DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF TRANSIENT FAULT TOLERANCE FOR MULTI CORE ARCHITECTURE

DivyaRani

1pg scholar, ECE Department, SNS college of technology, Tamil Nadu, India

ABSTRACT- This paper describes the software approach of fault tolerance for shared memory multi core system using PLR. PLR uses a software-centric approach transient fault tolerance which ensuring a correct software execution. This scheme is used at user space level which does not necessitate changes to the original application. PLR create a set of redundant process per application process. In this scheme multithread redundant process is mainly used to detect the soft errors and recover from the fault. The main goal is to use software leverage by available using hardware parallelism for low overhead fault tolerance. Fault tolerance which allow the system perform correctly even in the presence of faults. In existed the system is appraised for fault coverage performance. This paper presents Software based multi core alternatives for design and analysis transient fault tolerance using process-level redundancy (PLR) which implements fault error detection and fault recovery. This is flexible alternative but higher overhead correctness is defined by software output overhead incurred by our approach ranges is lower when comparable to existed. It furnishes a low overhead mechanism and render improved performance over existed software transient fault tolerance techniques.

Index terms -Fault tolerance, transient faults, soft errors, process-level redundancy.

1. INTRODUCTION

Transient faults, also called as soft errors, which concern in the reliability of computer systems. A transient fault occurs even in the presence of error. Fault cause error results failure. Fault cause error observed by deviation from expected behavior results failure. It occurs event (e.g., cosmic particle strikes, power supply noise, device coupling) alluviation or removal of enough charge to invert the state of transistor. The inverted value may cause the effect in program execution.

Current trend in process technology show that the future error rate will remain comparatively constant. The number of usable transistor per chip continuously grows in an exponential manner, which increases dramatically. These trends had shown that to ensure correct execution operation of systems.

Transient fault characteristics are reliability, dependability, accessibility and availability. The memory is easily protected with error correcting code and parity within high performance microprocessor.

However, the same specified techniques cannot be directly adopted for general purpose computing domain. Compared to the ultra reliable, computing environments, general purpose system are driven by a different and often conflicting set of factors. These factors include Application specific constraints Fault results in a glitch which may not noticed by the user. Thus, the reliability improves to meet user expectations of failure rates.

While software technique cannot render a reliability level of hardware technique, they significantly provides a low cost and flexible (zero hardware design cost).existing software transient fault tolerance approaches use the encyclopedist to insert redundant instructions for checking computation and control flow process. Redundant more than is needed, desired or required to ensure correct execution.

This paper presents the design and analysis of transient fault tolerance using PLR(process level redundancy).PLR create a set of redundant process per application process and which are used to comparing their output to ensure a
correct execution. This is mainly used to leverage overhead mechanism.

This paper makes the following contributions:

- Introduces a software-centric transient fault tolerance which execute system correctly
- We differentiate between hardware-centric and software-centric commonly used by sphere of replication (SOR) concept.
- Show how software-centric can be effective in ignoring benign fault.

2. BACKGROUND

Fault can be classified by its effect on execution into following categories:

- Benign fault.
- Silent data corruption (SDC)
- Detected uncoverable error (DUE)

Benign fault. A transient fault that does not propagate to affect the correctness of an application is considered a benign fault.

Silent data corruption (SDC). A transient fault that is undetected and propagates to corrupt program output is considered as SDC.

Detected uncoverable error (DUE). A transient fault that is detected and propagates without the possibility of recovery is considered a DUE.

3. SOFTWARE-CENTRIC FAULT DETECTION

SOR concept is used for defining the boundary of reliability in redundant hardware design.

1. All the inputs are replicated
2. Execution is redundant
3. Output is compared

WHILE the hardware-centric model is appropriate for hardware-implemented techniques, it is awkward to apply the same approach to software. The reason is that software naturally operates at a different level and does not have full visibility into the hardware. However, previous compiler-based approaches effort to simulate a hardware-centric SOR. For example, SWIFT places its SOR, around the processor. Without the ability to control duplication of hardware, SWIFT duplication at the instruction level. Each load is performed twice for input replication and all
computation is performed twice on the repeated inputs. Output comparison is fulfilling by checking the data of each store instruction anterior to executing the store instruction. This particular approach works because it is possible to emulate processor redundancy with redundant instructions. However, other hardware-centric SORs would be impossible to emulate with software. For example, software alone cannot implement an SOR around hardware caches.

4 PROCESS-LEVEL REDUNDANCY (PLR)

- Enforces SOR with input replication and output comparison
- System call emulation
- Detect and recover from transient fault for determinism

Software-implemented. PLR is implemented entirely in software and runs in user space under the application. In this manner, PLR is able to provide transient fault tolerance without requiring modifications to the OS or underlying hardware. In addition, software implementation makes PLR extremely flexible. Applications that must be reliable can be run with PLR, while other applications run regularly.

Software-centric. PLR uses a software-centric approach to fault detection with an SOR around the user space application and its accompanying shared libraries. All user-space executions are redundant and faults are only detected if they result in incorrect data exiting user space. This extends the checking boundaries for fault detection as compared to most other transient fault tolerance techniques and permits a PLR to ignore many benign faults.

Replica-based. PLR uses process replicas to provide redundancy. PLR replicates the entire process virtual address space as well as the process metadata such as file descriptors. In addition, PLR automatically creates and coordinates among the redundant processes to maintain determinism among the processes, detect transient faults, and recover from detected faults. Operating at the process level has a distinct advantage in that processes are also a basic abstraction of the OS. Therefore, PLR can leverage multiple hardware resources such as extra hardware threads or cores by simply allowing the OS to schedule the replicas across all available hardware resources.

4.1 PLR Overview

A overview of the PLR system is shown in PLR gains control of an application before it begins to execute and begins its initialization phase. First, PLR make a monitor process and then initializes metadata including a shared memory segment exploited for interprocess communication. Then, PLR forks the application N times, with N \( \frac{1}{2} \) as the minimum for fault detection and N \( \frac{1}{3} \) as the minimum for fault detection and fault recovery. These processes are the redundant processes, which actually perform the execution of the application.
the redundant processes labeled the master process and the other one labeled as the slave processes. During execution of the redundant processes, the systems call emulation unit co-ordinates system I/O among the redundant processes. In general, the master process is to perform system I/O while the slave processes emulate system I/O. The system call emulation unit also implement the software-centric fault detection model and implements transient fault detection and recovery. A watchdog timer is attached to the system call emulation unit, which is used to detect error in which a fault causes one of the redundant processes to hang indefinitely. After initialization and the redundant processes are created, the original process becomes a figurehead process. The figurehead process does not do whatever work. It only holds for the redundant processes to finish execution and forwards signals to the redundant processes.

In the modified diagram PLR this paper approach three redundant process, this block consist of SRAM, static random access memory, shift register, master and slave process. SRAM is a semiconducting memory that uses a bistable latching circuitry (flip flop) to store each bit. SRAM reveal data reminisce, but it is still volatile in the conventional sense that data is eventually lost when the memory is not powered. Static memory which is mainly used for a storage device it is a permanent storage. In this 6T SRAM it performs word line and bit line operation.

Shift register are a type of sequential logic circuit mainly used for storage. They are group of flip-flops connected in a chain. So that the output from one flip-flop becomes the input of next flip-flop. All the flip-flops are driven by common clock and all are set or reset simultaneously. One of the redundant processes labeled the master process and the other one labeled as the slave processes. Here we used a adder application here two half adder as designed as a full adder which propagates the sum and carry output.

The system call emulation unit also implement the software-centric fault detection model and implements transient fault detection and recovery. A watchdog timer is attached to the system call emulation unit, which is used to detect error in which a fault causes one of the redundant processes to hang indefinitely.

4.2 TRANSIENT FAULT DETECTION AND FAULT RECOVERY

- Output mismatch
- Watchdog timeout
- Program failure

Fault detection. Fault tolerance which allow the system operate correctly even in the presence of faults.

Technique used during service to detect fault using the operating system.

Detection mechanism. Detect as a mismatch of compare buffer on an output comparison.

Recovery mechanism. Use majority vote ensure correct data exist, it kills incorrect process.

4.3 WINDOWS OF VULNERABILITY

- Faults during PLR execution
- Fault during execution of operating system

5. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

FIG.4 - Design and analysis of the transient fault tolerance using PLR

This paper describes a low overhead software based approach. The design consists of SRAM block, shift register, adder, and master and slave process. Top module consist SRAM which is used to store the data. Shift register
we used totally three shift register they are group of flip flops are connected in a chain. All the flip flops are driven by a common clock. Next stage is master and slave process we are designing adder circuit. Watch dog timer which is used to detect the error here designed as a NOR and inverter.

Here have to design the PLR circuit for transient fault design. This circuit consists of four stages

1) Memory block 2) shift register 3) master and slave process 4) watch dog timer

Now we are designing memory in that memory design input signal are given to memory to store the memory value with respect to word line WL. If WL high mean the data will be positive in shift register module or else it will be store as it is. Shift register consists of D flip flop with five bit. Based on input memory will produce the output with respect to clock signal. From the output of shift register is given to master and slave module it will function the full adder. Full adder process designed by using a two half adder.

![Fig.5. RESULTS OF THE FAULT OUTCOME FAULT FREE OUTPUT](image)

Fault free from output of the signal if it error means watch dog timer indicates the error hang indefinitely. If we introduce fault in the design and analysis a transient fault occurs if we given the input WL=0 in memory SRAM there is no input signal is passed through shift register because in SRAM if the word line WL high means then only we get an fault free output due to that we will receive a memory output through the via of shift register get a faulty output.

![Fig.6. RESULT OF THE FAULTY OUTPUT PLR DETECTS THE FAULTS.](image)

6. CONCLUSION

This paper has motivated the necessity for software transient fault tolerance for general purpose microprocessors and proposed PLR as an attractive alternative in emerging multi core processors. By providing redundancy at the process level, PLR leverages to freely schedule the processes to all available hardware resources. In addition, PLR can be deployed without modifications to the application, OS, underlying hardware. A real PLR supporting single-threaded applications is presented and evaluated for fault coverage and performance. Fault injection experiments prove that PLR’s software-centric fault detection model effectively detects faults that safely ignoring benign faults. Present a software implemented transient fault tolerance technique to utilize general purpose hardware with multi cores. PLR performance meiorates upon existing software transient fault tolerance techniques and takes a step toward enabling software fault tolerant solutions with comparable performance to hard-ware techniques.
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