Classification of Mass calcification based on Wave Atom Transform and comparing outcomes with Wavelet Transform
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Abstract - Mammography is regularly utilized for early growth identification as a part of ladies bosom. The nearness of micro calcification bunches in the advanced mammograms is the critical sign of bosom malignancy and their tendency is most certainly not essentially dangerous. It is extremely troublesome assignment to recognize amiable and dangerous groups. PC Aided Analysis (CADx) intended to help pathologists decide the sort of micro calcification in a mammogram. More often than not, it comprises of two stages, include extraction and classification. In our procedure, we proposed the utilization of wave Atom transform as highlight extraction strategy and Support Vector Machine (SVM) as classifier. Here the proposed strategy is contrasted with wavelet transform. While looking at, our proposed strategy accomplished great arrangement exactness. Be that as it may, a portion of the past inquires about have indicated preferred results over our own.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Bosom malignancy is the main source of tumor demise in ladies in the world and second commonest disease in India. Indian chamber for medicinal research reports that the occurrence of bosom tumor has multiplied in the metropolitan urban areas in the previous 24 years. There are numerous strategies that can be utilized to order the micro calcification in advanced mammograms. Another feature extraction technique in light of discrete wavelet transform for the arrangement of advanced mammograms is proposed in [1]. This strategy depends on amplifying the contrast between the distinctive classes. Euclidean separation measure is utilized to classify the given mammograms.

Order of micro calcification in view of double tree complex wavelet transform and Support Vector Machine (SVM) is proposed in [2]. It comprises of two stages in particular offline and online. At the offline phase, SVM training is directed utilizing some preparation information to discover the bolster vectors. A two phase technique based on wavelet transform for recognizing and sectioning the miniaturized scale calcification is created in [3]. In the primary stage the given mammogram is disintegrated by un-crushed wavelet transform with a specific end goal to get the sub-groups at full size. The identified pixels in the high recurrence sub-groups are enlarged and after that weighted before taking inverse wavelet transform. The spatial disintegration property of the discrete wavelet transform is utilized for the discovery of miniaturized scale calcification in [4]. In request to follow out small scale calcification in the mammographic pictures, the measurable elements, for example, skewness and kurtosis is utilized.

A CAD framework introduced in [5] can separate components of the surface from the district of intrigue territories by factual technique and flag prepare strategy and after that the framework can group the examples into two salubrious classes by utilizing classifier in view of Support Vector Machine (SVM). Curvelet transform based surface elements are utilized for the characterization of tissues is introduced in [6]. From the every wedge, seven factual components such as energy, entropy, mean, standard deviation, greatest likelihood, inverse difference moment and homogeneity are removed and closest neighbor classifier is utilized for the characterization reason. The arrangement precision is computed by utilizing 5- overlap cross approval strategy.

As of late, the hypothesis of the multi-determination examination based wavelet edges are generally utilized as a part of picture preparing strategies. Tight wavelet outline frameworks are utilized to expel the movement obscuring from the picture by regularizing the sparsity of both the first picture and the movement obscure portion is clarified in [7]. The subsequent minimization issue could be productively tackled by the split Bregman method. Two framelet based de-convolution calculations are proposed in [8]. Another mixture combination technique in view of the quick force tint immersion change with a control parameter alongside the framelet transform is proposed in [9]. The brilliance
contrast between panchromatic pictures and Intensity picture is minimized by the control parameter. The framelet transforms repetition which is presented into the wavelet framework is for the most part used to extricate the definite spatial data from the distinction picture.

In this paper, correlation for the classification of micro calcification in computerized mammograms based on wave atom transform and wavelet transform is proposed.

2. METHODOLOGY

The order of microcalcification framework depends on wave atom transform, wavelet transform and SVM as classifier. In this taking after area the hypothetical foundation of the considerable number of systems are presented.

2.1. Wave Atom Transform

Wave atom transform is presented by Demanet in 2007. The transformation, complying with the parabolic scaling law, can be viewed as a variation of 2D wavelet parcels. Wave atom transform have two extremely noteworthy properties. Initial one is the capacity to adjust to arbitrary local directions of a pattern. The second one is the capacity to scantily anisotropic patterns aligned with the axes. Wave atoms offer sharp recurrence restriction than other wave packets. It likewise has critical scanty extension for oscillatory functions when contrasted and wavelets, curvelets and Gabor atoms.

The forms of wave packets, known as wavelets, Gabor, ridgelets, curvelets and wave atoms, are made utilizing two parameters, which are $\alpha$ and $\beta$. These factors symbolize decay and directional capacity for all wave forms. $\alpha$ and $\beta$ values are $1/2$ for wave atoms. Here, $\alpha$ relates to the multiscale structure of the change and $\beta$ relates to directional selectivity.

Actually, wave atoms are built from tensor products of 1D wave packets. One-dimensional wave packets can be represented as $\psi_{m,n}(x)$ where $j,m \geq 0$, and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Frequency restrictions are $\pm \omega_{j,m} = \pm 2^j m$ with $C_1 2^j \leq m \leq C_2 2^j$. Space restrictions is defined as

$$X_{j,n} = 2^j n$$

Two-dimensional wave atoms $\varphi_{\mu}(x_1,x_2)$ are constructed with subscript $\mu = (j,m,n)$, where $m = (m_1,m_2), n = (n_1,n_2)$ 2D orthonormal basis is written as follows:

$$\varphi^{\mu}_{n_1,n_2}(x_1,x_2) = \psi^{\mu}_{m_1}(x_1 - 2^{-j} n_1) \psi^{\mu}_{m_2}(x_2 - 2^{-j} n_2)$$

Where, H is Hilbert transform. The wave atom tight frame is formed by combination of (2) and (3).

$$\varphi^{\mu(1)} = \frac{\varphi^{\mu}_{+} + \varphi^{\mu}_{-}}{2}, \varphi^{\mu(2)} = \frac{\varphi^{\mu}_{+} - \varphi^{\mu}_{-}}{2}$$

2.2 Support Vector Machine

Support vector machines (SVMs) are an arrangement of related managed learning techniques that analyze information and recognize patterns, utilized for classification and regression analysis (Rejani and Selvi, 2009). The standard SVM is a non-probabilistic double direct classifier, i.e. it predicts, for every given information, which of two conceivable classes the info is an individual from. A characterization assignment more often than not includes with preparing and testing information which comprises of a few information occasions. Every case in the training set contains one “target value” (class names) and a few “traits” (features) (Gorgel et al., 2009). SVM has an additional preferred standpoint of programmed model determination as in both the ideal number and areas of the essential capacities are naturally acquired preparing. The execution of SVM to a great extent relies upon the piece.

SVM is essentially a linear learning machine. For the input training sample set

$((x_i, y_i), i = 1..n, x \in \mathbb{R}^p, y \in \{-1, +1\})$

Let the classification hyper plane equation is to be

$$\omega \cdot x + b = 0 \quad (5)$$

Thus the classification margin is $2/|\omega|$. To maximize the margin, that is to minimize $|\omega|$, the optimal hyper plane problem is transformed to quadratic programming problem as follows,

$$\min \phi(\omega) = 1/2 (\omega, \omega)$$

$$s.t. y_i ((\omega, x) + b) \geq 1, i = 1, 2, \ldots$$

After introduction of Lagrange multiplier, the dual problem is given by,
There is a corresponding solution to the optimal hyperplane equation. The optimal hyperplane equation is determined by the Lagrange multiplier, and the sample points that are corresponding to the optimal hyperplane equation are given by:

\[
\alpha_i^* = (\alpha_1^*, \alpha_2^*, \ldots, \alpha_i^*)^T, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n
\]

Then the optimal hyperplane equation is given by:

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i^* y_i (x_i, x_j) + b = 0
\]

(9)

The hard classifier is then:

\[
y = \text{sgn} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i^* y_i (x_i, x_j) + b \right]
\]

(10)

For nonlinear situations, SVM constructs an optimal separating hyperplane in the high-dimensional space by introducing a kernel function \( K(x, y) = \phi(x) \phi(y) \), hence the nonlinear SVM is given by:

\[
\text{max} L(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{j=1}^{l} y_i y_j \alpha_i \alpha_j K(x_i, x_j)
\]

(11)

Thus the optimal hyperplane equation is determined by the hard classifier to the optimal problem.

2.3. Discrete Wavelet Transform

Nowadays, wavelets have been utilized every now and again as a part of picture-handling and utilized for feature extraction, denoising, compression, face recognition, and image super-determination. The disintegration of pictures into various recurrence ranges allows the selection of the recurrence segments presented by "characteristic disfigurements" or "extraneous variables" into certain subgroups. This procedure brings about disconnecting little changes in a picture chiefly in high recurrence sub-band pictures.

The 2-D wavelet disintegration of a picture is performed by applying 1-D DWT along the rows of the picture to start with, and, then, the outcomes are deteriorated along the sections. This operation brings about four decayed subband pictures referred to as low–low (LL), low–high (LH), high–low (HL), and high–high (HH).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Here we contrasting the wave atom transforms and wavelet transform, from the underneath specified table we come to realize that the wave atom transform gives the preferable result over the wavelet transform. Table 1 and 2 gives the yield of wavelet transform with various wavelets like Bior3.7, db8, Sym8. Table 3 and 4 gives the yield of the proposed strategy.

### Table 1 Benign/ Malignant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Bior3.7</th>
<th>db8</th>
<th>Sym8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>Malignant</td>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>Malignant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>91.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>97.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>83.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>83.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Normal/ Abnormal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Bior3.7</th>
<th>db8</th>
<th>Sym8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>83.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>83.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>83.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>83.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Success rates of SVM method for the classification of images as normal and abnormal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Success rates of SVM method for the classification of images as benign and malignant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. CONCLUSION

This paper portrays a CAD framework for perceiving breast disease in ROIs of advanced mammograms. The concentrate additionally examines the presentation of the framework with wave atom transform and SVM technique. The proposed strategy is contrasted and the wavelet transform. These outcomes show that wave atom transform and SVM are helpful and prevailing strategies to recognize the mammographic pictures as normal, benign and malignant.
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