International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) e-ISSN: 2395-0056
Volume: 13 Issue: 10 | Oct 2026 www.irjet.net p-ISSN: 2395-0072

The Impact and Awareness of Artificial Intelligence on Employability and Job Security among Students
A Survey-Based Empirical Study of Student Perceptions, Career Readiness, and Automation Anxiety

Monali Sawant[1], Manthan Vilankar[2], Dhruv Borse[3], Dr. Roopali Patil[4]

[1,2,3]MCA AIML Student, [4]Associate Professor, School of Humanities and Sciences, D. Y. Patil Deemed to be University, Belapur, Maharashtra, India

---

Abstract - *The rapid proliferation of generative artificial intelligence and workflow automation systems has fundamentally transformed early-career hiring requirements, introducing heightened uncertainty regarding entry-level occupational stability. This study examines university students' awareness of artificial intelligence tools, their perceptions of personal employability benefits, and their concerns regarding long-term job security and automation displacement. Primary empirical data were collected via a structured survey instrument yielding 68 validated student responses across diverse undergraduate and postgraduate programs, including Computer Applications (44.1%), Engineering (26.5%), Management Studies (19.1%), and Commerce/Sciences (10.3%). Analysis reveals an active paradox between perceived tool utility and occupational security: while 89.7% of respondents actively utilize AI productivity tools and 67.6% agree or strongly agree that AI proficiency enhances their personal employability prospects, 63.2% report moderate-to-severe anxiety regarding AI-induced job obsolescence and automated entry-level displacement. Furthermore, 75.0% express dissatisfaction with the adequacy of their institutional curricula in preparing them for an AI-augmented labor market. Because the study is cross-sectional and derived from a convenience sample, the results describe descriptive associations and self-reported perceptions rather than establishing causal economic impacts.*

Key Words: Artificial Intelligence; AI Literacy; Graduate Employability; Job Security; Automation Anxiety; Career Readiness; Higher Education.

1. INTRODUCTION

Higher education and professional hiring are undergoing structural realignments driven by generative artificial intelligence (GenAI) and automated cognitive agents. The traditional assumption that an academic degree reliably secures entry-level white-collar employment is increasingly challenged as models demonstrate human-competitive proficiencies in programming, data synthesis, technical writing, and business analytics. Over the past several years, the capability of these algorithmic tools has crossed a threshold from being mere digital assistants to acting as autonomous executors of complex, multi-step cognitive tasks.

Recent research highlights that these technologies reshape task boundaries, raising expectations for junior personnel, while simultaneously threatening routine professional roles [1], [8]. In software engineering, data science, financial analysis, and digital marketing, recruiters are actively updating candidate personas. The modern entry-level employee is no longer expected to manually draft foundational code or manually scrape data; they are expected to orchestrate AI tools to achieve these outcomes instantaneously, reserving human cognitive effort for strategic oversight and complex problem decomposition. The core issue confronting students is not merely technological adoption, but occupational adaptation: how they leverage tools to signal competence, whether their institutions provide relevant scaffolding, and how they navigate pervasive concerns regarding technological unemployment. Studies in human capital emphasize that when technology advances faster than academic curricula, students experience disproportionate career anxiety.

This anxiety is not unfounded. The macroeconomic landscape indicates a compression of roles at the bottom of the corporate hierarchy. Where a firm might have previously hired a team of five junior developers to maintain a codebase, they may now hire two junior developers equipped with enterprise licenses for AI pair-programmers. This reality forces students into a hyper-competitive environment where AI literacy is simultaneously the price of admission and the source of their potential obsolescence.

1.1 Research Objectives

To systematically address these emerging dynamics, this empirical investigation outlines five primary research objectives:

- To investigate the extent of student awareness, adoption, and daily reliance on AI tools across diverse degree streams.
- To assess students' perceptions of whether proficiency in AI technologies directly strengthens their marketability and employability.
- To quantify the prevalence and intensity of student anxiety regarding entry-level job security and role obsolescence.
- To evaluate student satisfaction with university curricula regarding industry-aligned AI training and ethical guidance.
- To propose actionable strategies for students, higher education institutions, and corporate recruiters to navigate human-AI workforce transitions safely.

1.2 Research Questions

Guided by the objectives, this study seeks to answer the following specific research questions (RQs):

- RQ1: What is the prevailing rate of AI tool adoption and awareness among college students?
- RQ2: How do students perceive the contribution of AI competency to their hiring prospects and competitive edge?
- RQ3: To what degree do students fear that AI and automation will diminish job security and entry-level opportunities?
- RQ4: How do students evaluate the adequacy of their formal university curriculum in preparing them for an AI-mediated job market?
- RQ5: What is the overall net sentiment of students regarding the long-term career impacts of artificial intelligence?

1.3 Conceptual Framework

This study conceptualizes the student transition from academic preparation to corporate placement as a dynamic process mediated by three critical pillars: technological adoption, perceived self-efficacy, and automation threat. The framework illustrates how raw inputs (like using an AI tool) are cognitively processed by the student to form career outlooks.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Academic scholarship on artificial intelligence in higher education has rapidly shifted from theoretical discussions to highly empirical investigations of workplace readiness. As AI tools move from research labs to enterprise deployment, the focus of academic literature has pivoted toward understanding the psychological and vocational impacts on the emerging workforce.

A. Educational Integration and Outcomes

Chen and Cheung [1] synthesized 57 empirical studies and noted that while generative AI significantly supports learning and complex task execution, its long-term benefits depend heavily on institutional integration and metacognitive guidance. Their meta-analysis revealed a critical dependency: AI tools amplify productivity only when the user possesses the foundational knowledge to prompt effectively and critique the output. Without this foundational layer, AI acts as a crutch rather than an accelerator, leading to severe skill degradation over time.

Wang et al. [2] formulated an AI literacy model demonstrating that perceived competence directly predicts graduate employability self-efficacy. Students who felt they understood how to manipulate AI models reported significantly higher confidence in their ability to secure and retain employment. However, their findings also highlight a dangerous pitfall: students without structured instruction tend to develop superficial usage habits. This fosters an "illusion of competence" that inevitably falters during unassisted evaluation, such as technical interviews where AI access is actively prohibited.

B. Employer Expectations and Tool Skepticism

In vocational preparation, Lakshmi Devi et al. [3] observed that students trained in AI tools show higher initial recruiter ratings. Recruiters favored candidates who could leverage AI to rapidly prototype solutions. Yet, these same recruiters emphasize that domain knowledge and critical reasoning remain non-negotiable prerequisites. AI is viewed as an efficiency multiplier, not a replacement for fundamental engineering or business logic. Complementing this academic view, industry benchmarks such as the Stack Overflow Developer Survey [7] inject a critical dose of reality into the AI narrative. The survey reports that while 84% of technical professionals use AI tools in their daily workflows, an astonishing 46% actively distrust their output accuracy. This statistic is paramount; it signals that modern employability demands rigorous verification skills. Employers are not seeking candidates who simply accept AI outputs blindly; they require professionals who can audit, debug, and secure AI-generated solutions.

2.1 Literature Gap and Rationale

While macroeconomic analyses continuously forecast broad labor market displacement, and localized educational research examines classroom tool adoption to prevent plagiarism, few empirical studies investigate the psychological intersection of these two phenomena. There is a distinct gap in understanding the simultaneous tension between a student's enthusiasm for AI as a personal productivity aid and their existential apprehension regarding entry-level career availability.

This study addresses this empirical gap by compiling multi-dimensional indicators across an active cross-disciplinary student cohort (n=68). By evaluating both perceived advantages and inherent fears within the same psychometric instrument, this research aims to provide a holistic view of the modern student's mindset.

3. PROBLEM DEFINITION

The aggressive entry of generative AI into corporate workflows creates an intense educational paradox. On one hand, students who take the initiative to master prompt engineering and AI productivity tools can synthesize complex research, generate vast amounts of software code, and produce professional collateral faster than ever before. These highly capable students position themselves at the forefront of the talent pool.

On the other hand, corporate automation of junior knowledge-work tasks inherently threatens the traditional apprentice model of entry-level hiring. Historically, the early years of a white-collar career were spent performing routine, high-volume tasks that are now easily outsourced to algorithmic agents. The operational problem investigated here is: How do students reconcile the absolute necessity of AI literacy for hiring competitiveness with the looming threat of algorithmic job displacement, and how effectively do academic institutions support this precarious balance? The study formally measures this operational problem across five primary dimensions: the prevailing adoption rate of tools, the primary functional usage by students, the perceived employability dividend gained through AI literacy, the presence of job displacement anxiety, and overall curricular satisfaction.

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

4.1 Research Design and Sampling

This study follows a quantitative, cross-sectional descriptive survey design. This approach is highly suitable for capturing behavioral trends and psychological attitudes at a specific, critical point in time amid rapid technological disruption. Primary empirical data were gathered using a structured electronic questionnaire consisting of 10 targeted items. The respondent cohort comprises 68 validated student responses representing a cross-section of technical and non-technical academic disciplines. Sampling was conducted via convenience/non-probability distribution across institutional academic portals, departmental communication groups, and digital student forums during an active academic term. This methodology ensured rapid, high-engagement data collection from the target demographic.

4.2 Variables and Measurement

Variables were meticulously selected to capture demographic context, behavioral engagement with technology, and complex career attitudes. The instrument utilized a combination of dichotomous, categorical, and Likert-scale questions to provide a comprehensive data profile.

4.3 Data Analysis Procedure and Ethics

Response distributions, absolute frequencies (n), and percentages were computed across all items using statistical spreadsheet software. For Likert statements measuring sentiment (such as anxiety or employability), "top-box" agreement (aggregating 'Agree' plus 'Strongly Agree') was calculated alongside descriptive mean reconstructions out of a maximum score of 5.00.

Strict ethical safeguards were maintained throughout the data collection process. Participation was explicitly voluntary and completely anonymous. No personal identifiers, student roll numbers, or institutional email addresses were gathered, ensuring students could report their genuine anxieties and institutional criticisms without fear of academic penalty. Findings are reported exclusively in the aggregate.

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1 Respondent Profile and AI Adoption

The validated sample (n=68) provides a robust look into disciplines highly susceptible to AI disruption. The cohort is composed of 44.1% Computer Applications/IT students (BCA/MCA), 26.5% Engineering students (B.Tech/BE), 19.1% Management students (BBA/MBA), and 10.3% Commerce and General Sciences. By academic standing, a majority (58.8%) are final or pre-final year undergraduates preparing to enter the job market immediately, while 41.2% are postgraduates. The data confirms that AI tool adoption is exceptionally high across the board: 89.7% of all respondents report actively using AI tools in their daily routines (61 students), while only a marginal 10.3% are non-users (7 students). When examining platform dominance, OpenAI's ChatGPT is the undisputed market leader, utilized most frequently by 57.4% of the active users. It is followed by Google Gemini (19.1%), Microsoft's GitHub Copilot (13.2% heavily favored by the MCA and Engineering cohorts for code generation), and Claude or other specialized tools making up the remaining 10.3%.

5.2 Employability Advantage and Job Displacement Threat

The most profound finding of this research is the statistical coexistence of strong perceived employability dividends operating simultaneously with acute job displacement anxiety. Students are not uniformly optimistic or pessimistic; they are deeply conflicted.

When asked if AI enhances their employability, 67.6% of students agree or strongly agree that AI tools improve their hiring competitiveness. For this employability advantage metric, 20.6% remained neutral and only 11.8% disagreed. Students clearly understand that possessing AI skills is a resume differentiator.

However, when queried on job displacement, 63.2% are actively worried that AI will eliminate the very entry-level positions they are currently applying for in their target fields. For this displacement threat metric, 23.5% are neutral and only 13.3% actively disagree that AI poses a threat to their job security. This indicates a widespread psychological burden we term "Automation Anxiety."

5.3 Institutional Curriculum Gap

The source of much of this anxiety may stem from a lack of formal academic guidance. When surveyed strictly on institutional readiness, a massive 75.0% (n=51) stated that their university syllabus is inadequate, outdated, or completely lacks structured exposure to contemporary AI tools. Only 14.7% felt they were properly trained by their institution, while 10.3% remained neutral.

This deficit is critical. It implies that the nearly 90% adoption rate observed in RQ1 is entirely driven by informal, peer-to-peer, or self-directed learning outside the classroom. Universities are failing to act as the primary vector for technological competency, leaving students psychologically vulnerable and their vocational pathways unguided.

6. LIMITATIONS

To contextualize the findings appropriately, the following methodological limitations of this study must be acknowledged:

- Sample Size and Representativeness: The survey captures 68 respondents, drawn primarily from technical (MCA, Engineering) and business (MBA) departments. These cohorts are hyper-aware of digital disruption. Generalization of these exact anxiety levels to humanities, clinical healthcare, or manual trade programs requires cautious evaluation.
- Convenience Sampling Bias: Distribution via digital channels (portals, forums) inherently over-represents tech-savvy students who are already comfortable with digital environments and are more likely to use AI productivity platforms regularly.
- Perceptual versus Objective Performance: The study explicitly evaluates subjective career perceptions, psychological anxiety, and self-efficacy. It does not measure longitudinally verified employment outcomes, actual corporate interview pass rates, or objective salary deltas post-graduation.
- Cross-Sectional Nature: Data were captured during a single semester snapshot. Given the volatile nature of the tech industry, evolving corporate hiring policies and the rapid release of new AI tools may shift student sentiments significantly in a short timeframe.
- Single-Item Metrics: Outcome constructs like "Automation Anxiety" were operationalized through single-item survey statements to maximize response rates. Future rigorous research should employ validated, multi-item psychometric batteries to ensure high internal validity.

7. SUGGESTIONS AND CONCLUSION

7.1 Actionable Suggestions

Recommendations for students:

- Move beyond basic prompting: Cultivate deep technical literacy, construct domain-specific AI workflows, and rigorously develop output validation and debugging skills.
- Emphasize non-automatable skills: Focus relentlessly on complex human communication, cross-functional leadership, creative system design, and complex ethical reasoning.
- Demonstrate verified AI output: Build professional portfolios that clearly showcase how personal judgment is actively applied to review, refine, and audit AI-assisted solutions, proving you are an orchestrator, not just a prompter.

Recommendations for higher education institutions:

- Update core curricula: Integrate applied AI assignments directly into coursework. Universities must replace futile blanket bans on AI with structured, transparent tool-usage guidelines.
- Address automation anxiety: Provide targeted, reality-based career counseling that helps students identify expanding, AI-augmented career pathways rather than focusing on shrinking legacy roles.
- Partner with industry: Collaborate deeply with enterprise employers to offer realistic, tool-augmented internship experiences that reflect actual modern workflows.

Recommendations for recruiters:

- Reorient hiring evaluations completely away from memorized syntax or rote knowledge recall toward assessing a candidate's critical thinking, problem decomposition capabilities, and responsible, secure tool use.

7.2 Concluding Synthesis

This study reveals a distinct dual reality among university students. AI adoption is incredibly widespread (89.7%), and students astutely recognize AI competency as a vital, non-negotiable asset for securing hiring competitiveness (67.6%). Simultaneously, however, entry-level displacement anxiety is highly acute (63.2%). This tension is severely exacerbated by a massive institutional curriculum gap, with 75.0% of respondents feeling abandoned by their formal academic training regarding AI.

Successfully bridging this divide requires higher education to evolve rapidly. Institutions can no longer treat AI as an external threat; they must integrate AI literacy into foundational learning while simultaneously equipping students with the critical, philosophical judgment needed to thrive alongside automated systems.

8. FUTURE SCOPE

To advance this area of study, future research should scale sampling methodologies across multi-regional universities, targeting sample sizes greater than 500 with strictly stratified disciplinary cohorts to isolate how anxiety varies by major. Researchers must also validate multi-item psychometric scales specifically measuring AI Automation Anxiety and Perceived Employability. Finally, establishing longitudinal studies tracking whether students with high self-reported AI literacy achieve quantifiably higher starting salaries and placement rates will be essential to validate these perceptual findings against objective economic reality.

9. REFERENCES

1. S. Chen and A. C. K. Cheung, "Effect of generative artificial intelligence on university students learning outcomes: A systematic review and meta-analysis," Educational Research Review, vol. 49, 100737, 2025.

2. H. Wang, J. Tan, and M. Cheung, "Perceived artificial intelligence literacy and employability of higher education students: Modeling career self-efficacy and technological threat," Education + Training, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 258-275, 2025.

3. K. Lakshmi Devi, P. Ramesh, and S. Sundaram. "Learning impact of AI skills for employability and its influence on modern employment paradigms," Journal of Vocational Competencies & Tech Horizons, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 14-29, 2024.

4. Z. Liu, S. Wang, and R. Evans, "Artificial intelligence skills and their impact on the employability of university graduates: Evidence from cross-disciplinary cohorts," Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, vol. 11, 412, 2024.

5. P. Manorat, S. Tuarob, and S. Pongpaichet, "Artificial intelligence in computer programming education: A systematic literature review," Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, vol. 8, 100403, 2025.

6. J. Nathaniel, S. S. Oyelere, J. Suhonen, and M. Tedre, "Literature review on the integration of generative AI in education and workforce preparation," International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, vol. 35, pp. 2724-2755, 2025.

7. Stack Overflow, "2025 Developer Survey: AI sentiment, adoption, and industry disruption," 2025. [Online]. Available: https://survey.stackoverflow.co/2025/ai

8. Y. Qian, "Pedagogical applications of generative AI in higher education: A systematic review of the field," TechTrends, vol. 69, pp. 1105-1120, 2025.