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Abstract: Variable-speed induction motor drives are increasingly being used in most of the industrial applications. The development of high performance control strategies for AC drives, driven by the requirement of industry, has resulted in a rapid evolution during the last two decades. The Predictive Torque Control (PTC) technique has features of precise and quick torque response. This method is gaining popularity in the industry due to its simplicity and high dynamic performance. The control strategy combines the use of classical PI controller to obtain good steady state response and a predictive controller scheme to achieve good dynamic response. The main characteristic of predictive control is the use of a model of the system for predicting the future behaviour of the controlled variables. This information is being used by the controller to obtain the optimal actuation, according to a predefined optimization criterion. In predictive control scheme, the control objectives are defined as a cost function, which is to be minimized to have greater flexibility to include constraints which results in low computational complexity compared to Direct Torque Control (DTC) scheme. PTC offers high dynamic performance, accurate speed response. The PTC based voltage source inverter fed induction motor drive is capable of offering four quadrants in the torque-speed plane of operation like, forward motoring, forward generating, reverse generating and reverse motoring. To validate the proposed algorithms mathematical models were developed for induction motor, estimation of torque and flux and control logic. These models were integrated and simulations were carried out using Matlab/Simulink. Variation in stator currents, speed, electro-magnetic torque developed and stator flux during different operating conditions such as starting, steady state, sudden change in load and speed reversal are observed with the help of waveforms and results are discussed.
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Introduction:

Drive systems are widely used in applications such as pumps, fans, paper and textile mills, elevators, electrical vehicles and subway transportation, home appliances, wind generation systems, servo and robotics, computer peripherals, steel and cement mills, ship propulsion, etc. The development of high performance control strategies for AC drives driven by industry requirements has followed a rapid evolution during the last two decades. Among the two high performance control strategies for induction motor drives, namely FOC and DTC, DTC scheme has been considered as the next generation motor control method. Though the operating principles are different, the objectives of the two control techniques are same. The main aim of both the control schemes is to control effectively the motor torque and flux in order to force the motor to accurately track the command trajectory regardless of the machine and load parameter variation or any other external disturbances (18). DTC controls the torque and speed of the motor, which is directly based on the electromagnetic state of the motor. It has many advantages compare to FOC, such as less machine parameter dependence, simpler implementation and quicker dynamic torque response. The DTC scheme is known to produce a quick and robust response in AC drives due to the low motor parameter sensitivity of the stator voltage equation in estimating stator flux. However during steady state, pulsations of torque, flux and current may occur.

The objective of this paper is to study, simulate and analyse the performance of the PTC of induction motor drive fed from the voltage source inverter. The behaviour of the proposed predictive torque controlled induction motor drive operation is observed through simulations without load and with load. The simulation results of predictive torque controlled induction motor drive are compared with the simulation results of direct torque controlled induction motor drive. The merits of PTC over DTC of induction motor drive were highlighted. In the present scenario Predictive Torque Control technique can be considered as high performance control strategy for an induction motor drive [22]. Accurate flux estimation and control of stator flux and torque by introducing the concept of cost function minimization is the determining factor in effective implementation of PTC algorithm [24].
Predictive Control:

In general terms, predictive control can be considered as any algorithm that uses a model of the system to predict its future behaviour and selects the most appropriate control action based on an optimality criterion. One of the earlier predictive controllers used in power converters is the so-called dead-beat control, which eliminates the classic linear controller by using a predictive model of the system. This model is used to calculate the required reference voltage in order to reach the desired reference value for a certain variable (usually the current). The predicted reference voltage is later generated by the converter via a modulation stage. This scheme has been applied for current control of inverters, rectifiers, active filters and uninterruptible power supplies (UPSs) [16]. Predictive control presents several advantages that make it suitable for the control of power converters: Concepts are intuitive and easy to understand, it can be applied to a variety of systems, constraints and nonlinearities can be easily included, multivariable case can be considered, and the resulting controller is easy to implement.

Induction Motor drives using Predictive Control Technique:

The main characteristic of predictive control is the use of a model of the system for predicting the future behaviour of the controlled variables. This information is used by the controller to obtain the optimal actuation, according to a predefined optimization criterion. Different predictive control methods are dead beat control, hysteresis control and trajectory based control and model predictive control. The optimization criterion in hysteresis based predictive control is to keep the controlled variable within the boundaries of hysteresis area [21], while in trajectory based control the controlled variables are forced to follow a predefined trajectory [16]. In deadbeat control, the optimal actuation is the one that makes the error equal to zero in the next sampling instant [22]-[23]. The optimization criterion used in model predictive control (MPC) is expressed as a cost function to be minimized [12]. The disadvantage of deadbeat control is that it requires a modulator and constraints cannot be included directly. In the present work the use predictive control algorithm for induction motor is presented. The control technique is called as predictive torque control. The standard PTC approach uses a single cost function built by a linear combination of the objective functions to determine the best voltage vector to select in the next sampling time. The torque and the flux errors are included in one cost function through the use of weighting factors. These factors depend on the operating point and system parameters [24], so their choice is not a trivial task.

Model Predictive Control:

The basic ideas present in MPC are, it is a model to predict the future behaviour of the variables until a horizon in time, a cost function that represents the desired behaviour of the system and the optimal actuation is obtained by minimizing the cost function (8). The model used for prediction is a discrete-time model which can be expressed as a state space model as follows:

\[ x(k+1) = Ax(k) + Bu(k) \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

\[ y(k+1) = Cx(k) + Du(k) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

A cost function that represents the desired behaviour of the system needs to be defined. This function considers the references, future states, and future actuations:

\[ J = f(x(k), u(k), ..., u(k+N)) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

MPC is an optimization problem, which consists of minimizing the cost function \( J \) for a predefined horizon in time \( N \), subject to the model of the system and the restrictions of the system. The result is a sequence of \( N \) optimal actuations. The controller will apply only the first element of the sequence

\[ u(k) = [10...0] \arg\min u J \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

![Fig 1. Working principle of MPC](image)

Model Predictive Control for Power Electronic Drives:

Another approach for implementing MPC for power converters and drives is to take advantage of the inherent discrete nature of power converters. Since power converters have a finite number of switching states, the MPC optimization problem can be simplified and reduced to the prediction of the system behaviour only for those possible switching states. Then, each prediction is used to evaluate a cost function (also known as quality or decision function), and consequently, the state with minimum cost...
is selected and generated. This approach is known as a Finite Control Set MPC (FCS-MPC), since the possible control actions (switching states) are finite. This method is also known as finite alphabet MPC or simply as predictive control, and it has been successfully applied to a wide range of power converter and drive applications [15]-[22].

Finite Control Set MPC Operating Principle:

Based on the example shown in Fig. (2), the predicted value \( x_{P3}(t_k+1) \) is the closest to the reference \( x^*(t_k) \); hence, \( S_3 \) is selected and applied in \( t = t_k \). Following the same criterion, \( S_2 \) is selected and applied in \( t = t_k + 1 \). However, the ideal theoretical case in which the variables can be measured, predicted, and controlled instantly in \( t = t_k \) is not realizable in real-time applications. Nevertheless, this problem can be overcome if a two-step-ahead prediction is considered, as shown in Fig. (3), in which the control action to be applied in the following sample time \( S(t_{k+1}) \) is determined. This way, a complete sample period \( T_s \) is available to perform the algorithm. Naturally, the sample period \( T_s \) has to be greater than the measurement, computation, and actuation times added together.

Assume that on a sample time \( t_k \), a measurement \( x(t_k) \) is made and the previously computed control action \( S(t_k) \) is applied. With this information and the system model, a first prediction can be made to obtain the future value \( x(t_{k+1}) \). Now, from the predicted value \( x_{P1}(t_{k+1}) \), the FCS-MPC algorithm is performed for \( n \) possible control actions, leading to one optimal selection \( S(t_{k+1}) \). Both predictions are performed during the first sample period, and then, at \( t = t_k + 1 \), the optimal selected control action \( S(t_{k+1}) \) is applied, while \( x(t_{k+1}) \) is measured to perform the algorithm again. As shown in the example in Fig. 3.3.1.1 (b), there is only one prediction for the first step, given by the applied control action \( S(t_k) = S_3 \) determined in the previous execution of the algorithm while \( S(t_{k+1}) = S_2 \) is selected from the \( n \) predictions for the second step.

**Predictive Torque Control:**

The most widely used linear strategy in high performance electrical drives is field oriented control (FOC) [18]-[24], in which a decoupled torque and flux control is performed by considering an appropriate coordinate frame. A nonlinear hysteresis-based strategy such as direct torque control (DTC) [23] appears to be a solution for high performance applications. For motor drive applications,
the measured variables \( i, \omega \) and a mathematical model of the machine are used to estimate the variables that cannot be measured, such as the rotor and stator flux \( \lambda_r, \lambda_s \). Then, the same model is used to predict the future behaviour of the variables for every control action. Finally, the voltage vector that produces the optimum reference tracking is selected as the switching state for the next sampling step. The model of the machine is the most important part of the controller, because both estimations and predictions depend on it.

The block diagram of PTC motor drive \([13]\) employing a 2L-VSI is as shown in the fig.6.

Simulation of PTC

In the PTC algorithm under consideration, the outer speed controller is the same as in the FOC, but the inner loops are replaced by a one-step FS-MPC of the stator flux and electro-magnetic torque. As in any FS-MPC, this algorithm includes a prediction of the outputs and an optimization stage. Additionally, as the stator flux is not directly measurable, it is necessary to make estimation before the prediction, resulting in a three stage algorithm:

- Flux Estimation
- Flux and Torque Prediction
- Cost Function Optimization

Operation of Drive System on No-load

To observe the behaviour of PTC, simulations are carried out using Matlab/Simulink for a 2 kw rated induction motor drive.

FIG.6. PTC scheme

In the PTC scheme the measured variables \( i, \omega \) and a mathematical model of the machine are used to estimate the variables that cannot be measured, such as the rotor and stator flux \( \lambda_r, \lambda_s \). Then, the same model is used to predict the future behaviour of the variables for every control action. Finally, the voltage vector that produces the optimum reference tracking is selected as the switching state for the next sampling step. The model of the machine is the most important part of the controller, because both estimations and predictions depend on it. The block diagram of PTC motor drive \([13]\) employing a 2L-VSI is as shown in the fig.6.

FIG.7. Stator currents \( q,d \)

FIG.8. Stator fluxes \( q,d \)

FIG.9. Stator flux

FIG.10. Stator current

FIG.11. Torque
Fig. 12. Starting transients during no load operation of PTC drive

**Operation of Drive System on Load**

![Graph showing operation of drive system on load](image)

**Fig. 13. Stator currents _q_d**

![Graph showing stator currents _q_d](image)

**Fig. 14. Stator fluxes _q_d**

![Graph showing stator fluxes _q_d](image)

**Fig. 15. Stator flux**

![Graph showing stator flux](image)

**Fig. 16. Stator current**

![Graph showing stator current](image)

**Fig. 17. Torque**

![Graph showing torque](image)

**Fig. 18. Speed**

![Graph showing speed](image)

Fig. 13 to 18 shows the transients during step change in load. Up to 2 sec the machine is operated under no load. At 2 sec the drive subjected to a load of 5 Nm. Due to this step change in load, the drive takes approximately 0.03 sec to trace the load torque 5 N-m is observed. Speed of the motor is decreased by a small value due to the applied load on the motor and rotates constant speed under constant stator flux linkage.

**Conclusions**

In this project a comparison of PTC and DTC induction motor drive was presented. The simulation results presented here show the effectiveness of prediction scheme, i.e the diminishing torque ripple at different loads and speeds. From these results it is noted that PTC gives better results than DTC. PTC takes advantage of discrete nature of the power converter switching states and the control processor. The high sampling frequency required should not be problem nowadays, opening interesting possibilities with a conceptually different approach to optimization in the control of power converters and drives. To implement this PTC requires a high speed processor. Because of the advancement in the technology, a high speed processor will not be a problem in the future. Also opting for sensorless control reduces the overall cost of the system.
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