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Abstract:

Wireless device networks have extensive range of application like environmental watching, traffic analysis, plan of action systems and process watching. Developing packet planning algorithms in wireless device networks with efficiency will enhance the delivery of packets through wireless links. Packet planning will guarantee quality of service and improve transmission rate in wireless device networks. It’s the method accustomed chooses that packet to be serviceable or that to be born supported the priority like real time packet and non-real time packet. This paper deals with packet planning algorithms. Wireless device network contains a completely different packet planning strategy and each has their own advantage and disadvantage. This paper proposes a formula which is Energy aware and provides priority primarily based planning which also improve the performance of task scheduling schemes in terms of end to end delay and deadlock prevention.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Wireless device networks is an vast area of research and has many design issues like data aggregation from source node to base station and routing protocols which deals with data transmission, data packet scheduling, sensor energy consumption. Based on above criteria we talk about important concept, Data packet delivery based on priority and fairness with minimum latency. In this paper we will be dealing mainly with packet scheduling based on priority. According to the application, real-time data packet should be given higher priority and non-real-time data packet should be given less priority. Packet scheduling is a process defined as decision making to select or drop the packet. Dropping of packet will depends on some the characteristics of network such as packet size, bandwidth, packet arrival rate, deadline of packet. Scheduler is used to schedule the packets.

Schedulers will have hard time to handle when all packets coming in with high packet rate, when bandwidth is too low and packet size is large. The scheduler will make decision to select the packets based on various algorithms. It is by default that not all packets may reach the base station or destination. Some of the packets may be dropped along the way with respect to the above previously mentioned effect of network characteristics. Some the algorithms have been selected for the survey based on various factors like priority, preemptive, non-preemptive, deadline, packet type and number of queues. Various Packet scheduling algorithms are applied mainly to guarantee packet data quality of service and transmission rate in wireless sensor networks.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Scheduling information packets at device nodes are vital to rank applications of wireless device nodes. Planning information packets as period of time and non-real time at wireless device nodes decreases the process over-head, reduces the end-to-end information transmission delay and saves energy consumptions of packets [4]. Information detected as period of time application are given high priority than non-real time information. There exist wide selection of study and analysis on planning the sleep-wake times of device nodes are performed [1]-[2], however solely a little variety of studies live within the literature on the packet planning of device nodes that schedule the dealing out of information packets conferred at a device node and additionally reduces energy consumptions[5]-[6]. But, most typically used task planning formula in wireless device networks is 1st return 1st Served (FCFS) hardware formula within which the progression of information packets takes place supported point in time and therefore it takes a lot of quantity of your time to be delivered to a applicable base station (BS). However, to be clearer, the detected information ought to reach the bottom station among actual fundamental measure or before the expiration of a point. Additionally thereto, period of time emergency
information ought to be delivered to base station with the minimum attainable end-to-end delay. Hence, the intermediate nodes concern dynamic the delivery order of information packets in their prepared queue supported their significance like real or non-real time data packet and delivery point of packet. However, 1st return 1st serve formula is inefficient with relevancy end-to-end delay and sensors energy consumptions. In existing wireless device networks task planning algorithms don’t settle for traffic dynamics since intermediate nodes would like information order delivery modification in their prepared queue support priorities and delivery deadlines.

Management of information measure is additionally vital and necessary to avoid network congestion and poor performance. Packet planning technique maximizes information measure utilization. The hardware for packet planning ensures that packets are transmitted from the queue buffer. There are wide ranges of planning techniques those embody random planning, spherical robin planning, and priority planning and weighted truthful queuing planning. It emphasizes rules in link-bandwidth sharing. Wireless device networks use truthful queuing planning algorithms for a share of link capability to ensure multiple packet flow [3]. The buffer helps the queuing system; wherever information packets are keep till transmission takes place. In truthful queuing planning technique it accounts for information packet sizes thereby ensures that every flow has equal probability in transmittal equal quantity of information in network. Weighted truthful queuing is one in every of the truthful queuing planning techniques employed in packet planning that permits completely different planning priorities to statistically multiplexed information flows here. Therefore weight is achieved through multiplication of packet size thought of by truthful queuing algorithms with weight inverse for a connected queue. Packet planning formula technique and active queue management service improves network Quality of Service. what is more, most existing packet planning algorithms of wireless device networks are neither dynamic nor appropriate for wide selection of applications since these schedulers are preset and not dynamic however static, and can't be modified in real time to response for modification within the application necessities or environments [7]-[8]. As an example, in an exceedingly ton of period of time applications, a period of time priority hardware can't be modified dynamically at some purpose within they operate and it’s statically employed in wireless device network applications.

### III. ANALYSIS ON DATA PACKET SCHEDULING ALGORITHMS

In this section, we present existing packet or task scheduling schemes by classifying them based on several factors as is illustrated in Figure 1.

Packet programming schemes may be classified supported numerous factors like point in time, priority, kinds of packets and variety of queues. Here during this analysis we'll discuss of these factors.

#### A. Deadline

We need to resourcefully schedule a collection of incoming packets in order that each packet may be transferred to its destination prior its point in time. If there’s no such a schedule exists, then there's got to realize one that permits a most variety of packets to satisfy their deadlines. Packet programming schemes may be classified supported the point in time of arrival of information packets to the bottom station (BS).

First return initial Served (FCFS): Most bestowed wireless sensors networks applications uses initial return initial Served (FCFS) schedulers that method knowledge within the order of their arrival times at the prepared queue. Basically, there's one queue of prepared processes. Relative significance of jobs calculated solely by point (poor choice). The execution of the FCFS policy is solely managed with a primary In initial Out (FIFO) queue. once the method is prepared it enters the ready queue, its method management Block is coupled on to the tail of the queue. In initial return initial Serve, knowledge that arrives late to the intermediate nodes of the network from the distant leaf nodes need plenty of your time to be
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delivered to base station (BS) however knowledge from close neighboring nodes take less time to be processed at the intermediate nodes. In FCFS, several knowledge packets arrive late and so, these packets expertise long waiting times.

Earliest point in time initial (EDF): it’s a dynamic algorithmic program for programming utilized in real time software system to put processes in priority queue. Whenever variety of information Packets area unit out there at the prepared queue and every packet includes a point in time at intervals that it ought to be sent to Base Station, the priority queue can check for the method with nearest point in time and also the knowledge packet that has the earliest point in time is distributed initial. This algorithmic program is taken into account to be economical and best in terms of average packet waiting time and finish-to-end delay.

We study from the analysis work done by Lu C. et al.[10] proposes a period communication design for large-scale sensing element networks, whereby they use a priority-based computer hardware. Data that have cosmopolitan the longest distance from the supply node to Base Station and have the shortest point in time, area unit prioritized. If the point in time of a selected task expires, the relevant knowledge packets area unit born at associate degree intermediate node. although this approach reduces network traffic and processing overhead, it's not economical since it consumes resources like memory and computation power and will increase process delay. The performance of the theme may be improved by incorporating FCFS.

Mizanian et al. [11] planned RACE, packet programming policy and routing algorithmic program for period massive scale sensing element networks that uses a loop-free Bellman-Ford algorithmic program to seek out ways with the minimum traffic load and delay between supply and destination. RACE uses the Earliest point in time initial (EDF) programming thought to send packets with earliest point in time. It additionally uses a prioritized raincoat protocol that modifies the initial wait time once the channel becomes idle and also the back-off window will increase the perform of the IEEE 802.11 normal. Priority queues actively drop packets whose deadlines have terminated to avoid wasting network resources. However, native prioritization at every individual node in RACE isn’t ample as a result of packets from completely different senders will contend against one another for a shared radio channel.

B. Priority:

Priority Packet programming schemes may be classified supported the priority of information packets that area unit perceived at completely different sensing element nodes in prepared queue. Priority programming may be classified into 2 sorts as preventative and non-preemptive programming. Once a packet knowledge arrives at the prepared queue of the computer hardware, its priority is compared with the priority of the presently running knowledge packet within the queue.

Non-preemptive programming: In non-preemptive priority packet scheduling, once a packet p1 starts execution, task p1 carries on although a better priority packet p2 than the presently running packet p1 arrives at the prepared queue, so p2 needs to wait within the prepared queue till the execution of p1 is complete.

Preemptive programming: during this preventative priority packet scheduling, higher priority packets area unit processed initial and so it'll preempt lower priority packets by saving the context of lower priority packets if they’re already running.

Min Y.U. et al. [12] gift packet programming mechanisms that area unit utilized in little OS [8], [13] - the wide used operative system of WSN and classify them as either cooperative or preventative. Cooperative programming schemes may be supported a dynamic priority programming mechanism, like EDF and adjustable Double Ring programming (ADRS) [14] that uses 2 queues with completely different priorities. The computer hardware dynamically switches between the 2 queues supported the point in time of fresh arrived packets. If the deadlines of 2 packets area unit completely different, the shorter point in time packet would be placed within the higher-priority queue and also the longer point in time packet would be placed into the lower-priority one. Cooperative schedulers in TinyOS area unit appropriate for applications with restricted system resources and with no onerous period needs. On the opposite hand, preventative programming may be supported the Emergency Task initial Rate Monotonic (EF-RM) theme. EF-RM is associate degree extension to Rate Monotonic
(RM), a static priority programming, whereby the shortest-deadline job has the very best priority. EF-RM divides WSN tasks into amount Tasks, (PT) whose priorities area unit determined by a RM algorithmic program, and non-period tasks, that have higher priority than PTs and might interrupt, whenever needed, a running Pt.

C. Packet Type:

Packet kind Packet programming schemes may be classified supported the kinds of information packets, that area unit as follows. Period packet scheduling: PACKets at sensing element nodes ought to be regular supported their sorts and priorities. Period knowledge packets area unit unit thought of because the highest priority packets among all knowledge packets within the prepared queue. Hence, they're processed with the very best priority and delivered to the BS with a minimum potential end-to-end delay.

Non-real-time packet scheduling: Non-real time packets have lower priority than period tasks. they're thus delivered to BS either victimization initial return initial serve or shortest job initial basis once no period packet exists at the prepared queue of a sensing element node. These packets may be intuitively preempted by period packets. although packet programming mechanisms of TinyOS area unit straightforward and area unit used extensively in sensing element nodes, they cannot be applied to any or all applications: because of the long execution time of bound knowledge packets, period packets may well be placed into starvation. Moreover, the info queue may be stuffed up terribly quickly if native data packets area unit a unit of frequent that causes the discard of period packets from different nodes. To eliminate these drawbacks, Zhao Y. [7] planned associate degree improved priority-based soft period packet programming algorithmic program. Schedulers traverse the waiting queue for the info packets and opt for the tiniest packet ID because the highest priority to execute. every packet is allotted associate degree Execute Counter, EXECUTE soap TIME, i.e., the most important initial task execution time. The management element compares the present packet ID with the previous packet ID. If it's constant, the system executes it and decrements the enumeration variable. Otherwise, if the enumeration variable is null, the management element terminates this packet and different packets get the chance to be dead. However, packet

priorities area unit determined throughout the compilation section that can not be modified throughout the execution time. If high priority packets area unit perpetually in execution, the low priority packets cannot be enforced. If low-priority packets occupy the resources for a protracted time, the following high-priority packets cannot get response in time.

D. Number of Queue:

Variety of Queue Packet programming schemes can even be classified supported the amount of levels within the prepared queue of a sensing element node. This area unit as follows. Single Queue: every sensing element node includes a single prepared queue. (all kinds|all kinds|every kind|every type|all sorts) of information packets enter the prepared queue and area unit regular supported completely different criteria: type, priority, size, etc. Single queue programming includes a high starvation rate. Multi-level Queue: every node has 2 or a lot of queues. Knowledge packets area unit unit placed into the various queues in keeping with their priorities and kinds. Thus, programming has 2 phases: (i) allocating tasks among completely different queues, (ii) programming packets in every queue. the amount of queues at a node depends on the extent of the node within the network. as an example, a node at the bottom level or a leaf node includes a minimum variety of queues while a node at the higher levels has a lot of queues to scale back end-to-end knowledge transmission delay and balance network energy consumptions.

To eliminate issues in [7] Lee et al. [9] propose a construction queue computer hardware theme that uses a distinct variety of queues in keeping with the placement of sensing element nodes within the network. This approach uses 2 styles of scheduling: straightforward priority-based and multi-FIFO queue-based. Within the former, knowledge enters the prepared queue in keeping with priority however this programming additionally includes a high starvation rate. The multi-FIFO queue is split into a most of 3 queues, looking on the placement of the node within the network. If the bottom level is, nodes that area unit placed at level have just one queue however there area unit 2 queues for nodes at level. Every queue has its
Akbari B. [15] additionally proposes a priority queue programming algorithmic program for Wireless transmission sensing element Nodes. During this programming theme, buffer area of intermediate nodes is split into four queues to carry 3 differing types of video frames and one regular knowledge frames. Knowledge within the initial 3 queues has the very best priority and area unit regular in spherical robin programming fashion. Knowledge within the fourth queue is transmitted once the primary 3 queues area unit empty. However, these programming schemes don't think about variable variety of queues supported the position of sensing element nodes to scale back the end-to-end delay.

IV. DEAD-LINE AWARE MULTILEVEL PRIORITY PACKET SCHEDULING:

We propose a Dead line aware construction priority packet programming technique. Within the planned technique, every node excluding those at the last level of topology of Wireless detector Network (WSN) has 3 levels of priority queues.

Period packets area unit sited into the highest-priority queue and may preempt knowledge packets in alternative queues. Non-real-time packets area unit sited into 2 alternative queues supported an exact threshold of their expected interval. Leaf nodes contain 2 queues for period and non-real-time knowledge packets since they are doing not get knowledge from alternative nodes and so, decrease finish-to-end delay. Together with this the detector will check whether or not expire packets area unit buffered or not, if buffered then node deletes dead packet.

V. DEADLOCK AVOIDANCE METHOD

If a real-time task holds the resources for a longer period of time, other tasks need to wait for an undefined period time, causing the occurrence of a deadlock. This deadlock situation degrades the performance of task scheduling schemes in terms of end to end delay. This requires that the system has some information available up front. Each process declares the maximum number of resources of each type which it may need. This method is concerned about the number of available and allocated
resources, and the maximum possible demands of the processes. When a process requests an available resource, the system must decide if immediate allocation leaves the system in a safe state.

VI. RESULTS:

The performance of the proposed packet scheduling scheme is evaluated, comparing it against the FCFS and DMP. The comparison is made in terms of average packet waiting time and end-to-end transmission delay of data. The proposed Dead line aware multilevel priority packet scheduling scheme allows different types of data packets to be processed based on their properties. Since real-time and emergency data should be processed with the minimum end-to-end delay, they are processed with the highest priority and can preempt tasks with lower priorities located in the other queues. Every individual task has a separate ID and real time task will preside over the first task. To give importance to the non-real time tasks and avoid massive delay, power saving method is proposed.
VII. CONCLUSION:

Wireless detector networks give a lot of convenience, simple use and straightforward maintenance than typical wired network. During this paper numerous packet programming algorithms are evaluated. Every formula aims at providing completely different QoS parameters like increasing fairness, minimizing end-to-end delay, increasing output and undefeated packet transmission. Packet programming mistreatment multiple queues is that the analyzed and dead line aware construction priority packet programming formula shows higher performance than all the remaining protocols.
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