation	results	at
	optimum op	erating	conditions.		

# 3.2. Continuous Stirred Tank Reactor (CSTR)

Initially, the optimized operating condition obtained from equilibrium reactor with assumed reactor volume of 2 m<sup>3</sup> was used to simulate the CSTR reactor as mentioned in Table 3. The simulated results in Table 5, shows low conversion than reported in (Yang Jun et al., 2013; Yaquan W. et al, 2004; Baojun et al., 2014; and Versalis technology).

Table 5. Initial simulation results.

Variable	Molar flowrate (Kmol/yr)
Cyclohexanone feed	891540
Unreacted cyclohexanone	315845
Cyclohexanone oxime	575695
Cyclohexanone oxime mole fraction	0.212
Cyclohexanone Conversion	57.96 %

In order to achieve a higher cyclohexanone conversion. Sensitivity analysis was performed and the effect of the reactor volume and pressure was investigated.

#### 3.2.1. Effect of reactor volume

One of the vital parameters to be taken into account in the design of the ammoximation reactor is the optimal size. Hence, the impact of reactor volume on the cyclohexanone conversion was investigated in order to determine the best design size for the reactor. The reactor volume was varied between 2 to 60 m<sup>3</sup>. Fig. 8 & 9, shows that a rise in the reactor volume results to an increase in the cyclohexanone conversion and cyclohexanone oxime molar flow throughout the reactor. This increase can be attributed to the provision of more reaction space. In addition, the residence time of reactants increases with the size of the reactor, where it allows sufficient time for the reaction to occur. This implies that there is an optimal limit to the size of a reactor above that there will be no effect and the extra diameter will be redundant. Obviously, we found that reactor volume of 32 m<sup>3</sup> was our best size as its shows a highest cyclohexanone conversion of 95.72%.



Fig. 8. Variation of the reactor volume on cyclohexanone oxime & unreacted cyclohexanone molar flow.



**Fig. 9.** Variation of the reactor volume on cyclohexanone conversion.

#### 3.2.2. Effect of reaction pressure

The effect of pressure on the cyclohexanone conversion at 85 °C, while all other parameters kept constant. Fig. 10. Shows, the increase of pressure from 0.2 to 2 Mpa leads to the increase of cyclohexanone conversion to 99.86% then remain constant. Obviously, pressure is among the critical factors that affect the cyclohexanone conversion.



Fig. 10. Variation of the reactor pressure on cyclohexanone conversion.

#### 3.2.3. Simulation results at optimum reactor Size

A rigorous simulation for cyclohexanone ammoximation reactions was conducted in CSTR reactor. From the results in Table 6, one can see that the conversion of cyclohexanone reaches 99.86% when reactor volume was 32 m<sup>3</sup> at pressure of 1.7 Mpa, which is even higher than reported in literatures [Table 7]. This results strongly agreed with author (Luo et al., 2015), kinetic data they have reported was appropriate for simulation and modeling ammoximation reactor.

Table 6. Optimized simulation results.

Variable	Molar flowrate (Kmol/yr)
Cyclohexanone	891540
Unreacted cyclohexanone	591.43
Cyclohexanone oxime	890302
Cyclohexanone oxime mole fraction	0.328
Cyclohexanone Conversion	99.86%

**Table 7.** Comparison between simulation and experimentreported results.

Results	Simul ation	W. Hong bo et al., 2004	Yaqu an W. et al., 2004	Feng X. et al., 201 4	Zhang D. H. et al., 2015	Baoju n L. et al., 2014
Cyclohe xanone Convers ion %	99.86	99.5	99.65	99.1 0	96.00	98.0

#### 4. CONCLUSION

In this work, Aspen Plus process found to be a powerful package to simulate the process of cyclohexanone oxime production from ammoximation of cyclohexanone. Sensitivity analysis studies was conducted to gain better insight about the development of an optimal reaction conditions and the simulation results were compared with experimental results obtained in previous work (see Table 7). It has been proven that, the conversion % increases as the reactor temperature and the volume of the CSTR reactor increase. The highest conversion of the reaction obtained at a reactor temperature of 80 °C, pressure of 1.7 Mpa and reactor volume of 32 m<sup>3</sup>. These results improve the understanding of reaction kinetics with reference to the ammoximation reaction, in which would be very helpful for operational modifications as well as design considerations of CSTR reactors.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was financially supported by the Zhejiang Province Natural Science Foundation (Y4080247), Natural Science Foundation of China (21376213); and Opening Project of Guangxi Key Laboratory of Petrochemical Resource Processing and Process Intensification Technology (K002).

# NOTATIONS

Nomenclature		
S <sub>i</sub>	+1 for inlet streams, 1 for outlet streams	
$\sigma_i$	Stream scale factor	
F <sub>i</sub>	Mass flow of stream i, Kg	
$f_{ij}$	Mass fraction of substream j in stream i	
Z <sub>ijk</sub>	Mass fraction of component k in substream j of stream i	
h <sub>i</sub>	Mass enthalpy of stream i, KJ/Kg	
$H_j$	Heat flow of heat stream j, KJ/hr.	
W <sub>k</sub>	Work of stream k, KJ	
K <sub>eq</sub>	equilibrium constant for the reaction	
$\Delta G^{\circ}$	standard state change Gibbs free energy, KJ	
$\Delta g^{\circ}$	Gibbs free energy change of pure species, KJ	
$T_1, T_2$	initial and final temperature respectively, °C	
$\Delta h^{\circ}$	enthalpy change, KJ/Kg	
f	the fugacity of a component	
r	Rate of reaction, Kmol/L. S	
k	Pre-exponential factor	
Т	Absolute temperature, °C	
To	Reference temperature, °C	
n	Temperature exponent, °C	
е	Activation energy, KJ/Kmol	
R	Gas law constant, J / mol. K	
П	Product operator	
Ν	Number of components	
Ci	Concentration of the i <sup>th</sup> component, Kmol/L	
S <sub>i</sub>	+1 for inlet streams, 1 for outlet streams	
$\sigma_i$	Stream scale factor	
	Abbreviations	
TS-1	Titanium Silicate-1	
NM	Number of combined inlet and outlet material	
	streams	
NH	Number of combined inlet and outlet heat	
NIM	Streams	
INVV	streams	
NSS	Number of substreams within material streams	
NC	Number of components specified on the Components	
RHS	Right hand side of the energy balance equation	
	Greek Letters	
α <sub>i</sub>	Exponent of i <sup>th</sup> component	
Subscripts		
i, j and k	Subscripts	

# REFERENCES

- [1] Aspen Plus, "https://www.aspentech.com", 2016.
- [2] Baojun L. and W. Xiangyu, "Improving the performance of TS-1 catalysts for continuous cyclohexanone ammoximation through controlment of active species distribution", New J. Chem., 2104, 38, pp. 4229-4234.
- [3] Dahlhoff, G., Niederer, J.P.M., Hoelderich, W.F., "Epsiloncaprolactam: new by- product free synthesis routes", Catal. Rev.-Sci. Eng., 2001, 43, pp. 381–441.
- [4] Feng Xin, "Continuous heterogeneous cyclohexanone ammoximation reaction using a monolithic TS-1/cordierite catalyst", RSC Adv., 2014, 4, pp. 27259– 27266.
- [5] G. Bellussi and M. S. Rigutto, "Studies in Surface Science and Catalysis", Elsevier, 2001, 137, pp. 911–955.
- [6] H. Ichihashi and H. Sato, "The development of new heterogeneous catalytic processes for the production of ε-caprolactam", Appl. Catal., A, 2001, 221, pp. 359–366.
- [7] Lin, J., Xin, F., Yang, L., Zhuang, Z., "Synthesis, characterization of hierarchical TS-1 and its catalytic performance for cyclohexanone ammoximation", Catal. Commun, 2014, 45, pp. 104–108.
- [8] Luo G.S. et al, "Reaction kinetics of cyclohexanone ammoximation over TS-1 catalyst in a microreactor", Chem. Eng. Sc., 2015, 126, pp. 633–640.
- [9] Roffia, P., Paparatto, G., Cesana, A., Tauszik, G., "Process for producing cyclohexanone oxime", Eur. Pat. 0301486, 1989.
- [10] Thangaraj, A., Sivasankers, S., Ratnasamy, P., "Catalytic properties of crystalline titanium silicalites III. Ammoximation of Cyclohexanone", J. Catal., 1991, 131(2), pp. 394-400.
- [11] U. P. L. Wijayarathne, K. C. Wasalathilake, "Aspen Plus Simulation of Saponification of Ethyl Acetate in the Presence of Sodium Hydroxide in a Plug Flow Reactor", Int. Sc. Ind., Chem. & Mol. Eng., 2014, 8, pp. 10–14.
- [12] Versalis Technology, "http://www.versalis.eni.com", 2016.
- [13] W. Hongbo, "Technical and economic contrast and analysis of new cyclohexanone process and HPO process", CHINA SYNTHETIC FIBER INDUSTRY, 2004, 27(3).
- [14] W.X. Meng, "Process simulation of multi-stage chemicallooping combustion using Aspen Plus", Energy, 2015, 90, pp. 1869-1877.

- [15] Yang Jun, F. Meiping, "Caprolactam production technological progress and project investment analysis", CHINA SYNTHETIC FIBER INDUSTRY, 2013, 36(1), pp. 50–55.
- [16] Yaquan Wang, "Process integration of  $H_2O_2$  generation and the ammoximation of cyclohexanone", J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol., 2004, pp. 0268–2575.
- [17] Zhang D. H. and Y. J. Wang, "An Integrated Process for the Synthesis of Solid Hydroxylamine Salt with Ammonia and Hydrogen Peroxide as Raw Materials", Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2015, 54, pp. 1068–1073.

## BIOGRAPHIES



**Satmon John T. Andria** is a Master Student of Process System Engineering in College of Chemical and Biological Engineering at Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China. His research focus on design, Simulation, Optimization, and Integration of Chemical Processes.



**Zhu Mingqiao** is an associate professor at College of Chemical and Biological Engineering at Zhejiang University, Hangzhou. His research focus include, process system engineering, process simulation, optimization, innovation & creation.