Adaptive Margin Strategies and Loss Function Variants in Triplet Learning: A Comprehensive Survey

Aswathy K R[1], Ligi Achuthan[2]

[1] Assistant Professor, Department of CSE, College of Engineering Munnar, Kerala ,India
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of CSE, College Of Engineering Munnar,Kerala,India

---***---

Abstract - *Deep metric learning has emerged as a fundamental paradigm in modern computer vision and pattern recognition tasks, including content-based image retrieval (CBIR), face recognition, person re-identification, and remote sensing image analysis. Among various metric learning approaches, triplet loss has gained widespread adoption due to its ability to directly model relative similarity relationships between samples. Specifically, it enforces a constraint that ensures semantically similar samples are closer in the embedding space than dissimilar ones. However, conventional triplet loss relies on a fixed margin, which often leads to suboptimal performance due to variations in sample difficulty, intra-class variability, and complex data distributions. A fixed margin cannot adapt to diverse training scenarios, resulting in inefficient learning and slow convergence. To address these limitations, recent research has focused on adaptive margin strategies, where the margin is dynamically adjusted based on sample characteristics such as distance relationships, semantic similarity, and gradient behavior [13], [14].In parallel, several advanced loss functions, including N-pair loss [3], lifted structured loss [4], proxy-based loss [5], and circle loss [8], have been proposed to overcome the limitations of triplet sampling and improve convergence efficiency. These approaches provide more robust optimization frameworks and enhance embedding quality in large-scale and complex datasets. This survey presents a comprehensive review of adaptive margin strategies and triplet-based loss function variants. It analyzes their mathematical formulations, advantages, and limitations, and discusses their applications in CBIR and remote sensing domains [18]. Furthermore, key research challenges and future directions are identified, particularly in the context of multi-label learning and large-scale metric learning systems*

Key Words: **Triplet Loss, Adaptive Margin, Metric Learning, Deep Learning, CBIR, Remote Sensing**

1.INTRODUCTION

Deep metric learning aims to learn a transformation function that maps input data into a feature embedding space where semantically similar samples are positioned closer together, while dissimilar samples are pushed farther apart. This paradigm plays a crucial role in similarity-based tasks such as image retrieval, face verification, visual search, and clustering. Among various metric learning approaches, triplet loss has emerged as one of the most influential techniques due to its ability to explicitly model relative similarity constraints. Triplet loss was popularized by the FaceNet framework [1], where it demonstrated remarkable performance in face recognition by learning highly discriminative embeddings. The triplet loss framework operates on triplets consisting of an anchor sample (a) ,a positive sample (p) belonging to the same class ,a negative sample (n**)** belonging to a different class The objective is to enforce a constraint such

$$d(a,p) + m < d(a,n)$$

where $d(\cdot)$is a distance metric and $m$is the margin. This ensures that the anchor is closer to the positive sample than to the negative sample by at least a margin.

1.1 Limitations of Conventional Triplet Loss

Despite its effectiveness, traditional triplet loss suffers from several inherent limitations that hinder its performance in complex and large-scale datasets.

1.1.1 Fixed Margin Limitation

One of the most critical limitations is the use of a fixed margin. A constant margin assumes that all triplets require the same degree of separation, which is unrealistic in practice. Real-world datasets often exhibit varying levels of difficulty: Easy samples are already well separated, requiring small margins Hard samples are overlapping or ambiguous, requiring larger margins .Using a fixed margin leads to inefficient learning, as it cannot adapt to these variations.

This results in slower convergence and suboptimal embedding structures [11].

1.1.2 Triplet Sampling Problem

Another major challenge is the dependence on triplet sampling. The number of possible triplets grows cubically with the dataset size, making exhaustive training infeasible. Therefore, selecting informative triplets becomes essential. Triplets can be categorized as Easy triplets that contribute little to learning .Hard triplets may introduce noise and instability .Semi-hard triplets provide meaningful gradients FaceNet [1] introduced semi-hard mining, but identifying such triplets requires additional computational overhead. Improper sampling can significantly degrade model performance.

1.1.3 Inefficient Learning and Convergence

In fixed-margin triplet loss, once a triplet satisfies the margin constraint, it no longer contributes to the loss. This results in a large number of inactive triplets, reducing the efficiency of training and slowing down convergence [11].

1.1.4 Lack of Semantic Awareness

Traditional triplet loss relies purely on distance-based relationships and does not consider higher-level semantic information. This becomes a major limitation in multi-label datasets ,remote sensing images ,complex visual scenes .Samples with partial semantic similarity are treated as completely dissimilar, leading to poor embedding quality.

1.2 Motivation for Adaptive Margin and Advanced Loss Functions

To overcome these limitations, recent research has focused on improving triplet learning through two main directions:

1.Adaptive Margin Strategies

Adaptive margin methods dynamically adjust the margin based on sample characteristics. For example: Distance-based adaptive margin [13] ,Gradient-aware adaptive margin [14] .These approaches allow the model to handle varying sample difficulty ,improve convergence and reduce dependence on sampling

2. Advanced Loss Functions

Several loss functions have been proposed to address inefficiencies in triplet learning. N-pair loss improves convergence using multiple negatives [3] .Lifted structured loss utilizes all pairwise relationships [4] .Proxy-based loss reduces computational complexity [5] .Multi-similarity loss and circle loss provide unified optimization frameworks [7], [8] .These methods enhance scalability and embedding quality.

1.3 Applications in CBIR and Remote Sensing

Triplet learning has been widely adopted in CBIR systems, where the goal is to retrieve images similar to a query image. In remote sensing, datasets are large and complex, making metric learning essential. For instance, the TLDCNN model proposed in [18] demonstrates how triplet loss can be used to learn compact and discriminative features for remote sensing image retrieval.

1.4 Contributions

This paper provides a comprehensive overview of adaptive margin strategies and loss function variants in triplet learning. The main contributions are detailed analysis of conventional triplet loss and its limitations ,a comprehensive review of adaptive margin techniques [13]–[15] ,an overview of advanced loss functions [3]–[8] ,a discussion of applications in CBIR and remote sensing [18], [19] ,identification of research gaps and future directions

2. BACKGROUND ON TRIPLET LOSS

Triplet loss is defined as:

$$L = \max\left(d(a,p) - d(a,n) + m, 0\right)$$

where a, p, and $n$represent the anchor, positive, and negative samples, respectively.The goal is to ensure:

$$d(a,p) + m < d(a,n)$$

This constraint enforces a structured embedding space where intra-class distances are minimized and inter-class distances are maximized.

2.1 Limitations of Fixed Margin (Summary)

It does not adapt to sample difficulty, leads to inactive triplets ,slows down convergence ,ignores semantic relationships .These limitations motivate the need for adaptive margin strategies and advanced loss functions, which are discussed in the following sections.

3. ADAPTIVE MARGIN STRATEGIES

To address the limitations of fixed-margin triplet loss, adaptive margin strategies have been proposed as an effective enhancement in deep metric learning. Unlike conventional approaches that employ a constant margin for all triplets, adaptive margin methods dynamically adjust the margin based on sample characteristics such as distance relationships, semantic similarity, and gradient behavior. This enables the model to better handle variations in data distribution and sample difficulty, resulting in improved convergence and embedding quality.

The core motivation behind adaptive margin learning lies in the observation that different triplets require different levels of separation. Easy triplets, which are already well separated in the embedding space, do not require large margins, whereas hard triplets with overlapping features require stronger constraints. By dynamically adjusting the margin, adaptive methods provide a more flexible and efficient learning framework

3.1 Distance-Based Adaptive Margin

Distance-based adaptive margin strategies adjust the margin according to the relative distances between anchor, positive, and negative samples. The key idea is to assign larger margins to harder samples and smaller margins to easier ones, thereby enforcing appropriate separation in the embedding space.A representative approach in this category is the adaptive margin triplet loss proposed in [13]. In this method, the margin is derived from the relative rating or similarity differences between samples. Instead of enforcing a uniform margin, the model learns an embedding space that reflects the underlying similarity distribution of the data.One of the major advantages of this approach is its ability to preserve relative relationships between samples rather than enforcing strict binary constraints. This leads to more meaningful embeddings, especially in scenarios where similarity is continuous rather than discrete. Furthermore, as reported in [13], distance-based adaptive margin improves training stability and reduces the risk of model collapse, which can occur when the network converges to trivial solutions.Another important benefit is the reduced reliance on complex triplet mining strategies. Since the margin itself encodes the difficulty of each triplet, the model can effectively utilize a larger portion of the training data.

3.2 Gradient-Based Adaptive Margin

Gradient-based adaptive margin strategies extend the concept of adaptive margin by incorporating gradient information into the learning process. One of the most notable methods in this category is AdaTriplet [14], which introduces a gradient-aware triplet loss framework. In AdaTriplet, the contribution of each triplet to the loss function is dynamically adjusted based on its difficulty. Hard triplets generate larger gradients and thus have a stronger influence on model updates, while easy triplets contribute less. This adaptive weighting mechanism allows the model to focus on informative samples without requiring explicit triplet mining.

Additionally, AdaTriplet introduces an AutoMargin mechanism that automatically adjusts the margin during training. This eliminates the need for manual hyperparameter tuning, which is often a challenging and time-consuming process in traditional triplet learning.

The advantages of gradient-based adaptive margin include faster convergence ,improved optimization stability ,reduced dependency on sampling strategies and better handling of hard and semi-hard triplets .Experimental results in [14] demonstrate that this approach significantly improves performance in complex applications such as medical image retrieval and large-scale visual recognition.

3.3 Symmetric Adaptive Margin Learning

Traditional triplet loss focuses primarily on anchor-centric relationships, i.e., the distances between anchor-positive and anchor-negative pairs. However, it does not explicitly consider the relationship between positive and negative samples. This limitation can lead to suboptimal embedding structures. To address this issue, symmetric metric learning with adaptive margin has been proposed in [15]. This approach incorporates additional constraints that consider all pairwise relationships within a triplet, including positive-negative interactions. By introducing symmetric constraints, the model ensures consistent separation between all samples in the embedding space. The adaptive margin further enhances this process by adjusting the separation based on data characteristics and user-defined similarity measures. This approach is particularly beneficial in applications such as recommendation systems and ranking tasks, where relationships among all samples play a crucial role. As shown in [15], symmetric adaptive margin learning improves feature discrimination and generalization performance.

3.4 Adaptive Margin in Cross-Domain Learning

Adaptive margin strategies have also been extended to domain adaptation scenarios, where the goal is to align feature distributions across different domains. Domain shifts are common in real-world applications, such as remote sensing images captured from different sensors or geographical regions. In [17], triplet loss is integrated into a domain adaptation framework using a similarity-guided constraint. This approach ensures that samples belonging to the same class but originating from different domains are mapped closer in the embedding space, while samples from different classes are separated. Adaptive margin plays a crucial role in this framework by dynamically adjusting the separation constraints based on domain-specific characteristics. This allows the model to better handle variations in data distribution and improves cross-domain generalization. Such methods highlight the flexibility of adaptive margin strategies in addressing complex real-world challenges.

3.5 Discussion

Adaptive margin strategies provide significant improvements over traditional fixed-margin triplet loss. Their key advantages include dynamic adjustment of separation constraints, improved handling of hard and semi-hard samples ,reduced dependency on complex triplet mining ,enhanced convergence speed and training stability ,better generalization across different datasets and domains . Most existing adaptive margin methods rely on heuristic measures such as distance or gradient information, which may not fully capture complex semantic relationships. This limitation becomes particularly significant in multi-label datasets, where samples may share partial similarities across multiple classes. Furthermore, designing optimal margin functions that generalize across different tasks and datasets remains an open research problem. Future work should focus on integrating semantic information, label correlations, and attention mechanisms into margin adaptation.

4. TRIPLET LOSS VARIANTS

Although conventional triplet loss provides an effective framework for learning discriminative embeddings, its performance is often limited by issues such as inefficient sampling, slow convergence, and lack of global context. To overcome these challenges, several variants and extensions of triplet loss have been proposed. These approaches aim to enhance feature representation by incorporating additional relationships among samples, improving robustness, and increasing training efficiency.

4.1 Dual-Anchor Triplet Loss

One significant extension of the standard triplet framework is the dual-anchor triplet loss, which enhances the learning process by incorporating additional relational constraints. Unlike conventional triplet loss that considers a single anchor, this approach utilizes multiple anchors or symmetric relationships to better capture the structure of the embedding space.In [16], a dual-anchor triplet loss is introduced within a triplet nonlocal neural network for remote sensing image retrieval. The key idea is to exploit relationships not only between anchor-positive and anchor-negative pairs but also among all samples within a triplet. This enables the model to utilize richer contextual information during training.

By introducing additional constraints, dual-anchor triplet loss improves feature discrimination and ensures more consistent separation across different classes. Moreover, it helps reduce intra-class variability while increasing inter-class separability, which is crucial for high-resolution remote sensing datasets.

However, the increased number of constraints also introduces additional computational complexity. Despite this, experimental results in [16] demonstrate significant improvements in retrieval accuracy compared to traditional triplet loss.

4.2 Triplet Loss for Domain Adaptation

Another important extension of triplet learning is its application in domain adaptation, where the goal is to align feature distributions across different domains. Domain shifts, such as variations in sensor types, environmental conditions, or imaging perspectives, can significantly degrade model performance. In [17], triplet loss is integrated into a domain adaptation framework using a similarity-guided constraint. This approach ensures that samples belonging to the same class but originating from different domains are mapped closer in the embedding space, while maintaining separation from samples of different classes. Unlike traditional domain adaptation techniques that focus on global distribution alignment, this method emphasizes class-level alignment. By leveraging triplet relationships, it enhances intra-class compactness and inter-class separability across domains. This approach is particularly beneficial in applications such as remote sensing and medical imaging, where domain variations are common. The results in [17] show that incorporating triplet loss significantly improves cross-domain generalization.

4.3 Low-Dimensional Triplet Learning

High-dimensional embeddings often lead to increased computational cost and memory requirements, especially in large-scale retrieval systems. To address this issue, low-dimensional triplet learning approaches have been proposed.In [18], a Triplet Low-Dimensional Convolutional Neural Network (TLDCNN) is introduced for remote sensing image retrieval. The primary objective of this approach is to learn compact feature representations while preserving discriminative power.By combining triplet loss with dimensionality reduction techniques, TLDCNN achieves a balance between efficiency and accuracy. The resulting embeddings are not only computationally efficient but also effective for similarity-based retrieval tasks.This approach is particularly useful in large-scale CBIR systems, where storage and computation are critical constraints. Experimental results in [18] demonstrate that low-dimensional embeddings can achieve comparable or even superior performance compared to high-dimensional representations.

5. ADVANCED LOSS FUNCTIONS

While triplet loss and its variants have been widely used, they still suffer from limitations such as slow convergence and reliance on triplet sampling. To overcome these challenges, several alternative loss functions have been proposed. These methods aim to improve optimization efficiency, scalability, and embedding quality.

5.1 Contrastive Loss

Contrastive loss [2] is one of the earliest approaches in metric learning. It operates on pairs of samples rather than triplets, minimizing the distance between similar pairs and maximizing the distance between dissimilar pairs. Although contrastive loss is simpler than triplet loss, it does not explicitly model relative relationships among multiple samples. This limits its effectiveness in complex scenarios where ranking relationships are important.

5.2 N-pair Loss

N-pair loss [3] extends the triplet loss framework by considering multiple negative samples simultaneously. Instead of comparing a single negative sample, it compares one positive sample against multiple negatives within a batch. This formulation eliminates the need for explicit triplet mining and improves convergence speed. By leveraging multiple negative samples, N-pair loss provides stronger supervision and more stable optimization.

5.3 Lifted Structured Loss

Lifted structured loss [4] further extends metric learning by utilizing all pairwise relationships within a batch. This approach considers both positive and negative pairs simultaneously, providing a more comprehensive learning signal. By incorporating multiple relationships, lifted structured loss improves embedding quality and reduces the reliance on carefully selected triplets. However, the increased number of pairwise comparisons leads to higher computational complexity.

5.4 Proxy-Based Loss

Proxy-based loss [5] introduces class representatives, known as proxies, to simplify the learning process. Instead of comparing individual samples, the model compares samples with their corresponding proxies. This approach significantly reduces computational complexity and eliminates the need for explicit triplet or pair sampling. As a result, proxy-based methods are highly scalable and suitable for large datasets. However, the use of proxies may reduce fine-grained relationships between individual samples, which can affect performance in certain tasks.

5.5 Multi-Similarity Loss

Multi-similarity loss [7] combines multiple similarity measures into a unified framework. It assigns adaptive weights to different sample pairs based on their importance, allowing the model to focus on informative relationships. This approach improves training efficiency and provides better performance compared to traditional loss functions. It also reduces sensitivity to sampling strategies.

5.6 Circle Loss

Circle loss [8] introduces a unified optimization framework that assigns adaptive weighting factors to both positive and negative pairs. Unlike traditional loss functions, it dynamically adjusts the importance of each pair based on similarity scores. This flexibility allows circle loss to effectively optimize similarity relationships in the embedding space, leading to improved performance across various tasks.

5.7 Discussion

Advanced loss functions offer several advantages over traditional triplet loss. Advantages are faster convergence and improved optimization ,reduced dependency on triplet sampling ,better scalability for large datasets ,enhanced embedding discrimination .However, these methods often introduce additional hyperparameters and increased computational complexity. Selecting the appropriate loss function depends on the specific application and dataset characteristics.

6. APPLICATIONS

Triplet learning and its variants have been extensively applied in content-based image retrieval (CBIR) systems, where the objective is to retrieve images that are semantically similar to a given query. By learning an embedding space that preserves similarity relationships, triplet loss enables efficient and accurate retrieval in large-scale datasets. In traditional CBIR systems, handcrafted features such as color histograms and texture descriptors were commonly used. However, these features often fail to capture complex visual semantics. Deep metric learning approaches, particularly those based on triplet loss, have significantly improved retrieval performance by learning discriminative feature representations directly from data.

6.1 Triplet Learning for CBIR

Triplet learning is particularly suitable for CBIR because it directly models relative similarity relationships between images. Given a query image (anchor), the model retrieves images (positives) that are closer in the embedding space than dissimilar images (negatives).In [18], the Triplet Low-Dimensional Convolutional Neural Network (TLDCNN) demonstrates the effectiveness of triplet loss in remote sensing CBIR. The model learns compact and discriminative feature representations, enabling efficient retrieval even in large-scale datasets. Furthermore, triplet-based methods are capable of capturing fine-grained similarities, which are essential in applications such as satellite image analysis, where subtle differences in land-use patterns must be identified.

6.2 Remote Sensing Image Retrieval

Remote sensing datasets present unique challenges, including high intra-class variability (e.g., different appearances of the same land type) ,low inter-class separability (e.g., similar visual patterns across classes) and large-scale data volumes. Triplet-based methods have proven effective in addressing these challenges. In [16], a triplet nonlocal neural network is proposed to capture global contextual information in remote sensing images. Nonlocal operations enable the model to consider long-range dependencies, improving feature representation. The dual-anchor triplet loss introduced in [16] further enhances the learning process by incorporating relationships among all samples within a triplet. This leads to improved feature discrimination and retrieval accuracy.

6.3 Semi-Supervised and Self-Supervised Metric Learning

One of the major challenges in CBIR and remote sensing is the limited availability of labeled data. Annotating large-scale datasets is time-consuming and expensive. To address this issue, semi-supervised and self-supervised learning approaches have been explored. In [19], triplet loss is integrated into semi-supervised frameworks to leverage both labeled and unlabeled data. By incorporating pseudo-labeling and consistency constraints, the model can learn meaningful representations even with limited supervision. This approach significantly improves feature separation and generalization, especially in early stages of training. It also demonstrates the flexibility of triplet learning in modern learning paradigms.

7. Comparative Analysis of Triplet Learning Methods

To better understand the effectiveness of different triplet learning strategies and loss functions, a comparative analysis is presented. This comparison highlights key differences in margin design, learning mechanisms, and performance characteristics.

7.1 COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

Table 1: Comparison of Triplet Learning Methods and Loss Functions

Method	Margin Type	Key Idea	Advantages	Limitations
Triplet Loss[1]	Fixed	Ranking loss	Simple, effective	Slow convergence
Adaptive Margin [13]	Dynamic	Data-driven margin	Better separation	Complex design
AdaTriplet [14]	Gradient-based	Auto margin learning	Stable training	More parameters
Dual Anchor [16]	Extended	Multi-sample relation	Better discrimination	High complexity
N-pair Loss [3]	Implicit	Multiple negatives	Faster convergence	Memory cost
Proxy Loss [5]	None	Class representatives	Scalable	Less precise
Circle Loss [8]	Adaptive	Unified optimization	High performance	Hyperparameter tuning

7.2 Key Observations

From the comparative analysis, several important insights can be drawn. Adaptive margin methods outperform fixed-margin approaches by dynamically adjusting constraints based on sample difficulty and data distribution. Advanced loss functions reduce dependency on triplet sampling, improving training efficiency and convergence speed. Triplet variants enhance robustness by incorporating additional relationships among samples, leading to better feature representation. Proxy-based methods improve scalability, making them suitable for large-scale datasets. However, these improvements often come at the cost of increased complexity and additional hyperparameters.

7.3 Relevance to Remote Sensing and CBIR

In remote sensing and CBIR applications, the choice of loss function and margin strategy plays a critical role in performance. Key requirements include ability to handle high intra-class variability, support for large-scale datasets ,incorporation of semantic similarity and robustness to limited labeled data .Adaptive margin strategies combined with advanced loss functions provide a promising solution to these challenges. In particular, hybrid approaches that integrate multiple learning objectives are gaining increasing attention.

7.4 Discussion

While significant progress has been made, no single method is universally optimal. The effectiveness of a given approach depends on factors such as dataset characteristics, computational resources, and application requirements. Future research should focus on developing unified frameworks that combine the strengths of adaptive margin strategies and advanced loss functions while minimizing their limitations.

8. CHALLENGES AND RESEARCH GAPS

Despite significant advancements in triplet learning, adaptive margin strategies, and alternative loss functions, several challenges remain unresolved. These limitations hinder the effectiveness of deep metric learning systems, particularly in large-scale, multi-label, and real-world applications. Identifying these gaps is crucial for guiding future research and developing more robust retrieval systems.

8.1 Limitations of Margin Design

Although adaptive margin strategies improve upon fixed-margin triplet loss, most existing approaches rely on heuristic measures such as distance [13] or gradient information [14]. While effective, these methods do not fully capture complex semantic relationships inherent in real-world datasets. For instance, in many applications such as remote sensing and CBIR, similarity is not binary but continuous. Two samples may share partial similarity due to overlapping features or multiple labels. However, current margin design strategies often fail to model such nuanced relationships, leading to suboptimal embedding representations. Moreover, designing an optimal adaptive margin function that generalizes across different datasets remains a challenging problem. Existing methods are often task-specific and require careful tuning.

8.2 Inefficiency of Triplet Sampling

Triplet sampling remains one of the most critical challenges in triplet learning. The number of possible triplets increases exponentially with dataset size, making exhaustive sampling infeasible. Although strategies such as semi-hard mining [1] improve training efficiency, they introduce additional computational overhead. Furthermore, improper sampling can negatively impact model performance. Easy triplets contribute little to learning .Hard triplets may introduce noise and instability .Semi-hard triplets require careful selection Even with adaptive margin strategies, the dependency on effective sampling is not completely eliminated. This highlights the need for more efficient and scalable sampling mechanisms.

8.3 Scalability in Large-Scale Datasets

With the rapid growth of large-scale datasets in applications such as remote sensing, scalability has become a major concern. Traditional triplet-based methods require pairwise or triplet comparisons, which are computationally expensive. Advanced loss functions such as proxy-based loss [5] and multi-similarity loss [7] address this issue by reducing the number of comparisons. However, these methods may sacrifice fine-grained relationships between individual samples. Balancing scalability and representation quality remains an open research challenge. Future methods should aim to maintain detailed similarity relationships while reducing computational complexity.

8.4 Multi-Label Learning Challenges

One of the most significant research gaps lies in the handling of multi-label datasets. In many real-world scenarios, including remote sensing, an image may belong to multiple classes simultaneously. For example, a satellite image may contain buildings, roads and vegetation

In such cases, similarity between samples is not binary but depends on the degree of label overlap. However, most existing triplet learning methods treat samples as either similar or dissimilar, ignoring partial similarities. This limitation leads to poor embedding quality and reduced retrieval performance in multi-label CBIR systems. Developing adaptive margin strategies that incorporate label overlap and semantic similarity is a critical research direction.

8.5 Lack of Semantic and Contextual Awareness

Most current approaches focus on distance-based relationships and do not explicitly incorporate semantic or contextual information. However, in many applications, similarity is influenced by higher-level factors such as spatial relationships ,contextual information and label hierarchies .For example, in remote sensing, the relationship between objects (e.g., roads connected to buildings) provides important contextual cues. Ignoring such information limits the effectiveness of metric learning models. Although some works, such as domain adaptation methods [17], attempt to incorporate semantic constraints, this area remains underexplored. Integrating semantic and contextual information into margin design and loss functions is a promising research direction.

8.6 Challenges in Remote Sensing Applications

Remote sensing introduces additional complexities that make metric learning more challenging. High intra-class variability due to changes in scale, orientation, and illumination .Low inter-class separability due to similar visual patterns .Limited availability of labeled data .Although methods such as TLDCNN [18] and dual-anchor triplet networks [16] have shown promising results, they still struggle to fully address these challenges. In particular, the combination of multi-label data, large-scale datasets, and domain variations makes remote sensing a challenging testbed for triplet learning methods.

8.7 Summary of Research Gaps

Based on the above discussion, the key research gaps can be summarized as follows-Lack of multi-label adaptive margin strategies, inefficient triplet sampling mechanisms ,limited scalability for large datasets, poor integration of semantic and contextual information, need for hybrid and unified loss functions

8.8 Implications for Future Research

Addressing these challenges requires a shift from traditional distance-based approaches to more sophisticated frameworks that incorporate semantic understanding, scalability, and adaptability. Future research should focus on designing multi-label aware margin functions ,developing efficient sampling strategies ,integrating semantic and contextual information ,combining multiple loss functions into unified frameworks

9. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Based on the challenges and research gaps identified in the previous section, several promising research directions can be explored to further enhance triplet learning and adaptive margin strategies.

9.1 Multi-Label Adaptive Margin Learning

One of the most critical future directions is the development of adaptive margin strategies specifically designed for multi-label datasets. In real-world scenarios, especially in remote sensing and CBIR applications, images often contain multiple semantic labels. Instead of treating similarity as a binary relationship, future methods should define margin functions based on the degree of label overlap between samples. For example, samples sharing more labels should have smaller margins, while those with fewer shared labels should have larger margins. Such approaches can significantly improve embedding quality by capturing partial similarities and complex semantic relationships.

9.2 Hybrid Loss Function Design

Another promising direction is the development of hybrid loss functions that combine the strengths of different metric learning approaches. While triplet loss provides relative similarity constraints, proxy-based loss [5] improves scalability, and circle loss [8] offers a unified optimization framework. Combining these methods can lead to faster convergence ,improved embedding discrimination and reduced dependency on triplet sampling Recent approaches such as multi-similarity loss [7] already demonstrate the benefits of combining multiple similarity measures. Future work can further explore unified frameworks that integrate adaptive margin strategies with advanced loss functions.

9.3 Attention-Based Margin Adaptation

Attention mechanisms have shown remarkable success in various deep learning tasks. Integrating attention into metric learning can enable models to focus on the most discriminative regions or features. In the context of adaptive margin learning, attention can be used to dynamically adjust margins based on feature importance. For example, regions with higher semantic relevance can be assigned stronger constraints. This approach is particularly useful in remote sensing applications, where spatial context and object relationships play a significant role.

9.4 Self-Supervised and Semi-Supervised Learning

The availability of labeled data is often limited in real-world applications. To address this issue, self-supervised and semi-supervised learning methods can be integrated with triplet learning. As demonstrated in [19], combining triplet loss with semi-supervised frameworks allows models to leverage both labeled and unlabeled data. This improves representation learning and reduces reliance on manual annotations. Future research can explore contrastive pretraining followed by triplet fine-tuning to further enhance performance.

9.5 Scalable Metric Learning

Scalability remains a major challenge in metric learning. Future research should focus on designing methods that can efficiently handle large-scale datasets without compromising performance. Potential directions include efficient sampling strategies ,memory-efficient loss functions and distributed training techniques .Proxy-based methods [5] provide a promising starting point, but further improvements are needed to maintain fine-grained relationships between samples.

9.6 Domain-Adaptive Metric Learning

Extending triplet learning to domain adaptation scenarios is another important research direction. In real-world applications, training and testing data often come from different distributions .As shown in [17], incorporating triplet loss into domain adaptation frameworks improves cross-domain alignment. Future work can integrate adaptive margin strategies into such frameworks to further enhance generalization.

10. CONCLUSION

This survey presented a comprehensive review of adaptive margin strategies and loss function variants in triplet learning. Conventional triplet loss, despite its effectiveness, suffers from limitations such as fixed margin design, inefficient sampling, and lack of scalability. Adaptive margin strategies address these issues by dynamically adjusting separation constraints based on sample characteristics. Methods such as distance-based adaptive margin [13] and gradient-aware approaches like AdaTriplet [14] demonstrate significant improvements in convergence and embedding qualityIn addition, advanced loss functions, including N-pair loss [3], proxy-based loss [5], multi-similarity loss [7], and circle loss [8], provide alternative frameworks that enhance scalability and reduce dependency on triplet sampling. Triplet learning and its variants have shown strong performance in applications such as CBIR and remote sensing, where capturing semantic similarity is crucial. However, several challenges remain, particularly in multi-label learning, scalability, and semantic understanding. Addressing these challenges requires the development of more sophisticated models that integrate adaptive margin strategies, semantic information, and scalable learning frameworks. Future research in this direction has the potential to significantly advance the field of deep metric learning.

REFERENCES

[1] F. Schroff et al., “FaceNet: A Unified Embedding for Face Recognition,” CVPR, 2015.
[2] R. Hadsell et al., “Dimensionality Reduction by Learning an Invariant Mapping,” CVPR, 2006.
[3] K. Sohn, “Improved Deep Metric Learning with Multi-class N-pair Loss,” NIPS, 2016.
[4] H. Song et al., “Deep Metric Learning via Lifted Structured Feature Embedding,” CVPR, 2016.
[5] Y. Movshovitz-Attias et al., “No Fuss Distance Metric Learning using Proxies,” ICCV, 2017.
[6] J. Wang et al., “Deep Metric Learning with Angular Loss,” ICCV,2017.
[7] X. Wang et al., “Multi-Similarity Loss,” CVPR, 2019.
[8] Y. Sun et al., “Circle Loss: A Unified Perspective of Pair SimilarityOptimization,”CVPR,2020.
[11] C. Wu et al., “Sampling Matters in Deep Embedding Learning,”ICCV,2017.
[13] M. L. Ha and V. Blanz, “Deep Ranking with Adaptive MarginTripletLoss,”2021.
[14] K. Nguyen et al., “AdaTriplet: Adaptive Gradient Triplet Loss,”MICCAI,2022.
[15] M. Li et al., “Symmetric Metric Learning with Adaptive Margin,”AAAI,2020.
[16] M. Zhang et al., “Triplet Nonlocal Neural Network with Dual-Anchor Triplet Loss,” IEEE JSTARS, 2021.
[17] W. Deng et al., “Rethinking Triplet Loss for Domain Adaptation,”IEEETCSVT,2021.
[18] Y. Boualleg et al., “TLDCNN: Triplet Low-Dimensional CNN for Remote Sensing Retrieval,” 2020.
[19] I. Hernandez-Sequeira et al., “Semi- and Self-Supervised Metric Learning,” IEEE GRSL, 2024.