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Abstract - In recent days there is a lot of light thrown on behavior of structure post elastic limits and to understand the maximum permissible limits the structural members could be subjected before the structure reaches the limit where in it shall not be capable to with stand any more load and any repair or rehabilitation of structure shall not induce any structural strength. A case study is carried out to know the effect of designing a reduced cross section member in a structure and it significance on the structural performance on a 2D frame of 2 bay frame of 10 story height, Height of each floor is 3m and Length of each bay is 8m. and each of the beam is replaced with another beam of half the cross section, which can still withstand the applied live load and is analysis and the output values is compared with standard frame output values.
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1.INTRODUCTION

Non-linear static method of analysis is used on structures with one Degree of freedom. An structure is pushed till it fails And studies as to the Cause of failure, Weather the structure can be repaired or rehabilitated, Point of failure, Plastic behaviour etc., Pushover analysis of a structure is post elastic range performance study of, which helps in knowing weaknesses and failure possibilities in a structure / model.

2.Loads

Live load

Wall load = Thickness of wall x height of wall x density of brickwork (excluding beam depth)

= 0.2 x 2.6 x 20

= 10.4 kN/m.

Seismic Loads

Criteria as per IS 1893: 2002

As per this code, Structure has been designated to Zone V. The Design Base Shear is given by, V = Ah.W where, Ah =As the proposed building is a Residential building, the Importance factor I has been taken as 1.0. Since the building lies in Zone V, the zone factor has been taken as 0.36.

The Response reduction factor R has been taken as 5.0 as the structure would be designed as per the provisions of SMRF (Special RC moment Resisting Frame).

Sa / g is the normalized Reaction Spectrum esteem for the structure which is the capacity of the central day and age of vibration of the structure and the sort of the establishing soil, Soil type medium.

W is the Seismic Weight of the building, which has been calculated in accordance with the relevant clause in the IS1893: 2002.

Since the structure is a RCC structure, a damping value of 5% has been considered.

Time period formula is used for analysis, T = 0.09h/√d

Where, T = Time period in sec

h = height of building in 30meter

d = base dimension of the building in the direction in which the seismic force is considered

3. 2-D Frame

It is 2 bay 2 dimension frame of 10 story height, Tallness of every floor is 3m and Spacing of column is 8m.

Beam: - 500 X 500mm
Column: - 600 X 600 mm
Concrete: - M30
Steel: - Fe500
Young's modulus: - 5000√Fck = 27386127.88

Design in accordance with IS:456-2000

Fig.1 2D frame showing hinges @ Plastic stage( Yellow hinge is plastic hinge).
Chart 1: Displacement Vs Base shear.

Outcome: After the hinge crosses the plastic range, it fails at step 14 when the overall structural displacement is 0.422796 m, having base shear @ 478.282 kN.

Fig 2: 2D frame having beams numbered.

3.1 B1 Frame
Beam 1 is replaced by another beam of half the cross section 250 X 250mm.

Chart 2: At Joint 11 Displacement Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B1 Frame.

Chart 3: Base shear Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B1 Frame.

3.2 B2 Frame
Beam 2 is replaced by another beam of half the cross section 250 X 250mm.

Chart 4: At Joint 11 Displacement Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B2 Frame.

Chart 5: Base shear Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B2 Frame.

3.3 B3 Frame
Beam 3 is replaced by another beam of half the cross section 250 X 250mm.

Chart 6: At Joint 11 Displacement Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B3 Frame.

Chart 7: Base shear Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B3 Frame.
3.4 B4 Frame
Beam 4 is replaced by another beam of half the cross section 250 X 250mm.

[Chart-8 At Joint 11 Displacement Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B4 Frame.]

3.6 B6 Frame
Beam 6 is replaced by another beam of half the cross section 250 X 250mm.

[Chart-12 At Joint 11 Displacement Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B6 Frame.]

3.5 B5 Frame
Beam 5 is replaced by another beam of half the cross section 250 X 250mm.

[Chart-9 Base shear Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B4 Frame.]

3.7 B7 Frame
Beam 7 is replaced by another beam of half the cross section 250 X 250mm.

[Chart-13 Base shear Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B6 Frame.]

[Chart-10 At Joint 11 Displacement Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B5 Frame.]

[Chart-14 At Joint 11 Displacement Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B7 Frame.]

[Chart-11 Base shear Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B5 Frame.]

[Chart-15 Base shear Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B7 Frame.]
3.8 B8 Frame
Beam8 is replaced by another beam of half the cross section 250 X 250mm.

Chart-16 At Joint 11 Displacement Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B8 Frame.

Chart-17 Base shear Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B8 Frame.

3.9 B9 Frame
Beam9 is replaced by another beam of half the cross section 250 X 250mm.

Chart-18 At Joint 11 Displacement Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B9 Frame.

Chart-19 Base shear Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B9 Frame.

3.10 B10 Frame
Beam10 is replaced by another beam of half the cross section 250 X 250mm.

Chart-20 At Joint 11 Displacement Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B10 Frame.

Chart-21 Base shear Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B10 Frame.

3.11 B11 Frame
Beam11 is replaced by another beam of half the cross section 250 X 250mm.

Chart-22 At Joint 11 Displacement Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B11 Frame.

Chart-23 Base shear Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B11 Frame.
### 3.12 B12 Frame
Beam 12 is replaced by another beam of half the cross section 250 X 250mm.

**Chart-24** At Joint 11 Displacement Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B12 Frame.

### 3.13 B13 Frame
Beam 13 is replaced by another beam of half the cross section 250 X 250mm.

**Chart-26** At Joint 11 Displacement Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B13 Frame.

### 3.14 B14 Frame
Beam 14 is replaced by another beam of half the cross section 250 X 250mm.

**Chart-28** At Joint 11 Displacement Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B14 Frame.

### 3.15 B15 Frame
Beam 15 is replaced by another beam of half the cross section 250 X 250mm.

**Chart-30** At Joint 11 Displacement Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B15 Frame.

### 3.14 B14 Frame
Beam 15 is replaced by another beam of half the cross section 250 X 250mm.

**Chart-29** Base shear Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B14 Frame.

**Chart-31** Base shear Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B15 Frame.
3.16 B16 Frame
Beam 16 is replaced by another beam of half the cross section 250 X 250mm.

Chart-32 At Joint 11 Displacement Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B16 Frame.

3.17 B17 Frame
Beam 17 is replaced by another beam of half the cross section 250 X 250mm.

Chart-34 At Joint 11 Displacement Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B17 Frame.

3.18 B18 Frame
Beam 18 is replaced by another beam of half the cross section 250 X 250mm.

Chart-36 At Joint 11 Displacement Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B18 Frame.

3.19 B19 Frame
Beam 19 is replaced by another beam of half the cross section 250 X 250mm.

Chart-38 At Joint 11 Displacement Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B19 Frame.

Chart-35 Base shear Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B17 Frame.

Chart-37 Base shear Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B18 Frame.

Chart-39 Base shear Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B19 Frame.
3.20 B20 Frame
Beam20 is replaced by another beam of half the cross section 250 X 250mm.

![Chart-40 At Joint 11 Displacement Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B20 Frame.](image)

![Chart-41 Base shear Vs Analysis steps of 2D Frame and B20 Frame.](image)

3. CONCLUSIONS
- There is a reduction in base shear values up to 11% as the beam of reduced cross section is applied at the lower stories with respect to structure with uniform beam cross section.
- If the beams of reduced cross section is used in higher stories base shear values also increases close to structure with uniform beam cross section.
- For frames B1 to B10 close to pushover force as the beams of reduced cross section is applied at lower stories there is 7% increase in displacement values and it reduces when the higher stories beam is replaced.
- For frames B11 to B20 away from pushover force as the beams of reduced cross section is applied at lower stories there is reduction in displacement values and it increases when the higher stories beam is replaced.
- It is more advisable to have beams of reduced cross section in lower stories of the buildings.
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