COMPARATIVE STUDY OF R.C.C STRUCTURE FOR EARTHQUAKE LOAD USING LIGHT WEIGHT BUILDING MATERIAL

Pooja K. Pardakhe\textsuperscript{1} \quad \text{Prof. M. R. Nalamwar}\textsuperscript{2}

\textsuperscript{1} M.E Student \quad J.C.O.E.T, Yavatmal, INDIA.
\textsuperscript{2} Professor \quad J.C.O.E.T, Yavatmal, INDIA.

\section*{ABSTRACT}

Although building techniques and materials have evolved over thousands of years, construction is still a long, complex, and expensive process. Construction industry boom can be seen in almost all the developing countries. With the increase in material costs in the construction industry, there is a need to find more cost saving alternatives so as to maintain the cost of constructing houses at prices affordable to people. There is need to develop an alternative system of building component which would impart more benefits and are multifunctional with optimum use of labor and material. This project presents brief analysis of building for G+4 & G+7 by using Red brick, CLC block and AAC block with and without considering earthquake forces for zone III. Earthquake load calculation is also done for the structure in which earthquake forces are considered. Cost analysis is made by using Red brick, CLC & AAC block and overall modeling and analysis is done by using STAAD-Pro software to known the various bending moment and shear force acting on a building. By using AAC block and CLC block the overall cost of construction is reduce and it will be safe and economical in earthquake forces also.
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\section*{INTRODUCTION}

A building can be defined as an enclosed structure intended for human occupancy. However, a building includes the structure itself and nonstructural components (e.g., cladding, roofing, interior walls and ceilings, HVAC systems, electrical systems) permanently attached to and supported by the structure. The scope of the provisions provides recommended seismic design criteria for all buildings except detached one- and two-family dwellings located in zones of relatively low seismic activity and agricultural structures (e.g., barns and storage sheds) that are only intended to have incidental human occupancy. The provisions also specify seismic design criteria for nonstructural components in buildings that can be subjected to intense levels of ground shaking. The structure in high seismic areas may be susceptible and efficient to severe damage. Along with gravity load structure has to withstand to lateral load which can develop high stresses. Now a days, light weight structures system is also used to resist lateral load due to earthquake, wind etc. the light building reduces the self weight of the structure and hence the lateral load acting on the building is also reduced. Conventional construction utilizes structural members that depend on size for their strength. The greater the span for a structural member the larger it has to be to support to a given load. Lightweight construction does not derive its strength from their size and obtained from multiple
members that are in compression and in tension. And it also reduces the mass/ span ration. The light weight building materials are AAC bricks, rapid walls, fabric materials and other alternative material are also available in market. Autoclaved Aerated Concrete (AAC) is a certified green building material, which can be used for commercial, industrial and residential construction. It is porous, non-toxic, reusable, renewable and recyclable. AAC block are solid units they weigh about half a normal brick Makes productive use of recycled industrial waste (fly ash). Non-polluting manufacturing process, does not exude gases, total energy consumption for producing Biltech ACE is less than ½ of what it takes to produce other building material.

In this, system will compare R.C.C structure and light weight R.C.C structure by using alternative light weight building material (AAC, CLC bricks). Both the structures are of G+4 & G+7 commercial building with same grid and the building are located in same earthquake zones i.e. Zone III (IS-1893-2002) and live load on both the building is same as per IS-875 part 2. The parameter user has studied is to investigate the,  
1) Bending moment, shear force, torsion, axial load  
2) Cost of the building  
3) Construction time  
4) Earthquake loads  
5) Carbon emission  
Detailed analysis and design of a building for different earthquake zones will be done by Computer aided analysis software i.e. (STAAD-pro) where cost estimation will be carried out using MS-Excel programming. From obtained results system will compare all the parameters between R.C.C structure and light weight R.C.C structure.  
ANALYSIS REPORT  
After applying all the loads acting on a building we will get the analysis values of bending moment in a beam and axial load on column. After knowing the analysis results user will finalize the section for beam and column. For sample finalization of the section of beam and column for their respective bending moments and axial load we take two frame i.e. Frame-F (for beam section) & Frame-2 (For column section) of Model-A & Model-F i.e. Frame-F is in X-direction & Frame-02 is in Z- direction as shown in figure no.6.1.
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After the analysis process is done we get bending moment and axial load values and diagram as shown in figure 6.3.

For example:- we take a beam no 35 for finalization on beam section. Figure 6.4 shows the maximum bending moments on beam 35 i.e B.M = 117 kn/m

Sample calculation for beam:-

**FRAME - F**

THE MAXIMUM BENDING MOMENT IS = 117 KN/M

ASSUME width of beam (b) = 300mm

Therefore,

\[ d = \frac{Nu}{R_e b} \]

\[ = \frac{117 \times 10^6}{2.76 \times 300} \]

\[ = 375 \text{ mm} \]

CLEAR COVER for beam = 20 mm

\[ D = 375 + 20 \]

\[ D = 395 \text{ mm} \]

\[ D = 450 \text{ mm} \]

For B.M = 117 kn/m the beam section finalized is 300 mm x 450mm. And same beam section is assign to beam 35 in model processing. Refer the beam table in with for beam no 35 the 6 no property is assign. And in section properties table property no. 06 is Rect 0.3 x 0.45 mm. Hence assign property is correct. And in similar manner all the beam section are calculated and assign to respective beam in the frame.

**MODEL-A**

(Frame 02)

![Image](image_url)
After the analysis process is done we get bending moment and axial load values and diagram as shown in figure 6.6.

For example: we take a node no 170 for finalization on column section. Figure 6.7 shows the maximum axial load on column 170 i.e Pu = 4485 kn

SAMPLE CALCULATION:-
The axial load on the node 170 is, 
Pu = 4496 KN
ASSUMING 1% OF STEEL
Area of longitudinal reinforcement of column is
ASC = 0.01 Ag
Area of concrete is
Ac = Ag - Asc
= Ag - 0.015 Ag
= 0.999 Ag
Using clause of IS - 456:2000
Pu = 0.4 x Fck x Ac + 0.67 x Fy x Asc
4496 x 10^3 = 0.4 x 20 x 0.999 Ag + 0.67 x 415 x 0.01 Ag
Ag = 419452.88 mm^2
ASSUME b = 500 mm
Ag = b x D
383546.71 = 500 x D
D = 838.90 mm
D = 850 mm

For axial load = 4485 kn the column section finalized is 500 mm x 850 mm. And same column section is assign to column 170 in model processing. Refer the beam table in with for beam no 170 the 2 no property is assign. And in section properties table property no. 06 is Rect 0.5 x 0.85 mm. Hence assign property is correct. And in similar manner all the column section are calculated and assign to respective beam in the frame.
After getting the results of bending moment for beam no35, we observed that the Bending moment for model - A is 117 Kn/m and it reduces to 84.3 Kn/m for model - B. From that we can say that as the material change i.e. RB changes by CLC brick bending moment occur in beam is less as compare to RB and simultaneously the section required for model - B is less than model - A.

Similarly, the bending moment for model - C is also less i.e. 74..3 Kn/m which is less than model - A & model - B. Hence the section required for beam is also less. For earthquake design also we observed the same think that is the bending moment for model - D is greater as compare to model - E & model - F.

### 6.2.2 AXIAL LOAD FOR NODE 170

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MODEL</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+VE BM</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-VE BM</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>74.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: +VE & -VE BM VALUES FOR BEAM NO.35
After getting the results of axial load for node no 170, we observed that the axial load for model – A is 4510 Kn and it reduces to 3884 Kn for model- B. From that results we can say that as the material change i.e. RB changes by CLC brick the axial load occur in column is less as compare to RB and simultaneously the section required for model- B is less than model- A. Similarly, the axial load for model- C is also less i.e. 3791 Kn which is less than model- A & model- B. Hence the section required for beam is also less. For earthquake design also we observed the same think that is the bending moment for model-D is greater as compare to model-E & model-F.

7.2 RESULTS & DISCUSSION:-

Table 2: AXIAL LOADS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MODEL</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pu</td>
<td>4510</td>
<td>3884</td>
<td>3791</td>
<td>4576</td>
<td>3869</td>
<td>3783</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GRAPH NO.1 :: QUANTITY OF CONCRETE FOR G+7

GRAPH NO.2 :: QUANTITY OF CONCRETE FOR G+4
GRAPH NO.3 :- OVERALL COST FOR G+7

GRAPH NO. 4 :- OVERALL COST FOR G+4

GRAPH NO. 5 :- TOTAL FRAME COST FOR G+7

GRAPH NO. 6 :- TOTAL FRAME COST FOR G+4
From the above graph we will observed that the overall cost for a building by using rb is greater as compare to the AAC & CLC brick. in AAC block there will be a 33% overall cost is reduced as compare to RB. And in CLC block the 25% overall is ccost is reduce as compare to the RB.

By using AAC blocks & CLC blocks their will be a consumsion on concrete and steel as compare to RB. In AAc block 20% concrete and steel will be consumed than that of RB & in CLC block 25% of concrete and steel is consumed than that of RB. Hence there will be a less carbon emission in the environment. Hence use of light weight block in a construction is economical and time saving.
CONCLUSION

1. As per the observation of bending moment diagram, it is found that the bending moment in model B & C loaded with light weight material without earthquake load is reduced by 33.8% and 38% as compared to model-A loaded with RB respectively.
2. For model- E & F loaded with light weight material with earthquake load, Bending moment and shear force is also reduced as compare to model-D loaded with RB with earthquake load.
3. As per IS standard 1893, part 1, 2002, earthquake load calculation using Red brick and light weight is done. It is observed that base shear for Red brick is greater as compared to the light weight brick by 22.5%.
4. Total Cost of R.C.C frame loaded with Red brick is Rs.26291696.8 and for AAC block is Rs.20439279.62 which is less as compared to the Red brick.
5. For G+4 R.C.C. Frame cost of Red Brick is Rs.3208/-Sqmt and for AAC block cost is Rs.2921.18/Sqmt, which is 9% less.
6. For G+7 R.C.C Frame cost of red brick is Rs 2900 /sqmtr and for AAC block cost is Rs. 2601.2/sqmtr, which is 10% less.
7. The cost of per mtr sqm of G+4 structure is more as compared to G+7 structure.
8. Hence AAC block masonry was found to be economical as compared to conventional Red brick for static and Earthquake loading.
9. Due to reduction of concrete consumption and steel consumption carbon foot prints are reduced.
10. Due to less thermal conductivity of AAC block, internal environment of construction will be cool and will require less energy for cooling and heating. As per the observation of bending moment diagram, it is found that the bending moment in model B & C loaded with light weight material without earthquake load is reduced as compared to model-A loaded with RB.
11. Similarly for model- E & F loaded with light weight material with earthquake load is also reduced as compare to model-D loaded with RB with earthquake load.

LIMITATIONS

This project is limited for the following:-

1) Grade of concrete is M-20 and steel is Fe-415
2) For earthquake zone-III
3) For G+4 & G+7 building

SCOPE OF FUTURE STUDY

In this project Comparative study of R.C.C structure for earthquake load using light weight building material for G+4 & G+7 in zone III by using M-20 concrete & Fe-415 steel is done. This project can be used in future by changing floors of building, also change the zone of earthquake. And design is also done for building.
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